UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ASIA ECONOMIC INST	ITUTE, LLC,)	
	Plaintiffs,)))	Case No.: 2:10-CV-01360- SVW-PJW
V.)	
XCENTRIC VENTURES,	LLC, et al.,)	
	Defendants.)	

DEPOSITION OF RAYMOND MOBREZ
Los Angeles, California
May 7, 2010

Reported by:
Yaelle Daneshrad
CSR No. 11754

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	INDEX	
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	2	THE DA	
3	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	3	DEPONENT EXAMINED BY PAGE	
4	ASIA ECONOMIC INSTITUTE, LLC,	4	RAYMOND MOBREZ MR. GINGRAS 8	
7		5		
5	et al.,) Case No.:	6	EXHIBITS	
5		7	EXHIBIT PAGE	
_		8	Exhibit 1A - Screen shot of Ripoff 40	
6) SVW-PJW	9	Report	
	v.)	9	Exhibit 1B - Ripoff Report text 40	
7)	10	Exhibit 1B - Ripoff Report text 40	
	XCENTRIC VENTURES, LLC, et al.,)	10	Exhibit 2A - Screen shot of Ripoff 40	
8)	11	Report 40	
	Defendants.)	12	Exhibit 2B - Ripoff Report text 40	
9)	13	Exhibit 3A - Screen shot of Ripoff 40	
10			Report	
11		14		
12		1	Exhibit 3B - Ripoff Report text 40	
13		15		
14		, _	Exhibit 4A - Screen shot of Ripoff 40	
15		16	Report	
16	DEPOSITION OF RAYMOND MOBREZ,	17 18	Exhibit 4B - Ripoff Report text 40 Exhibit 5A Sensor shot of Pipoff 40	
17	taken on behalf of the Defendants,	1 8	Exhibit 5A - Screen shot of Ripoff 40	
18	at 777 South Figueroa Street, 47th Floor,	19	Report	
19	Los Angeles, California, commencing at	1,	Exhibit 5B - Ripoff Report text 40	
20	10:12 A.M., Friday, May 7, 2010, before	20	Exhibit 3B Riport report text	
21	Yaelle Daneshrad, Certified Shorthand		Exhibit 6A - Screen shot of Ripoff 40	
22	Reporter No. 11754.	21	Report	
23	Reporter No. 11754.	22	Exhibit 6B - Ripoff Report text 40	
24		23		
25		24		
25		25		
	2			4
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	1 2	EXHIBITS EXHIBIT PAGE	
2	For Plaintiffs:	3	Exhibit 7 - California Secretary of State 44	
3	LISA BORODKIN, ESQ. (Through page 210)		Business Entity Detail	
4	-and- DANIEL BLACKERT, ESQ.	4	Elilio man Ind. ID. 1. 40	
4	11766 Wilshire Boulevard	5	Exhibit 8 - "Who Is" Search Results 46	
5	Suite 260		Exhibit 9 - "El Rodeo" publication 59	
	Los Angeles, California 90025	6	·	
6	(310) 806-3000	7	Exhibit 10 - Complaint 70	
7	(510) 000 5000	'	Exhibit 11 - Asia Economic Institute 83	
8	For Defendants:	8	Links Exchange	
9	GINGRAS LAW OFFICE	9	Exhibit 12A - "Who Is" Search Results 188	
	BY: DAVID S. GINGRAS, ESQ.	10 11	Exhibit 12 - Asia Business printout 187 Exhibit 13 - February 15, 2009, 192	
10	4072 East Mountain Vista Drive	1 * *	e-mail	
	Phoenix, Arizona 85048	12		
11	(480) 639-4996	1.0	Exhibit 14 - April 28, 2009, e-mail 194	
12	7 L DVID G WWW W	13	Exhibit 15 - May 5, 2009, e-mail 196	
1.0	JABURG WILK	14		
13	BY: MARIA CRIMI SPETH, ESQ.	1	Exhibit 16 - May 5, 2009, e-mail 198	
1 /	3200 North Central Avenue	15	Exhibit 17 - May 12, 2009, e-mail 204	
14	20th Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85012	16	2011 17 - 1910y 12, 2007, C-111011 20-1	
15	(602) 248-1089		Exhibit 18 - July 24, 2009, e-mail 207	
16	(002) 270 100)	17	Entitie 10 Destantian of Parameter 214	
17	ALSO PRESENT:	18	Exhibit 19 - Declaration of Raymond 214 Mobrez Pursuant to the Court's Order	
18	ILIANA LLANERAS	1	on April 19, 2010, Regarding Plaintiffs'	
19	JULIO PENA, Videographer	19	RICO and Extortion Causes of Action	
20	, g · 1	20	Exhibit 20 - Handwritten notes 227 Exhibit 21 - Phone hills of Mr. Mohara 222	
21		21	Exhibit 21 - Phone bills of Mr. Mobrez 232 Exhibit 22 - Subpoena to Produce 256	
22		~~	Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit	
23		23	Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action	
24		24	Exhibit 23 - Affidavit of Raymond Mobrez 261	
25		25	in Opposition to Defendants' Special Motion to Strike	
	3	1		5

1	EXHIBITS		And she's not appearing, but you can state
2	EXHIBIT PAGE	2	your name.
3	Exhibit 24 - Declaration of Iliana 263	3	MR. BLACKERT: Let me just clarify, Daniel
	Llaneras Pursuant to the Court's	4	Blackert on behalf of plaintiffs.
4	Order on April 19, 2010, Regarding	5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court
	Plaintiffs' RICO and Extortion	6	reporter please swear in the witness.
5	Causes of Action	7	MS. SPETH: Maria Speth, but I'm not
6	Exhibit 25 - Recordings of phone calls 271 and Index of Files on Disc	8	actually appearing.
7	and index of Files on Disc	9	MR. GINGRAS: She's just an assistant.
8		10	Tirk off told is. She s just all assistant.
9		11	RAYMOND MOBREZ,
10		12	having been first duly sworn,
11		13	was examined and testified as follows:
12		14	was examined and testified as follows.
13		15	EXAMINATION
14		16	BY MR. GINGRAS:
15		17	
16 17		18	Q Mr. Mobrez, good morning. You and I have not met before. My name is David Gingras. I'm a
18		19	•
19		20	lawyer, as you probably know, representing the defendants in this lawsuit.
20		21	And we're going to talk a lot about this
21		22	
22		23	lawsuit today, and when we do that, just for convenience, I'm sure that you'll understand I'm
23		24	talking about the lawsuit pending in the Federal
24		25	Court that you filed. And we're here to talk about
25		6	Court that you med. And we're here to talk about
1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, MAY 7, 2010		that today.
2	10:12 A.M.	2	A Yes, I do.
3	-000-	3	Q Would you state your full name? Do you
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The date is May 7,	4	have a middle name?
5	2010. The time is 10:12. We are taking	5	A I don't have a middle name. My first name
6	volume 1 of the deposition of Raymond Mobrez in	6	is Raymond.
7	the matter of Asia Economic Institute, et al.,	7	Q And what is your date of birth, please?
8	v. Xcentric Ventures, et al., for the United	8	A 11-5-51.
9	States District Court, Central District of	9	Q Mr. Mobrez, have you had your deposition
10	California, case No. 2:10-CV-01360.	10	taken before?
11	My name is Julio Pena. I represent Hahn	11	A Some time ago, yes.
12	and Bowersock, which is located in Costa Mesa,	12	Q Do you remember what kind of matter that
13	California. This deposition is being taken at	13	was?
14	Klinedinst, located in Los Angeles, California.	14	A Maybe arbitration.
15	At this time could all parties please	15	Q But what kind of case was it? A contract
16	introduce themselves, starting with the	16	case or debt collection
17	witness.	17	A Maybe, a transaction.
18	THE WITNESS: Raymond Mobrez.	18	Q Since it's been a while since you've had
19	MR. BLACKERT: Daniel Blackert.	19	your deposition taken, I'll give you what we call
20	MS. BORODKIN: Lisa Borodkin for	20	the ground rules. The goal here is to make a very
21	plaintiffs.	21	clear record of what you have said, what you have
22	MS. LLANERAS: Iliana Llaneras.	22	testified to and also what my questions are.
23	MR. GINGRAS: David Gingras on behalf of	23	The way that we will do that effectively
24	defendants Xcentric Ventures, LLC, and Edward	24	is, most important, wait, please listen to what I'm
25	Magedson.	25	asking you and wait until I'm done. Even if you
1		7	9

