

1 Marc K. Callahan (State Bar No. 156616)  
 2 John A. Vogt (State Bar No. 198677)  
 3 Ann T. Rossum (State Bar No. 281236)  
 4 JONES DAY  
 5 3161 Michelson Drive  
 6 Suite 800  
 7 Irvine, CA 92612.4408  
 Telephone: +1.949.851.3939  
 Facsimile: +1.949.553.7539  
 Email: javogt@jonesday.com

8 Geoffrey K. Beach (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
 9 Howell A. Burkhalter (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
 hburkhalter@wcsr.com  
 10 WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE LLP  
 11 One West Fourth Street  
 12 Winston-Salem, NC 27101  
 Telephone: (336) 721-3504  
 13 Facsimile: (336) 733-8437

14 Attorneys for Defendant  
 15 R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO CO.

16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 17 **FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

18  
 19 AMANDA SATERIALE, et al.,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO CO.,

23 Defendant.  
 24

Case No. CV 09 08394 CAS (SSx)

—**[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT**

1 It is hereby ADJUDGED and DECREED THAT:

2 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over all  
3 parties to the action, including all members of the class it certified on December 19,  
4 2014, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3), consisting of “all persons in  
5 California who, as adult smokers, were assigned registration numbers by RJR, collected  
6 C-Notes, and held C-Notes as of October 1, 2006,” but excluding “(1) the Judges  
7 presiding over the Actions, and members of their families; (2) the Defendant, its  
8 subsidiaries, parent companies, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which the  
9 Defendant or its parents have a controlling interest and their current or former officers,  
10 directors, and employees; and (3) any person that opted out of the class that the Court  
11 certified on December 19, 2014.”

12 2. Pursuant to the settlement reached by the parties, this Court hereby  
13 dismisses this action on the merits and with prejudice.

14 3. This Court hereby directs entry of this judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of  
15 Civil Procedure 58 based upon the Court’s finding that there is no just reason for delay  
16 of enforcement or appeal of this judgment, notwithstanding the Court’s retention of  
17 jurisdiction to oversee implementation and enforcement of the  
18 settlement agreement.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated this 5th day of May, 2016



21  
22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 HONORABLE CHRISTINA A. SNYDER  
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

25 JUDGMENT ENTERED: May 5, 2016

26 By: CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
27 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA