1	CARMEN A. TRUTANICH, City Attorney GARY G. GEUSS, Chief Assistant City At	(SBN # 86629x) torney	
2	CARMEN A. TRUTANICH, City Attorney (SBN # 86629x) GARY G. GEUSS, Chief Assistant City Attorney CORY M. BRENTE, Assistant City Attorney RENA M. SHAHANDEH, Deputy City Attorney (SBN - 198072) 200 North Main Street, 6th Floor, City Hall East Los Angeles, CA 90012 Tel: (213) 978-7047 Fax: (213) 978-8785 e-mail: rena.shahandeh@lacity.org		
3	Los Angeles, CA 90012	E-FILED 05/18/11	
4 5	e-mail: rena.shahandeh@lacity.org	JS-6	
6	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF LOS AN JACOB AVALOS		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	MARIA PARRA, individually, and as	CASE NO. CV 09-05304 PSG (JCx)	
11	successor in interest to the ESTATE OF SERGIO ROSAS; and JOSE ROSAS,	CASE NO. CV 09-05304 PSG (JCx) Assigned to Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez	
12	MARIA PARRA, individually, and as successor in interest to the ESTATE OF SERGIO ROSAS; and JOSE ROSAS, individually, and as successor in interest to the ESTATE OF SERGIO ROSAS		
13		   [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] JUDGMENT   AFTER TRIAL BY JURY	
14	Plaintiffs,	AFTER TRIAL BY JURY	
15	VS.		
16	CITY OF LOS ANGELES; JORGE		
17	CITY OF LOS ANGELES; JORGE ALFARO; JACOB AVALOS; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20			
21	TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND TO	) ALL PARTIES AND THEIR	
22	ATTORNEYS OF RECORD THEREIN:		
23	This action came on regularly for trial on May 10, 2011 in Courtroom "880"		
24	of the United States District Court for the Central District of California before the		
25	Honorable Philip S. Gutierrez, United States District Court Judge. Plaintiffs MARIA		
26	PARRA, individually, and as successor in interest to the ESTATE OF SERGIO ROSAS;		
27	and JOSE ROSAS, individually, and as successor in interest to the ESTATE OF		
28	SERGIO ROSAS were represented by Pete R	. Navarro, The Defendants CITY OF LOS	
	1		

1	ANGELES, JORGE ALFARO and JACOB AVALOS, were represented by Deputy City		
2	Attorney Rena M. Shahandeh.		
3	A jury of eight (8) persons were regularly empaneled and sworn.		
4	Witnesses were sworn and testified and documentary evidence was introduced and		
5	admitted into evidence. During trial, one juror was excused for cause and by stipulation		
6	of both counsel. The cause was submitted to the remaining 7 jurors with directions to		
7	return a verdict on the issues, if after proper deliberations, they could do so. After		
8	hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the jury deliberated and thereafter		
9	returned to the court with their unanimous verdict as follows, to-wit:		
10	JURY VERDICT		
11	WE, THE JURY, in the above-entitled action, unanimously find on the		
12	questions presented as follows:		
13			
14	QUESTION NO. 1: Do you find that Jorge Alfaro used unreasonable force in		
15	violation of Sergio Rosas' constitutional rights? (Please check one)		
16	yes noX		
17			
18	QUESTION NO. 2: Do you find that Jacob Avalos used unreasonable force in		
19	violation of Sergio Rosas' constitutional rights? (Please check one)		
20	yes noX		
21			
22	QUESTION NO. 3: Do you find that the actions of Jorge Alfaro violated the		
23	Plaintiffs' rights to familial association? (Please check one)		
24	yes noX		
25			
26	QUESTION NO. 4: Do you find that the actions of Jacob Avalos violated the		
27	Plaintiffs' rights to familial association? (Please check one)		
28	yes noX		
	_		

1	Please proceed to Question No. 5 only if you have answered "yes" to either Question No.		
2	1, 2, 3 or 4. If you answered "no" to each of these questions, answer no further		
3	questions. Please date, sign and return this form.		
4			
5	QUESTION NO. 5: Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that either		
6	Jorge Alfaro or Jacob Avalos was guilty of malice or oppression such as to justify the		
7	imposition of punitive damages?		
8	Jorge Alfaro:yes no		
9	Jacob Avalos:yes no		
10			
11	Please date, sign and return this form.		
12			
13	DATED:		
14	Presiding Juror		
15	JUDGMENT		
16			
17	THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:		
18			
19	1. That judgment be, and hereby is, entered in favor of the Defendants and		
20	against the Plaintiffs;		
21	2. That the Plaintiffs shall take nothing;		
22	3. That the Defendants recover their costs of suit herein.		
23			
24			
25	DATED: O5/18/11 PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ		
26	HONORABLE PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
27	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
28			