1 1 State of California? know exactly what I'm about to say, if I'm in the 2 middle of a sentence, please wait until I'm done. 2 A Not currently. 3 3 That way the court reporter can finish what I am Q Did you in the past? 4 saying. And then begin with what you're saying. 4 A I had properties outside of California. 5 5 Q And you currently do or no longer do? Okav? 6 6 A Yes, I do. A I don't. 7 7 Q And that leads to my next one, which is I Q Mr. Mobrez, I was reading a little bit 8 8 need verbal responses from you. I can see you, I about your biography, and I'm going to show you some 9 9 can see you nodding or shaking your head, but the exhibits, and we'll get to those things. 10 court reporter needs to accurately record your 10 I understand you were born in England; is 11 11 response. And we need a word to do that. So "yes" that right? 12 12 or "no" will do, something like that. A No, I was not born in England. 13 13 Okay? O Where were you born? 14 A Yes, I do. 1 4 A I was born in Tabriz, Iran. 15 15 Q Okay. Are you a U.S. citizen? Q Also, during the course of the deposition, 16 16 it is possible that your attorney may object to one A Yes, I am. 17 17 or more of my questions. Unless your attorney tells Q Okay. I've got an exhibit that says you 18 18 were born in England. But, you know, you don't you not to answer, you can essentially ignore him. 19 19 believe everything you read. Where --Those objections are being made for the 20 20 record. Obviously the judge isn't here with us A I grew up in England. 21 21 today, so he cannot make rulings on specific O Pardon? 22 22 objections, and so we just do that to make a record A I grew up in England. 23 23 Whereabouts? in case we need to have a resolution of that Q 24 24 objection later. Okay? Α London. 25 A Yes. 25 My wife is from London. I just found 10 12 Q So, again, unless your attorney tells you 1 1 that, that little detail interesting. 2 not to answer a question, you can just listen to, 2 Are you currently married? 3 listen to him and then ignore that. Okay? 3 A Yes, I am. 4 Finally if you need to take any breaks, 4 Q Who is your spouse? 5 you're welcome to do that as long as there's no 5 A Iliana Llaneras. 6 question pending. Essentially I am in charge of 6 Q When did you get married? 7 7 this deposition today. I'm entitled to take your A Eighteen years ago. 8 testimony, and you're entitled to reasonable 8 Q And you've been married the whole 18 9 accommodations. If you need a break, just ask. But 9 vears? 10 other than that, if there's a question pending, I'd 10 A Yeah. 11 like an answer before you call a break. 11 Congratulations. 12 Okav? 12 Before Ms. Llaneras, were you married to 13 anyone else? A Will do. 13 14 Q Okay. Mr. Mobrez, where do you currently 14 A Yes, I did. 15 reside? 15 O And who is that? 16 A 1021 Lincoln Boulevard. 16 A Connie. 17 17 Q And what city is that? Q I'm sorry? 18 A Santa Monica. 18 A Connie. 19 Q California? 19 **Q** Does Connie have a last name? 20 A California. 20 A No, Connie is her first name. 21 Q Have you -- how long have you lived there? 21 O Is there a last name associated with --22 A I lived there about 30 years. 22 Connie Byers. 23 23 Q I'm sorry, 30 years? Q And you were divorced from Ms. Byers? 24 A Uh-huh. 24 A Yes. 25 Q Do you have any residences outside of the 25 When was that? 11 13

1	A '85.	1	A San Diego.
2	Q Do you know where that divorce case was	2	Q San Diego? Does that school still exist?
3	pending?	3	A I don't know.
4	A I assume California, Los Angeles.	4	Q Okay. Mr. Mobrez, one of the reasons I'm
5	Q Were you, were you a party to the case?	5	focusing on that issue is one of the reports in that
6	Do you not remember where it was?	6	case, and we're about to look at them as soon as
7	A We filed for divorce at that time.	7	they're done being marked, one of the reports in
8	Q And you were living in Los Angeles?	8	this case talks about you having a Ph.D., and I
9	A Correct.	9	think they're questioning whether or not that's
0	Q Okay. Would you please describe your	10	true.
1	education starting with high school. And if you	11	Are you making an issue in this case as to
2	didn't attend high school in the States, then just	12	the truthfulness of that statement? In other words,
3	begin at whatever the equivalent was.	13	is part of your defamation claim or any other claim
4	A I started going to college here. I	14	based on whether or not you have a Ph.D.?
5	continued after college that I had the units from	15	MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a
6	Europe, England.	16	legal conclusion.
6 7	1	17	BY MR. GINGRAS:
	Q Did you attain a Bachelor's or Associate's		
8	degree?	18	Q You can answer the question. Do you claim
9	A Yes, I did.	19	that you have been defamed by somebody saying that
0	Q From what university?	20	you represent to people that you are a doctor or
1	A I got my degree finally from La Jolla	21	that you have a Ph.D. when in fact that's not the
2	University.	22	case?
3	Q And what degree was that?	23	MR. BLACKERT: Again, objection, calls for
4	A My Ph.D.	24	a legal conclusion.
5	Q What was the Ph.D. focusing in?	25	THE WITNESS: I don't represent anything
1	A Human Behavioral.	1	other than what I have.
2	Q And I'm sorry, you said Loyola?	2	BY MR. GINGRAS:
3	A Yes.	3	Q But you are a doctor? Is that your
4	Q And what year was that?	4	position?
5	A I believe 1990 or '91.	5	A I'm a Ph.D., yes.
6	Q Other than your Ph.D., what other degrees	6	Q Ph.D. And so if somebody said that you
7	do you have?	7	didn't have a Ph.D., would that statement be true or
8	A That's it.	8	false?
9	Q No undergraduate degree?	9	A False.
0	A I have Bachelor and Master from the same	10	Q Okay. And is that statement something
1	school.	11	that you are seeking to recover damages for in this
2	Q Is that a different school than Loyola?	12	case?
3	A No, La Jolla.	13	MR. BLACKERT: Objection
4	Q I keep hearing you say La Jolla, and then	14	BY MR. GINGRAS:
1 5	I hear Loyola.	15	Q I'm not trying to play any tricks with
6	A No, La Jolla.	16	you. What I'm asking is sometimes people will say
7	Q La Jolla?	17	things about a person, and you really don't care.
	•	18	Somebody could say that I used to play football in
8	A Yes. City of La Jolla in California.	1	
9	Q Just so I am really clear on what you're	19	the NFL. That's false, but I don't think that
0	saying, tell me again the name of the university	20	damages me, so I'm not concerned if they say that.
1	that you obtained your Ph.D. from.	21	That's what I'm trying to ask you. Is, is
2	A La Jolla.	22	the statement about your having a Ph.D. and telling
_	Q La Jolla University?	23	people falsely that you're a doctor when you're not,
	- ·		
3 4 5	A Correct. Q And that's in La Jolla, California?	24 25	I'm not saying that this is true or false, but is that part of your claim for damages?

