1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California DANE R. GILLETTE		
3	Chief Assistant Attorney General LANCE E. WINTERS		
4	Senior Assistant Attorney General A. SCOTT HAYWARD		
5	Deputy Attorney General HERBERT S. TETEF		
6	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 185303		
7	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
8	Telephone: (213) 897-0201 Fax: (213) 897-6496		
9	E-mail: DocketingLAAWT@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Respondent		
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	TOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13			
14		1	
15	ERNEST DEWAYNE JONES,	CV-09-2158-CJC	
16	Petitioner,	UNOPPOSED APPLICATION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO	
17	v.	FILE OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER'S OPENING 2254(D)	
18	KEVIN CHAPPELL, Warden,	BRIEF ON EVIDENTIARY HEARING CLAIMS;	
19	California State Prison at San Quentin,	DECLARATION OF HERBERT S. TETEF	
20	Respondent.	CAPITAL CASE	
21		The Honorable Cormac J. Carney	
22		U.S. District Judge	
23	D d	factor Consider and annual of time to	
24	Respondent respectfully moves for a forty-five day enlargement of time, to		
25	and including June 24, 2013, in which to file an Opposition to Petitioner's Opening		
26	/// ///		
27	111		
28			
	1		

1	2254(D) Brief on Evidentiary Hearing Claims. This Application is unopposed and	
2	is based on good cause as set forth in the attached Declaration.	
3	Dated: May 2, 2013	Respectfully submitted,
4 5		KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California DANE R. GILLETTE
6		Chief Assistant Attorney General LANCE E. WINTERS
7		Senior Assistant Attorney General A. SCOTT HAYWARD
8		Deputy Attorney General
9		/s/ Herbert S. Tetef
1011		HERBERT S. TETEF Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Respondent
12	LA2009505879	
13	60996161.doc	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2

DECLARATION OF HERBERT S. TETEF REGARDING APPLICATION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME

- I, HERBERT S. TETEF, hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the following is true and correct:
- 1. I am a Deputy Attorney General of the State of California and represent the Respondent in the instant case of *Ernest Dewayne Jones v. Kevin Chappell, Warden, California State Prison at San Quentin*, case number CV 09-2158 CJC.
- 2. Pursuant to this Court's Order of March 6, 2013, Respondent's Opposition to Petitioner's Opening 2254(D) Brief on Evidentiary Hearing Claims is currently due on May 10, 2013.
- 3. This is Respondent's second request for an enlargement of time to file the Opposition. Respondent was previously granted one sixty-day enlargement of time to file the Opposition.
- 4. Pursuant to the Joint Stipulation of the Parties filed on April 12, 2012, and this Court's Order of April 16, 2012, the parties' briefing is to address whether the thirty claims in the Petition satisfy 28 U.S.C. section 2254(d)(1) and/or (d)(2). Although Petitioner's Opening Brief does not address all thirty claims, in violation of the parties' Joint Stipulation and this Court's Order of April 16, 2012, Respondent's Opposition will address all thirty claims.
- 5. I have made substantial progress on the Opposition. To date, I have completed briefing on approximately twenty-three of the thirty claims in the Petition. Another attorney in the office who is assisting me with the Opposition has completed briefing on approximately four of the claims. Accordingly, briefing on approximately twenty-seven of the thirty claims is now completed.
- 6. However, before the Opposition can be filed, briefing on the three remaining claims must be finished. In addition, when the first draft of the briefing is completed, it will have to undergo supervisorial review, and any necessary changes to the brief will then have to be made following that review. Further, the

brief will have to be edited in order to comply with the Court's page limitation.

1