I

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MICHAEL LAURENCE, State Bar No. 121854 BETHANY LOBO, State Bar No. 248109 CLIONA PLUNKETT, State Bar No. 256648 HABEAS CORPUS RESOURCE CENTER 303 Second Street, Suite 400 South San Francisco, California 94107 Telephone: (415) 348-3800 Facsimile: (415) 348-3873 Email: docketing@hcrc.ca.gov mlaurence@hcrc.ca.gov		
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN DIVISION		
11	Ernest Dewayne Jones,	Case No. CV-09-2158-CJC	
12	Petitioner,	DEATH PENALTY CASE	
13	V.	PETITIONER'S EX PARTE	
14	Kevin Chappell, Acting Warden of	APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A	
15	Kevin Chappell, Acting Warden of California State Prison at San Quentin,	REPLY BRIEF ON THE APPLICATION OF 28 U.S.C. §	
16	Respondent.	2254(d)	
17			
18	Pursuant to Rule 7-19 of the Local Rules for the United States District Court for		
19	the Central District of California, Petitioner Ernest Dewayne Jones hereby applies for		
20	an order granting a ninety (90) day extension of time, to and including November 12,		
21	2013, to file his reply to Respondent's Opposition to Petitioner's Opening § 2254(d)		
22	Brief on Evidentiary Hearing Claims. Petitioner's reply is currently due to be filed		
23	August 14, 2013.		
24	Petitioner has advised Respondent's counsel of this request, and counsel does		
25	not object to the extension of time requested in the application. The contact		
26	information for counsel for Respondent is as follows:		
27			
28			

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	HERBERT S. TETEF Deputy Attorney General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Telephone: (213) 897-0201 Facsimile: (213) 897-6496 Email: DocketingLAAWT@doj.ca.gov This request is based on good cause as set forth in the attached Declaration of Michael Laurence, Esq.	
8	Dated: August 2, 2013 Respectfully submitted,	
9	HABEAS CORPUS RESOURCE CENTER	
10 11		
11	/s/ Michael Laurence	
13	/s/ Michael Laurence By: Michael Laurence Attorney for Ernest Dewayne Jones	
14	Attorney for Ernest Dewayne Jones	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2 PETITIONER'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY BRIEF ON THE APPLICATION OF 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d) CV-09-2158-CJC	

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL LAURENCE IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE HIS REPLY BRIEF ON THE APPLICATION OF 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d)

I, Michael Laurence, declare as follows:

I am an attorney at law admitted to practice by the State of California and
before this Court. I am the Executive Director of the Habeas Corpus Resource Center.
I was appointed as lead counsel for Petitioner Ernest DeWayne Jones in the abovereferenced matter by this Court in an order dated April 14, 2009.

9 2. On March 26, 2012, this Court issued an order denying without prejudice
10 Petitioner's Motion for Evidentiary Hearing and directing Petitioner to file an opening
11 brief addressing how each of his thirty claims for relief satisfies 28 U.S.C. section
12 2254(d)(1) and/or (d)(2). The parties met and conferred, and filed a proposed briefing
13 schedule with the Court on April 12, 2012. Joint Stipulation And [Proposed] Order
14 Re: Schedule For Merits Briefing Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d)(1) and 2254(d)(2) ("Joint
15 Stipulation"), filed Apr. 12, 2012, ECF No. 76.

3. Petitioner filed his opening brief on December 10, 2012. On June 15,
2013, following two requests for extensions of time totaling 105 days, Respondent
filed an Opposition to Petitioner's Opening § 2254(d) Brief on Evidentiary Hearing
Claims. Pursuant to the briefing schedule, Petitioner's reply is due on August 14,
2013.

4. Counsel will be unable to file a reply to Respondent's Opposition by
August 14, 2013. In addition to my duties as the Executive Director of HCRC, I am
the supervising attorney on eighteen cases, and I have had to assist other supervisors
with multiple conflicting state habeas corpus petition filing deadlines. Since receiving
Respondent's Opposition, I have assisted in filing four state habeas corpus petitions
and one informal reply in California Supreme Court Case Nos. S180670, S212038,
S166315, S212256, and S154541.

28

1

2

3

4

5. Due to staff turnover and mandatory furloughs, Ms. Plunkett and Ms.

Lobo have experienced increased workloads and have been required to assist on other
 cases with imminent filing deadlines, in addition to their assigned cases. Ms. Plunkett
 is currently preparing state habeas corpus petitions in two cases (California Supreme
 Court Case Nos. S089609 and S044693) and an informal reply (California Supreme
 Court Case No. S206945), in addition to ongoing litigation relating to an Order to
 Show Cause in San Mateo County Superior Case No. SC31145.

7 6. Similarly, Ms. Lobo is currently working on an informal reply in
8 California Supreme Court Case No. S174549, with a filing deadline of October 30,
9 2013. She also is preparing a state habeas corpus petition in California Supreme Court
10 Case No. S029551.

11 7. Given other litigation demands and the novel and complex nature of the
12 section 2254(d) issues before this Court, it is my professional judgment that we will
13 need a 90-day extension of time to reply to Respondent's Opposition.

8. On August 2, 2013, Ms. Plunkett contacted Mr. Herbert Tetef, counsel for
Respondent, and informed him of the substance of this request for additional time,
including the proposed due date. Mr. Tetef authorized petitioner's counsel to represent
to the Court that he does not object to the length of time being requested.

9. Granting this extension will permit counsel to draft and edit the Reply
Brief to ensure the avoidance of repetitive arguments and thus conserve the parties'
and this Court's limited time.

The foregoing is true and correct and executed under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States on August 2, 2013.

> <u>/s/ Michael Laurence</u> Michael Laurence

4 PETITIONER'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY BRIEF ON THE APPLICATION OF 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d) CV-09-2158-CJC

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28