1	MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a	1	Q And I think I read about one of them where
2	legal conclusion. Vague and ambiguous.	2	you and Ms. Llaneras were plaintiffs in a case in
3	THE WITNESS: All I can answer is that is	3	Federal Court. I think the word "Recovery" or
4	not the one element of defamation of somebody.	4	something was in the name of one of the defendants?
	-		_
5	There's more than that what it is	5	A Correct.
6	BY MR. GINGRAS:	6	Q What was that case about?
7	Q Of course, of course. But as to the Ph.D.	7	A I purchased a company, manufactures
8	statement, are you claiming any damages in this case	8	gloves, and we entered the agreement. It was a
9	as a result of that statement?	9	breach of contract.
0	And I'll give you an example. Possibly	10	Q Breach of contract case? And it looked
1	you had a customer who was doing business with you	11	like, from what I saw in the document, it didn't go
2	and then decided to stop doing business with you	12	very far. Did you reach some sort of a settlement?
3	and, when you asked them why, they said "Because I	13	A I had some money in the escrow, so they
4	heard that you're falsely claiming to have a Ph.D.	14	returned my money back.
5	when that's not true," something like that?	15	Q And it didn't go to trial?
6	MR. BLACKERT: Same objections.	16	A Correct.
7	THE WITNESS: I did not have any customer	17	Q Did you testify in a deposition in that
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18	
8	or anyone without being concerned about my		case?
9	BY MR. GINGRAS:	19	A No.
0	Q Fair enough. I'm not trying to belabor	20	Q And it didn't go to trial, so there was no
1	this point. I'm trying to understand your case.	21	trial testimony?
2	Okay? What professional licenses do you currently	22	A Yes.
3	hold, if any?	23	Q Besides that case, any other cases that
4	A California real estate broker license.	24	you've been involved in?
5	Q Is that current and in good standing?	25	A Not really.
	18	8	
1	A Yes, it is.	1	Q Sounds like you're unsure?
2	Q How long have you had that license?	2	A Well, you're talking about how far back?
3	A Maybe 20 years.	3	This is about ten years ago? 20 years ago?
4	Q Do you hold any other professional	4	Q As far as you can remember.
5	licenses?	5	A I had no other litigations.
6	A Not currently.	6	Q As far as you remember? But are you sure?
7	Q Have you in the past?	7	A (No audible response.)
8	A Yes, I did.	8	Q You're not sure?
		9	=
9	Q What would those licenses be?		A I'm not sure. It's been 20 years or more
0	A Real estate. And that's about it.	10	perhaps.
1	Q Like a salespersons license or something?	11	Q And I think you said earlier that you were
2	A Yes.	12	deposed in a previous case. Do you remember
3	Q Have you ever had a professional license	13	anything about that case?
4	revoked or denied for any reason?	14	A It was a broker. It was an arbitration I
5	A No.	15	believe.
	Q Have you ever been convicted of any crime?	16	Q And what was your relationship to the
6	A No.	17	case? Were you a party?
		18	A Yes.
7	O Have you ever been involved in any	1	Q Were you a plaintiff or defendant?
7 8	Q Have you ever been involved in any	19	
7 8 9	litigation in the past, whether as a plaintiff,	19	
7 8 9 0	litigation in the past, whether as a plaintiff, defendant or a witness? And I understand that you	20	A Defendant.
7 8 9 0	litigation in the past, whether as a plaintiff, defendant or a witness? And I understand that you mentioned your divorce, and I would include that,	20 21	A Defendant.Q What was the nature of the claims being
7 8 9 0 1 2	litigation in the past, whether as a plaintiff, defendant or a witness? And I understand that you mentioned your divorce, and I would include that, but we've already covered that.	20 21 22	A Defendant. Q What was the nature of the claims being made?
7 8 9 0 1 2	litigation in the past, whether as a plaintiff, defendant or a witness? And I understand that you mentioned your divorce, and I would include that, but we've already covered that. So other than the divorce, have you ever	20 21 22 23	 A Defendant. Q What was the nature of the claims being made? A Just the party has received this money and
6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	litigation in the past, whether as a plaintiff, defendant or a witness? And I understand that you mentioned your divorce, and I would include that, but we've already covered that.	20 21 22	A Defendant. Q What was the nature of the claims being made?

í (Did it go to trial?	1	Q Is it in good standing currently?
2 A	No. Well, he lost the case basically on	2	A I believe so.
3 the n	merit of his case. Arbitration.	3	Q Is that kind of like a parent company for
4 (Understand. Okay. Do you remember the	4	AEI?
	ne of the plaintiff in that case?	5	A Not really, no.
	A No.	6	Q Okay. Other than World Econ, what other
	Do you remember where that case was	7	businesses do you have any interest in? And that
	ding?	8	includes LLCs, corporations, unincorporated sole
_	Los Angeles, California.	9	proprietorships, anything you would regard as a
•	_	10	business.
. A		11	A Western American, Western American
2 (12	Q Is that Western?
	ore the Securities and Exchange Commission?	13	A Western American Pan Pacific.
	Yes.	14	Q Is that all one thing?
		15	A Yes.
•	A NASD.	16	Q What is Western American Pan Pacific?
C		17	A It's a real estate brokerage investment
•	It was a, I think this goes back to 1985	18	advisory consultant.
	be. It was a churning broker was did churning	19	Q Is that company still in business?
_	y account.	20	A Yes.
	And did you sue the broker?	21	Q And is World Econ still in business?
	Yes.	22	A Yes.
		23	
•	You filed a complaint, and it went through e kind of SEC arbitration or	24	Q Any other companies?A We Western American operates also in
	Correct.	25	DBA of Portfolio Securitization Capital Group.
A	2:		DBA of Fortiono Securitization Capital Group.
Q) NASD? Did you prevail?	1	Q And I've seen that, that name somewhere
A	It was resolved and dismissed basically.	2	before. Portfolio Securitization Capital Group?
Q	Did you receive any money from that?	3	A Yes.
A	No.	4	Q Is that a corporation or an LLC?
Q	Mr. Mobrez, do you own any interest in any	5	A It is a DBA of Western American.
busi	nesses I know we're going to talk a lot about	6	Q It's just a DBA. Okay. And is Portfolio
	Economic Institute, LLC, which we'll probably	7	Securitization Capital Group, is that in business
	reviate to AEI. I'm not sure if that's easier to	8	still?
	And I'll ask you about that.	9	A Yes, it is.
	But other than AEI, do you have any	10	Q What is the nature of that company's
	rests in any businesses?	11	business?
	Yes, I do.	12	A Currently commercial mortgages and
	Please tell me the names of those	13	commercial advisory.
_	nesses.	14	Q As a broker?
	World Econ.	15	A As a broker.
0		16	Q And I believe Portfolio Securitization
•	t each business is kind of generally?	17	Capital Group shares the same address as AEI; is
	World Econ was going to be a, a holding	18	that correct?
	ome of the assets of Asia Economic Institute as	19	A Yes.
	as Africa Economic Institute, to be transferred	20	Q Do you have any other business locations
	on World Econ.	21	
		22	other than that, I think it's a Wilshire address? A No.
	Is World Econ a corporation or LLC?		
A	- 1	23	Q I think we talked about three well, two
Q		24 25	companies and then a DBA. Any other businesses tha you own any interests in?
Ā	Yes.		

1	A N.	1	
1	A No.		Q Okay. Who, who would you regard as the
2	Q That's it?	2	owner of those websites?
3 4	Why don't we talk a little bit about AEI. I understand it's an LLC. Who are the members in	3 4	A Myself.
5	that LLC?	5	Q Personally or through AEI?A Combination.
6	A Myself and Iliana Llaneras.	6	Q Do you know who monitors or administers
7	Q Are you 50-50 members?	7	those websites? What I'm asking is, if content was
8	A I believe so, yes.	8	located on those websites, who would be the person
9	Q Anyone else?	9	that puts it there?
10	A No.	10	A Well, answer to that question is we have
11	Q Do you know if it's a manager-managed LLC	11	been out of business almost a year, and we were put
12	or a member-managed LLC? It's okay if you don't.	12	out of business by your client. So we have not been
13	A I don't.	13	maintaining these websites after basically this
14	Q Are you generally the person who is in	14	we've been out of business.
15	charge of the operations of AEI?	15	MR. GINGRAS: I'm not sure that that was
16	A Yes, I am.	16	an answer to my question. Can you read the
17	Q Mr. Mobrez, I understand that you have	17	question back.
18	either ownership of or involvement with several	18	(The previous question was read.)
19	websites; is that right?	19	BY MR. GINGRAS:
20	A Yes, I am.	20	Q Can you answer that question, please.
21	Q And I've actually tried to compile a list,	21	A Nobody puts any content any longer to
22	and I stopped at about 30 names. I'll just read off	22	these websites.
23	some of them. Tell me if these are all businesses	23	Q That's still not answering my question.
24	or websites that you're involved in.	24	I'm asking you who put the content there that is
25	AsiaEconomyToday.com?	25	currently there. Did you have a web developer or
	26	5	28
1	A Yes.	1	like a guy in your, in your company who was
2	Q AsiaEnergyForum.com?	2	responsible for running the website, making changes
3	A Yes.	3	to it, posting material, things of that nature?
4	Q AsiaBusinessInstitute.com?	4	A Yes, we did.
5	A Yes.	5	Q Who was that person? Was that you?
6	Q AsiaIntern.com?	6	A No.
7	A Yes.	7	Q Who was that person?
8	Q There's a list, like I said, it goes on	8	A It was a combination of various web
9	and on.	9	developers.
10	A I don't know Asia Intern exists.	10	Q Okay. Tell me as many names as you can
11	Q You don't know if it does?	11	remember.
12	A (No audible response.)	12	A George, Richard, Ivan
13	Q It's not important. It just seems to me	13	Q Do you recall the last names of any of
14	there's a lot of websites that you're involved with;	14	those people?
15	is that accurate?	15	A Richard
16	A Indeed.	16	Q Let me just make it easier. Were those
17	Q What is your involvement with those	17	employees of yours, or were those independent
18	websites?	18	contractors, or were they employed by an outside
19	A We developed these websites for potential	19	company?
20	businesses to bring it to the Asia Economic	20	A Web developers predominantly is
21	Institute and Africa Economic Institute.	21	contractors, independent contractors.
22	Q Do you have every single company on my	22	Q That you entered into some sort of a
23	list here begins with "Asia." Do you have a similar	23	relationship with on a per-job basis or something
24	list of names that relate to Africa?	24	short term?
25	A I believe so.	25	A Short term, yes.
	2`	/	29

1	Q Would you have records relating to who	1	let's not mix the residential and commercial in
2 t l	hose people are?	2	the same blend, blender.
3	A I assume, yes.	3	BY MR. GINGRAS:
1	Q Okay. Then I'll let those we'll figure	4	Q Well, I agree with you. I think we're
5 0	out if we need that. I'm not sure if this was	5	getting off on a tangent. I think my, my question
	overed by anything that you already said, but are	6	to you is really directed at why are you not
	ou currently employed?	7	employed either by yourself, for yourself, or with
3	A Employed by?	8	another company.
9	Q Yeah. That's what I'm asking is are you	9	Why are you not currently working?
	employed by someone. How do you earn a living	10	A This defamation, this, your posting,
	currently?	11	severely damaged my reputation. And I would contact
	A Savings.	12	anybody or anybody wants to contact me, they would
	Q So you're not working presently?	13	not want to do business with me.
<u>'</u>	A No.	14	Q And that's I think exactly what I'm trying
:)		15	
)	Q When did you last work?	1	to get at is are you claiming that something to do
	A I'm self-employed.	16	with my client's conduct has caused you to be unable
	Q That doesn't mean that you don't earn an	17	to work?
	ncome by doing something.	18	A Yes.
	A Yes.	19	Q Have you tried seeking employment anywhere
	Q When was the last time that you earned an	20	and been turned away?
	ncome from doing anything?	21	A Employment of what kind?
	MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and	22	Q I think you understand my question.
	ambiguous. Overbroad.	23	MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and
В	BY MR. GINGRAS:	24	ambiguous.
1	Q You can answer the question.	25	\\\
_	A Two years ago. Q And what, what was that?	1 2	BY MR. GINGRAS: Q Have you applied for any work anywhere in
	A Real estate.	3	the last two years?
	Q Specifically what type of real estate	4	A Can you be more specific? In 7-Eleven
9	activities?	5	or
a	A Commercial mortgages.	6	Q Yes. McDonald's?
		7	A I haven't.
	-	8	
	lot lately, so I understand why maybe you're not	'	Q Why not?
	loing that anymore. Is there any specific reason	9	A Maybe I'm overqualified.
	why you're no longer working?	10	Q But how would you know if you haven't
	A I like to revisit your answer your	11	applied?
	uestion.	12	MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and
	Q Which one?	13	ambiguous. Harassing.
	A The one, answer, just comment you made.	1 4	THE WITNESS: This is, this is not a
	n fact the real estate business is, it's the only	15	fruitful conversation.
	business right now. It's extremely vibrant.	16	BY MR. GINGRAS:
	Q Well, you'd agree with me that the	17	Q I'm trying to understand why you are
n	nortgage industry as a whole in our country has	18	making a connection between my client's conduct and
	experienced a lot of changes in the last several	19	your unemployment. I'm asking what evidence that
	rears, wouldn't you?	20	you have that anyone has been affected in any way by
	MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Relevancy.	21	what's on the Ripoff Report website.
	THE WITNESS: I respond to that by saying	22	MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and
	just one word. "Mortgage" is just a generic	23	ambiguous. Calls for a legal conclusion.
:	term, can be used from mobile home park to all	24	Overbroad.
	the way down to office building like this. So	25	Overbroad.
)			

