

JS - 6

LINK: 14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 09-4913 GAF (AGR _x)	Date	February 22, 2010
Title	Charlotta Bond et al v. Chase Home Finance, LLC et al		

Present: The Honorable	GARY ALLEN FEES		
Renee Fisher	None	N/A	
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder	Tape No.	
Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:	Attorneys Present for Defendant:		
None	None		

Proceedings: (In Chambers)

ORDER RE: REMAND

On November 24, 2009, Defendant Chase Home Finance LLC (“Chase”) filed a motion to dismiss Plaintiffs’ Second Amended Complaint. (Docket No. 10.) The Court granted Chase’s motion with regard to Plaintiffs’ one federal claim, and gave Plaintiffs leave to file an amended complaint no later than January 19, 2010. (Id.) Because Plaintiffs failed to adequately plead a federal claim, the Court did not address the remaining state law causes of action. (Id.) The Court indicated that if Plaintiffs failed to file an amended complaint, then the Court would exercise its discretion under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c) and remand the remaining state law claims. (Id.)

Plaintiffs have failed to file an amended complaint and the Court therefore **REMANDS** the case to state court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c).

IT IS SO ORDERED.