DANIEL F. BLACKERT, CSB No. 255021 LIŞA J. BORODKIN, CSB No. 196412 1 2 Asia Economic Institute LLC 11766 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 260 Los Angeles, California 90025 Telephone (310) 806-3000/Facsimile (310) 826-4448 3 4 Blackertesa@yahoo.com lisa borodkin@post.harvard.edu 5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 Case No.: 2:10-cv-01360-SVW-PJW ASIA ECONOMIC INSTITUTE, a 10 California LLC; RAYMOND MOBREZ an individual; and ILIANA The Honorable Stephen V. Wilson 11 LLANERAS, an individual, 12 Plaintiffs, DECLARATION OF RAYMOND 13 VS. MOBREZ IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF 14 XCENTRIC VENTURES, LLC, an Arizona LLC, d/b/a as BADBUSINESS ORDER GRANTING PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON RICO 15 BUREAU and/or CLAIMS PREDICATED ON BADBUSINESSBUREAU.COM EXTORTION AND DENYING RULE 16 and/or RIP OFF REPORT and/or 56(f) RELIEF RIPOFFREPORT COM: BAD et al BUSINESS BUREAU, LLC, organized Asia Econon and existing under the laws of St. 18 Kitts/Nevis, West Indies; EDWARD 19 MAGEDSON an individual, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, 20 Defendants. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Decl. of Raymond Mobrez in Support of Mot. for Reconsideration - 1

Doc. 120

10-CV-1360

3

4 5

7 8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

this action. I have first-hand, personal knowledge of the facts set forth below and, 6 if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto. 2.

I, Raymond Mobrez, declare:

- I am a Director of Plaintiff Asia Economic Institute LLC, and a Plaintiff in my individual capacity as a licensed California Real Estate broker in
- This Declaration is made in support of Plaintiffs' Motion For Reconsideration of this Court's Order of July 19, 2010 granting partial summary judgment to Defendants.
- 3. I have tried repeatedly to resolve the harm caused to my individual real estate brokering business and also the destruction to Asia Economic Institute through any means possible.
- I have felt repeatedly threatened and fearful of Defendants' 4. tactics, which seem designed to substantiate demands for large, round sums of money. These begin with the false declaration by Defendant Edward Magedson on March 22, 2010 stating falsely that I became threatening towards him and told him that I "had people in Arizona" who could "find him" and on April 5, 2010 stating falsely that I "made statements which made me concerned for [his] safety." I never made these statements, and he later recanted them on May 11, 2010 in order to demand \$25,000 from me to settle this lawsuit.
- 5. On July 20, 2010, I traveled to Phoenix Arizona to try to settle this case with Defendants and also to offer a voluntary deposition under oath, at my expense, with a waiver of attorney-client privilege as to the circumstances of my previous declarations. I made this offer due to Defendants' counsel's repeated threats to my counsel to sue them personally for malicious prosecution and abuse of process, and to eliminate Defendants' intent to leave me vulnerable without counsel, which seems to be their pattern in my case.

- 6. On July 20, 2010, we had an informal meeting to try to settle the case. Defendant Magedson became very angry and was yelling at me at several points in the meeting. Defendants declined to take my deposition, and continued to threaten my counsel with future claims for malicious prosecution, and instead offered them personal releases of potential future claims against them if they could get me to agree to pay Defendants the money demanded in settlement of my case.
- 7. At the beginning of the discussion on July 20, 2010, Mr. Magedson pointed at my counsel, Daniel Blackert, and said "It was you!," accusing him of creating this case, without any factual or logical reason. This was said in a belligerent, menacing, loud voice, after Mr. Magedson cast a set of extremely personal insults at my counsel's appearance, without any provocation.
- 8. The amounts demanded by Defendants' counsel for settling the case on July 20, 2010 were inconsistent, seemed arbitrary, and were not supported by bills or itemizations. In the same day, Defendants' counsel Maria Speth offered to settle the case for about \$35,000, whereas Defendants' counsel Adam Kuntz offered to settle the case for about \$50,000. I questioned which was the correct amount, and if they were in fact all for legal fees.
- 9. On July 20, 2010, Defendants expressly offered that they would redact my name, and the names of Iliana Llaneras and Asia Economic Institute from all the Rip-off Reports about us, as well as the HTML, if we would pay the \$35,000 or \$50,000 demanded.
- 10. On July 20, 2010, Mr. Magedson stated that he wanted to accomplish the removal of my names and the names of the other two Plaintiffs in this case from Rip-off Reports by styling it as an "investigation" and that afterwards he would update the report to include the findings of the investigation. Defendants' counsel said it would be my choice whether the reports would be modified by redaction or by an updated "investigation."

- 11. Defendants described that when someone gets into their Coporate Advocacy Program, they address the complaints about the CAP member by "holding their feet to the fire" referring to all those who have complained about the CAP member.
- 12. Defendants acknowledged the destruction on my business that the continued presence of Rip-off Reports had on my business. Defendants' counsel Adam Kunz called my business, the Asia Economic Institute, my "baby" and likened the damage to my business to feeling like "somebnody took away your baby."
- 13. On July 20, 2010, Defendant Ed Magedson repeated the threat from his prior emails to me that "all businesses get complaints" and that "Rip-off Reports happen to everybody" and pointed and looked directly at my counsel and said to her "It will happen to you." I perceived this threat to my counsel as a threat to me, since it was said in an intimidating tone of voice and in my presence.
- 14. On July 20, 2010, Mr. Magedson also became very upset that I was not agreeing to pay all the money Defendants demanded, and approached my counsel physically, telling her that "You're going to be on the cover of my book!" which I understand Mr. Magedson intends to write about bad lawyers.
- 15. On July 20, 2010, Defendants also insisted as a term of settlement that I provide information to incriminate John Brewington and any of their other perceived enemies. Defendants' attorney Adam Kuntz explained that aside from money, they sought damaging testimony against their "enemies." Defendants explained they wanted name, phone bills, and any information about anyone that could lead to useful information about John Brewington.
- 16. Even though I have no useful testimony to give against John Brewington, and have informed Defendants several times that I do not know anything useful about John Brewington, they continue to ask me to provide damaging information about John Brewington.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 16th day of August, 2010, in Los Angeles, California.

Raymond Mobrez