1 BY MR. GINGRAS: 1 A No. I would say I am trying every day, 2 2 I'm trying to work with people, and I'm not getting Q You can answer the question. 3 A It's just, it seem to me we are not really anywhere ahead because of the, my inability to do my 3 conducting this in an intelligent manner. You're 4 4 work. 5 asking why shouldn't I work at a 7-Eleven or 5 Q Okay. So tell me the name of one person 6 McDonald's, and I'm responding to you over and over 6 who has refused to work with you because of the 7 7 again that if I would go and do any real estate or Ripoff Report postings. 8 large commercial mortgages like this building, they 8 A There is no one who's going to come and 9 would not trust me when they see my name first thing 9 say that Ripoff Report I saw there. I have had a 10 pops out the Ripoff Report. 10 pattern of 25 years people, they want to do business 11 Q And what is your basis? Because you 11 with me. And all of a sudden, stopped. And --12 haven't actually done that, so you don't know what 12 Q Name one of those people for me, please. 13 people would or wouldn't do. Would you agree with 13 MR. BLACKERT: Hold on. He was still 14 that? 14 answering his question. 15 15 THE WITNESS: I can give you one example. A No, sir --16 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for 16 We were in Asia. A governor of an estate 17 17 wanted to appoint me a representative in United 18 THE WITNESS: I have done that. 18 States. 19 19 BY MR. GINGRAS: A gentleman from the United States, I 20 Q When? 20 believe he is with the International Economic 21 21 A I have gone to search myself to my name. Development of County of San Bernardino or 22 Ripoff Report goes before my name, and it says, it 22 Riverside was standing next to me. And Moses 23 says "These are scam artists." 23 said, I think he was at the same time, searched 24 Q But you have not applied for any 2.4 for my name on his BlackBerry, and he said, 25 employment anywhere and been told that you're 25 "Raymond, you need to get yourself a lawyer." 34 36 ineligible to work there because of the Ripoff 1 BY MR. GINGRAS: 1 Report, have you? 2 2 Q And who is that person? What is that 3 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and 3 person's name who said that to you? 4 4 A Moses Cisseros (phonetic). ambiguous. 5 5 THE WITNESS: I don't need to seek an Q Can you spell that for us, please. 6 employment where I am self-employed for past 25 6 A I, I can give you his card because I have 7 7 years of my life. his card. I can make a copy of it and send it to 8 BY MR. GINGRAS: 8 you. Or I can --9 Q Well, explain to me why what's posted on 9 O So other than Moses -- strike that. 10 10 the Ripoff Report affects your ability to work for Did Moses tell you that he was not willing 11 11 to do business with you because of something that he yourself. 12 12 A Normally in today's world, anybody wants had read on the Ripoff Report website? 13 13 to do business with anyone, almost 99.99 percent A He did not say that. He said, "You need 14 14 will do a search engine on the name. And if the to find a lawyer for yourself." 15 name is some type of, has a bad reputation, people 15 Q And did he tell you that that was because 16 16 will not want to do business with them. of something that he had read on the Ripoff Report 17 17 Q So what you're telling me is that you website about you? 18 believe if you tried to work, nobody would work with 18 A Later did, he told me that he saw 19 you and, therefore, you are making no effort to 19 something on the Ripoff Report. 20 20 work? Q Okay. How can I get in touch with Moses? 21 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for 21 You said you have his card? 22 22 speculation. A Absolutely. BY MR. GINGRAS: 23 23 Q Where does Moses live? 24 Q Have I accurately characterized your 24 A I don't know. I'm assuming in Riverside 25 25 testimony? or San Bernardino. 35 37

1 Q And did this conversation take place in 1 MR. GINGRAS: We're going to start marking 2 Asia did vou sav? 2 some exhibits here. We've got, I've got all of 3 A Yes, sir. 3 the reports, Mr. Mobrez. Just so you know, 4 4 I've got all the reports at least currently as Q Where -- Asia's --5 A China. 5 of today that are on the Ripoff Report website, 6 Q China. Do you intend to call Moses as a 6 and I've marked them -- there's six of them. 7 7 witness at trial? I've marked them 1A and 1B through 6A and 6B. 8 8 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a And then we'll, let me just show these to you. 9 9 MS. SPETH: You're going to do all of them legal conclusion. 10 THE WITNESS: No. 10 at once? 11 11 BY MR. GINGRAS: MR. GINGRAS: Yeah, let's just go --12 Q Okay. Other than Moses, is there any 12 MS. SPETH: Why don't you start with that 13 13 specific person that you can tell me has either one, and I'll have a whole set for you -- or 14 directly or indirectly told you that they would not 14 you want to do them together? 15 do business with you because of something on the 15 (Whereupon, exhibits 1A through 6B were 16 Ripoff Report website about you? 16 marked for identification and are bound under 17 17 A A few. separate cover.) 18 18 Q Who? BY MR. GINGRAS: 19 19 A We had people in fact posted in the Ripoff Q Mr. Mobrez, normally when we do these 20 Report says, "I didn't want to work for them" --20 cases, I ask the witness to take a highlighter or a 21 21 Q And we'll look at that in a minute. But pen and mark every word on the reports themselves 22 other than that person, can you give me the names of 22 that they claim is false. Because, like I said 23 any specific people that told you they would not do 23 before, not every statement that is about a person, 24 24 business with you because of something on the Ripoff even if it's inaccurate, not every statement is 25 25 Report website about you? harmful and, therefore, not every statement might be 38 40 A We are getting to the area of --1 1 part of the case. 2 Q This is a yes-or-no question, sir. 2 I do not know which specific statements 3 A Yes. 3 you're claiming are false. So normally I would ask 4 4 that you underline the exact words that you're Q Who? 5 5 offended by in these posts. For reasons of time, I A Many. 6 Q Can you give me any names as we sit here 6 don't think we're going to have you do that today. 7 7 But are you aware of the fact that I've today? 8 8 A I have to look up and give you those sent discovery requests to your attorneys asking 9 names, list of it. 9 that you do essentially what I've just explained? 10 10 Q Okay. Why don't we take a minute and Are you aware of that? let's have some exhibits. This might help you a 11 11 A I am not or --12 12 little bit. O Do you have any -- is there any reason 13 13 that you can't do that today? Or by the time those Before we get to that, the exhibits, you 14 just said that you could look up the names of other 14 responses are due in a couple of weeks? 15 15 people. Where would you look up those names? A I don't see any reason I would not be able 16 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. 16 to do it. 17 17 Mischaracterizes plaintiff's testimony. MS. SPETH: That's three. 18 THE WITNESS: In my records or at my 18 BY MR. GINGRAS: 19 19 Q And, again, we'll get all of these to you. office. 20 20 BY MR. GINGRAS: Mr. Mobrez, you've obviously -- have you 21 Q Are they specific records you're thinking 21 seen each one of these before? 22 22 of? The reason I'm asking is so I can ask your A Yes. 23 23 lawyer to produce a copy of those records to me. Q When was the first time that you recall 24 A Business card or names. 24 seeing them? 25 25 MS. SPETH: That's for the court reporter. A On or about February of 2009. 39 41

