1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27

28

the court's denial of Stehr's motion for summary judgment in an opinion holding that Stehr was entitled to qualified immunity on plaintiff's First Amendment claim. Based on that decision, Stehr, like the remaining defendants, is entitled to have judgment entered in his favor on that claim. For the reasons stated in the court's June 18, 2010 order, the court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over plaintiff's state law claims. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED

- 1. That plaintiff's 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claim alleging a violation of his First Amendment rights is dismissed against all defendants with prejudice;
- 2. That plaintiff's remaining claims against all defendants are dismissed without prejudice; and
- 3. That the action be, and it hereby is, dismissed.

DATED: August 26, 2013

MALGARET M. MORROW UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2