

1 LINDA VAN WINKLE DEACON (State Bar No. 60133)
 2 STEPHANIE M. SAITO (State Bar No. 188778)
 3 BATE, PETERSON, DEACON, ZINN & YOUNG LLP
 4 888 South Figueroa Street, Fifteenth Floor
 5 Los Angeles, California 90017
 Telephone: (213) 362-1860
 Facsimile: (213) 362-1861
 ldeacon@bpdzylaw.com
 ssaito@bpdzylaw.com

6 Attorneys for Defendant
 7 NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 PHILIP FISCHER, an individual,
 11 Plaintiff,
 12 vs.

13 NATIONAL RAILROAD
 14 PASSENGER CORP., a
 15 Washington D.C. corporation;
 16 DOES 1-10, business enti(ies),
 17 form(s) unknown; DOES 11-20,
 18 individual(s); and DOES 21-30,
 19 inclusive,
 20 Defendant(s).

Case No. CV10-00926 GAF(Ex)

[Assigned for all purposes to the
 Hon. Gary Allen Feess, Courtroom 740]

**JUDGMENT AND NOTICE OF ENTRY
 OF JUDGMENT**

Discovery Cut-Off: February 4, 2011
 Motion Cut-Off: February 14, 2011
 Trial Date: April 19, 2011
 Complaint Filed: December 31, 2009
 (Removed from Los Angeles Superior
 Court Case No. BC 429070)

BATE, PETERSON, DEACON, ZINN & YOUNG LLP
 888 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET, FIFTEENTH FLOOR
 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017


21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Defendant National Railroad Passenger Corporation’s (commonly known as
2 “Amtrak”) Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative, Summary
3 Adjudication of Issues came on regularly for hearing before this Court on February
4 14, 2011. Linda Van Winkle Deacon and Stephanie M. Saito of Bate, Peterson,
5 Deacon, Zinn & Young LLP appeared on behalf of Amtrak; Angie Phung appeared
6 on behalf of Plaintiff Philip Fischer (“Plaintiff”).

7 After considering the moving and opposition papers, oral arguments of
8 counsel and all other matters presented to the Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED
9 AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff take nothing, that the action be dismissed with
10 prejudice on the merits as to all claims, and that Amtrak recover its costs, the
11 amount of which shall be determined by application to the Clerk of the Court.

12 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that this Order constitutes Notice of Entry of
13 Judgment pursuant to Rule 77(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

14
15 Dated: March 4, 2011



16
17
18 THE HONORABLE GARY E. FEES
United States District Judge

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28