1 Q All right. While we're finishing up with 1 Q I think I read in your declaration, and 2 that, again, I don't want to get into each specific 2 we'll get to that in a minute, that AEI has been in 3 statement that you're concerned about because I 3 business for nine years; is that right? 4 think it will take too much time. 4 A Correct, existence. 5 5 MR. GINGRAS: Can I have Exhibit 7, But I would like you to spend time with 6 these and highlight or underline in whatever way you 6 please. 7 wish the exact statements that you claim are false 7 MS. SPETH: So you're not going to use 1 8 8 and that you claim have hurt you in some way. through 6? You needed me to mark them. 9 9 Do you understand that? MR. GINGRAS: They're there. 10 A Correct. 10 MS. SPETH: Okay. 11 11 MR. GINGRAS: Did you give --Q Okay. Let's go back to AEI for a minute. 12 What kind of business is AEI? 12 MS. SPETH: I gave them their set, yes. 13 A Publication, news about economics and 13 BY MR. GINGRAS: 14 financial. 14 Q Do you have 1 through 6 in front of you? 15 15 Q Does AEI or did AEI ever sell any goods? A I have one --16 A No. 16 Q I'm sorry. She gave them to me, and I 17 17 didn't give them to you. That's the problem. Q Did it ever sell any services? 18 18 A So where is the one? Or doesn't matter A No. 19 19 Q How did AEI make money or try to make what order? 20 money? 20 Q You know, I do have a couple of specific 21 21 A AEI was in the R and D stage, and questions about reports, and we'll get to them in a 22 22 practically they reached the finish line. We were minute, so if you can just keep them in order as 23 about to put our seminars, conferences, perhaps 23 best you can. 24 selling a membership to some of the programs. 2.4 A Okay. 25 **Q** Is that everything? 25 (Whereupon, Exhibit 7 was marked for 42 44 1 A That's about --1 identification and is bound under separate 2 2 Q I'm still not clear at all on how you cover.) 3 intended to make money through AEI. Can you just 3 BY MR. GINGRAS: 4 sort of explain to me what services or products --4 Q I'll show you what I've marked as 5 A Seminars, conferences. 5 Exhibit 7. Exhibit 7 is a printout of the 6 Q What do you mean by seminars? 6 California Secretary of State website where you can 7 7 find information on companies. And I printed this A Seminars. 8 Q Seminars on what? 8 out myself some time ago. 9 A In economics, finance. 9 And it occurred -- it appears here that 10 10 Q So your intent was to put on a seminar Asia Economic Institute, LLC, was formed on where you would speak on some issue and then you 11 11 February 7th, 2007. 12 would charge money for people to come there? 12 Do you see that date on Exhibit 7? 13 A Not personally but, yes. Conferences and 13 A Yes, I do. 14 14 seminars are conducted not by the, only the O Does that date accurately reflect the date 15 15 principal. There's other people that come into that AEI was formed as an LLC? these forums. 16 16 A Yes. 17 17 Q You'd hire speakers or --Q Prior to February of 2007, did AEI exist 18 A Yeah, yes. 18 as simply a sole proprietorship or some kind of 19 Q Did AEI ever actually do that? 19 unincorporated business? 20 20 A It's about to do it. A Unincorporated. 21 Q That wasn't my question. In the past has 21 Q Right. Was it a DBA of something else? 22 22 AEI ever put on any seminars? A I believe maybe a DBA, yes. 23 23 A No. Q Of one of your other companies? 24 Q Has AEI ever made any money? 24 A Yes. 25 25 A No. Do you know which one? 43 45

1 A Not any of the companies. DBA by itself. 1 February of 2007. And I think you just said it's 2 Q Okay. Does AEI have a website? 2 been out of business for at least a year. Your 3 A Yes. 3 testimony is what it is. 4 Q Is that website located at 4 When, when did AEI last engage in anything 5 5 that you would consider doing business? www.AsiaEcon.org? 6 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and 6 A Yes. 7 7 (Whereupon, Exhibit 8 was marked for ambiguous. 8 8 identification and is bound under separate THE WITNESS: Maybe June --9 9 BY MR. GINGRAS: cover.) 10 10 BY MR. GINGRAS: O Of what year? 11 11 A 2009. Q Exhibit 8. Mr. Mobrez, I'll show you what 12 12 Q June of 2009? I've marked as Exhibit 8 to your deposition. This 13 is a "Who Is" search for the website AsiaEcon.org. 13 A Uh-huh. 14 This document appears to reflect the date 14 Q And from the time that AEI was formed in 15 15 that that domain name was purchased as being the February of 2007 until June of 2009, what do you 16 16 26th of January 2007. Is that about what your think is the total number of employees -- again, I'm 17 17 defining that term more broadly than just W-2 memory is as to the date that you first created the 18 18 employees -- what do you think is the total number or purchased the AsiaEcon.org domain name? 19 19 A Domain, yes. of people who came through your doors as employees? 20 20 A It's a very broad question. I don't O Prior to January 26, 2007, did AEI have 21 21 any other website that it used for business? remember exactly. 22 22 Q You said there were 27 people or so 23 23 working there at one time. Are we talking about 27 Q It simply just didn't have a web presence? 24 2.4 A Exactly. or maybe 30 employees in the lifetime of the 25 Q Prior to that date. 25 company, or was it more than that? 46 48 A I --Okay. How many people currently work at 1 1 2 2 AEI? Q My question is whether or not you had 3 A There's nobody working at AEI. 3 somebody who came in, worked for a week and then 4 Q Okay. In the past, what was the largest 4 left. 5 number of employees that you had at any one time? 5 A For a weekend or a week? 6 And for the purposes of that question, let's define 6 A week, a day, a month, whatever. Q 7 "employees" as including W-2 employees, independent 7 contractors, interns, exchange students, anybody 8 8 Q You have many people? 9 that showed up to work at AEI on a more or less 9 Α Yeah. 10 regular basis. 10 Q And do you have records referring to who 11 11 What's the largest number of people that those people are? 12 12 you had working for AEI at any one time? A Depends. If it's an outside contractor, a 13 13 A Twenty-seven, 25. lot of times we did not retain the information. 1 4 14 Q And do you have records showing who those Q Well, you would have some sort of -- you 15 15 people were? would have paid them something, wouldn't you? 16 16 A I'm assuming, yes, we had some records, A I assume, yes. 17 17 Tell me, when people work at AEI, what do Q 18 Q Would those people have worked for AEI 18 they do? 19 before or after it was formed as an LLC? 19 A Web development, structuring this web 20 20 A After. itself, building up the site, content management, 21 Q Prior to it being formed as an LLC, did it 21 developing the programs. 22 22 have any employees, AEI? Q And I assume that you had at least one W-2 23 23 A No. employee. Do you know what I mean when I say W-2? 24 Q Okay. During -- when did AEI actually 24 A On the payroll? 25 cease doing business? Obviously it began in 25 Q Right. 47 49

