

submitted its Statement of Decision on March 10. 2011, and the parties having 1 stipulated that the second cause of action for violation of 42 USC Section 1983 - equal 2 protection, third cause of action for violation of 42 USC Section 1983 - equal 3 protection, race and ethnicity, fourth cause of action for violation of Cal Gov't Code 4 Section § 65008, fifth cause of action for declaratory relief, and sixth cause of action 5 for injunctive relief, alleged in the first amended complaint, be dismissed, without 6 7 prejudice, as to all defendants, that judgment be entered reflecting the dismissal 8 without prejudice, and that each party bear their own costs and attorney fees,

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: June 6, 2011

## IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED

1. That the administrative writ of mandate applied for herein is denied;

2. That the second cause of action for violation of 42 USC Section 1983 - equal
protection, third cause of action for violation of 42 USC Section 1983 - equal
protection, race and ethnicity, fourth cause of action for violation of Cal Gov't Code
Section § 65008, fifth cause of action for declaratory relief, and sixth cause of action
for injunctive relief, alleged in the first amended complaint, shall be dismissed,
without prejudice, as to all defendants;

3. That each party shall bear their own costs and attorneys fees.

HON. OTIS D. WRIGHT II, JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT