

1 JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP
 2 MICHAEL J. HASSEN (Bar No. 124823)
 3 mjh@jmbm.com
 4 CHRISTOPHER H. DOYLE (Bar No. 190016)
 5 chd@jmbm.com
 6 Two Embarcadero Center, Fifth Floor
 7 San Francisco, California 94111-3824
 8 Telephone: (415) 398-8080
 9 Facsimile: (415) 398-5584

JS-6

10 Attorneys for Defendant AIG FEDERAL SAVINGS
 11 BANK, formerly known as WILMINGTON FINANCE,
 12 a Division of AIG FEDERAL SAVINGS BANK,
 13 improperly named in this action as WILMINGTON
 14 FINANCE, INC.

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 16 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 17 WESTERN DIVISION

JMBM
 Jeffer Mangels
 Butler & Marmaro LLP

18 ERNESTO CERVANTES and MARIA
 19 GUADALUPE VELASQUEZ,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 WILMINGTON FINANCE, INC., a
 23 Delaware corporation; GUIDO GIL &
 24 ASSOCIATES, INC., a California
 25 corporation; and HOMEQ SERVICING,
 26 an unknown business entity; and DOES
 27 1 through 20, inclusive,

28 Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 09-05378 DDP (JEMx)

JUDGMENT

Date: October 20, 2009
 Time: 10:00 a.m.
 Dept: 3rd Floor
 Judge: Dean D. Pregerson

AND RELATED CROSS AND
 COUNTER ACTIONS.


JUDGMENT

1
2 Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to File a First Amended Complaint,
3 Defendant HomEq’s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs’ Complaint, and Defendant AIG
4 Federal Savings Bank’s Motion for Summary Judgment having been filed and taken
5 under submission by the Court, the Honorable District Judge Dean D. Pregerson
6 Presiding, the evidence presented having been considered, a decision having been
7 duly rendered, and an “Order (1) Denying Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to File a First
8 Amended Complaint, (2) Granting Defendant HomEq’s Motion to Dismiss,
9 (3) Granting in part defendant AIG’s Motion for Summary Judgment, and
10 (4) Dismissing Plaintiffs’ remaining state law claims against defendant AIG,” having
11 been duly issued on October 15, 2009,

12 IT IS ORDERED and ADJUDGED:

- 13 1. That Plaintiffs take nothing;
- 14 2. That Judgment is entered in favor of defendant AIG Federal
15 Savings Bank on the merits on the Complaint’s first, second, third, fourth, tenth,
16 eleventh, and fourteenth causes of action; that the Court declines to exercise
17 supplemental jurisdiction on the Complaint’s remaining state law claims (*i.e.*, the
18 fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth, ninth, twelfth, and thirteenth causes of action) against
19 AIG Federal Savings Bank and therefore they are dismissed without prejudice; and
- 20 3. That Judgment is entered in favor of defendant HomEq on the
21 merits on the Complaint’s seventh cause of action and the Complaint’s remaining
22 state law claims against HomEq are dismissed without prejudice.

23
24 Dated: October 22, 2009


25 Dean D. Pregerson
26 United States District Judge
27
28