1 A Yes. 1 horrible happens the day you open it, and nobody 2 2 comes back again to that shop. Q How many employees did you have that were 3 3 not W-2 employees? How many workers? Let's use Did it make money? No. Did it make an 4 4 effort to make the money? Yes, they did. Every that word. 5 5 A I don't remember exactly. business is the same. 6 O Majority of them? 6 Q What effort did you make to make money? 7 7 A Because we were in a stage of development You said that you never actually held any seminars, 8 8 so how do you know how successful that seminar would of the site, predominantly the technicians or web 9 developers are independent contractors. 9 have been if you had had one? 10 Q Did you ever have people that worked for 10 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Overbroad. 11 11 you for free? Calls for speculation. Vague and ambiguous. 12 12 A Yes. BY MR. GINGRAS: 13 13 Q How many? Q You can answer the question. 14 14 A A few. A Seminar business, it's a model that has 15 15 been very successful throughout the United States Q How many is a few? 16 16 A Five, 10, 20. and the world. Challenging that particular how the 17 17 Q Could it have been more than that? people will go there and why they would go there, I 18 18 A Worked for free what purpose? can't speak of that. 19 19 Q I don't know, but I don't really care. All I can tell you, the same thing as 20 20 University of Phoenix. Opens it up the door, I'm just asking you if people worked there who 21 21 classrooms comes in, students will come and pay for didn't get paid, because they were interns, because 22 22 the class. But you can't say if we would not have they were students, whatever. 23 A Because they were sent by universities? 23 built the building, how will you know somebody will 24 24 go to the building. I can't speak to the Q Sure. 25 25 A Yes. speculation of that. 50 52 Q Okay. Just to rewind slightly, you said 1 Q Two things. First of all, how much 1 2 that AEI basically ceased doing business in June of 2 seminar experience do you have? 3 2009; is that right? 3 A I've been doing seminar, going myself to, 4 4 religiously to all kinds of seminars almost 25, 27 A Yes. 5 5 Q Is there some specific event that sticks years. 6 out in your mind as the day that you closed the 6 Q But in terms of putting on seminars, 7 doors or turned off the lights? Is there something 7 managing seminars and charging people to attend 8 that happened in June that made you make a decision 8 seminars, how much experience do you have doing any 9 to stop doing business with AEI? 9 of those things in your life? 10 10 A We were basically this reports, Ripoff A This is not a business that you need to 11 Report, was in our throat and we were suffocating. 11 have a personal experience as much as you need to 12 12 I don't know what time the last breath came out. have a content, the subject matter and understanding 13 13 You're asking me when was the last breath, of the, the business. 1 4 14 I don't know exact moments of it. But it did die, Q So the answer is that you've never had any 15 15 yes. experience doing seminars but you think you would 16 16 have been good at it? Q Mr. Mobrez, an important issue in this 17 case is whether or not the reports that you have in 17 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. 18 front of you actually caused AEI to suffer any 18 Mischaracterizes witness's testimony. 19 19 THE WITNESS: Not myself. I can -- you're losses. Okay? 20 20 And I think you just explained to me that talking about who would manage day-to-day 21 AEI never made any money ever; right? 21 operation of a seminar? Those are 22 22 A We, we -seminar-operating people. 23 23 BY MR. GINGRAS: Q It's a yes-or-no question. 24 A Answer is no and also yes. You open an 24 Q But you yourself never ran any seminar 25 25 ice cream shop, you sign a lease, and something businesses in the past? 51 53

1 A No. 1 BY MR. GINGRAS: 2 Q Okay. And you made me lose my train of 2 Q All right. Do you want to move on or -- I 3 thought. Give me just a second. 3 jut want your testimony on this. You're going to 4 4 MR. BLACKERT: You want to break for a have to explain it to a jury if you don't explain it 5 5 couple minutes? Or you want to keep -to me, so I'd like to know. 6 MR. GINGRAS: No, no, let's keep going. 6 A I'll explain to you and the jury the same 7 Q Mr. Mobrez, you would agree with me, you 7 way. 8 used the ice cream shop example; right? You would 8 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Harassing. 9 agree with me that some people open ice cream shops 9 MS. BORODKIN: Can we go off the record 10 and they fail despite their best efforts? Isn't 10 for a second? 11 11 that a fair statement? MR. GINGRAS: No, we cannot. 12 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and 12 MS. BORODKIN: It's not a jury trial. 13 13 ambiguous. MR. GINGRAS: Yes, it is. Read the 14 THE WITNESS: They would sell at least one 14 Court's order. 15 15 ice cream first day. Q Mr. Mobrez, I just want to understand your 16 16 BY MR. GINGRAS: position. I'm not trying to be harassing. I'm 17 17 Q I would hope so. But sometimes businesses trying to have you tell me what I'm not getting 18 18 fail just because that's the way businesses work? clearly. Is there anything --19 19 Isn't that a fair statement? A What is your question? 20 20 Q My question is how do you know that your MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Call for 21 21 speculation. business has failed if you've never tried putting on 22 22 THE WITNESS: It's a very peculiar, it's a any seminars? 23 very peculiar way of looking at the businesses. 23 A Sir, I used to go out and hand my business 24 BY MR. GINGRAS: 24 card. People would love to contact me again, talk 25 25 Q Are you saying that no business ever to me. Asia Economics. And they wanted to know 54 56 fails? 1 1 more about Asia. 2 2 A Businesses fails in different manners and Today I hand a business card or I would 3 shapes and forms. 3 have handed a business card in six, nine months ago, 4 nobody will even contact me back again. 4 Q Well, and most people wouldn't reach the 5 conclusion that their business had failed until they 5 Q Okay. 6 had at least tried selling one ice cream cone; 6 The pattern changed drastically. 7 7 Q Let's move on a little bit. I think right? 8 8 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and everyone would agree it's time to do that. 9 ambiguous. Calls for speculation. Overbroad. 9 Your complaint references a report on my 10 BY MR. GINGRAS: 10 client's website that talks about a person who said 11 11 that they had a job interview with you and accepted Q Don't answer, don't answer the question. 12 What I'm trying to get at is couldn't --12 the job interview, I think, and then they were going 13 13 isn't it fair to say that you could try to put on a to report for work the next day but they Googled 14 seminar tomorrow; right? There's no reason you 14 your name, found these reports and decided to blow 15 15 couldn't try? you off. Does that ring a bell generally? 16 16 A Sir, this is not an intelligent A I have seen it on your report. 17 17 conversation. I will say over and over, there's no Q See if you can find Exhibit 4. 18 one will go to my seminar tomorrow when my name is 18 A So which one is -- I apologize. Okay, 19 tarnished and name of the organization is tarnished. 19 thank you. Four, yes. 20 20 Q I understand Moses won't go to your Q And 4A specifically is kind of a screen 21 seminar. But how do you know that other people 21 print of the Ripoff Report website. And then 4B is 22 22 won't unless you try? the actual text of what's there, minus the 23 23 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for surrounding art and so forth. 24 speculation. Harassing. 24 Do you remember interviewing a person who 25 25 /// you offered a job to -- I'm just going to, I'm just 55 57

	going to look at this specifically. The author of	1	ask you have you ever seen this before.
	this report says that he met with you, was offered a	2	A What is this?
	job, and that you said come in to work tomorrow at	3	Q Well, why don't you look at it and tell me
	11:00 A.M. and then this guy decided not to come in.	4	if you've seen it before.
	Do you know who this is, who this author	5	A This is Rotary Club of Los Angeles?
	is?	6	Q Look at the next page. Specifically the
'	A No.	7	right-hand side of the next page.
	Q Do you recall offering a job to somebody	8	A Yes.
	and telling them to start work at 11:00 A.M. the	9	Q Do you know what's written there?
	next day and then they never came in?	10	A Yes.
	A Many people probably.	11	Q Have you ever seen this before?
	Q How many?	12	MR. BLACKERT: Give him a chance to read
	A I don't know.	13	it first.
	Q So more than one person you made a job	14	BY MR. GINGRAS:
	offer to and they didn't come in the next day?	15	Q Are you a member of the Rotary Club of Los
	A Yeah.	16	Angeles?
	Q When, when do you recall that happening?	17	A Yes, I am.
	Like what time frame?	18	Q And did you become a member around April
	A After these postings.	19	of 2008?
	Q But never before?	20	A No.
	A Never before.	21	Q When did you become a member?
	Q And so you don't so numerous people	22	A I believe December 2007 or January of
	actually did what this gentleman did or woman and	23	2008.
	just didn't come in at 11:00 A.M. the next day, but	24	
	you can't remember which person that was?	25	Q Oh, sure. So you could have, you would have been a member prior to this, but maybe they
	58		have been a member prior to this, but maybe they
	A Yes.	1	only do like a quarterly newsletter so it didn't
	Q Yes, you cannot remember?	2	come up until April of 2008; right?
	A Yes, I do not remember.	3	A Correct.
	Q Okay. How many people have you offered	4	Q Looking at the text that is written there,
	jobs to at AEI while it was in business?	5	does that look familiar to you?
	A We had ads in the paper.	6	A Yes, it is.
	Q Which paper?	7	Q Do you know who wrote that?
	A Job sites, job papers.	8	A The person who sponsored me to the Rotary
	Q Can you give me the name of one specific	9	Club.
	one?	10	Q And it looks like that person is Denise
	A Like Craig's List.	11	Anthony?
	_	12	Anthony: A Correct.
	Q Any printed newspapers, like the "Los Angeles Times"?	13	
			Q Who is Denise Anthony?
	A I don't recall at this time. We may have	14	A She's another member of Rotary Club.
	done that, yes.	15	Q Do you know where Denise works?
	O A4b b -24 - 19 3 7 4	16	A Some type of human resource.
	Q Any other websites like Monster.com,	1 -	Q Do you know where Denise got the
	things like that?	17	- •
	things like that? A I think we have done that also.	18	information that is written in this, this little
	things like that? A I think we have done that also. MR. GINGRAS: Let's look at Exhibit 9.	18 19	information that is written in this, this little column here?
	things like that? A I think we have done that also. MR. GINGRAS: Let's look at Exhibit 9. (Whereupon, Exhibit 9 was marked for	18 19 20	information that is written in this, this little column here? MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for
	things like that? A I think we have done that also. MR. GINGRAS: Let's look at Exhibit 9. (Whereupon, Exhibit 9 was marked for identification and is bound under separate	18 19 20 21	information that is written in this, this little column here? MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for speculation.
	things like that? A I think we have done that also. MR. GINGRAS: Let's look at Exhibit 9. (Whereupon, Exhibit 9 was marked for identification and is bound under separate cover.)	18 19 20 21 22	information that is written in this, this little column here? MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for
	things like that? A I think we have done that also. MR. GINGRAS: Let's look at Exhibit 9. (Whereupon, Exhibit 9 was marked for identification and is bound under separate	18 19 20 21	information that is written in this, this little column here? MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for speculation.
	things like that? A I think we have done that also. MR. GINGRAS: Let's look at Exhibit 9. (Whereupon, Exhibit 9 was marked for identification and is bound under separate cover.)	18 19 20 21 22	information that is written in this, this little column here? MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for speculation. BY MR. GINGRAS:

1	Q How long have you known Denise Anthony?	1	deal.
2	A About 30 years.	2	A I didn't see it. If I would have seen it,
3	Q Thirty years? So you know her fairly	3	I would have said the same thing what I told you
4	well?	4	today.
5	A I would say so.	5	Q And you said you were born in Iran?
6	Q You don't specifically recall helping her	6	A Yes.
7	write this or giving her a draft or anything like	7	Q Is everything else in that last paragraph
8	that?	8	accurate more or less?
9	A No. Maybe she got it from my website or	9	A More or less, yes.
0	something.	10	Q One thing that I specifically was
1	Q Sure. Looking at the first paragraph	11	interested in in the last paragraph there was the
2	where it begins with your name, talks about Raymond	12	statement that you are a certified tax credit
3	Mobrez I'm sorry, is it Mobrez or Mobrez?	13	specialist. Is that statement accurate?
4	A Mobrez.	14	A No longer I am. I did not continue that.
5	Q Ph.D., holds an MBA in finance, so on and	15	Q Were you ever a certified tax credit
6	so forth. Near the bottom of that paragraph it	16	specialist?
7	talks about you being "the director of the Asia	17	A Yes.
3	Economic Institute, which focuses on global	18	Q Certified by who?
9	economics and in particular Asia. The institute is	19	A Certified tax credit specialist, do you
)	a global publication that caters to think tanks,	20	know what it is?
1	central banks, securities and exchanges as well as	21	Q No idea.
2	universities and the financial sector."	22	A Okay. It's a certified real estate tax
3	Is that text accurate?	23	credit, not a tax credit. A real estate tax credit.
4	A Yes.	24	Q That's helpful to know. But again who,
5	Q I don't see anything in there about	25	who certified you as a real estate tax credit
1	seminars. Obviously the word "caters" is a little	1	specialist?
2	bit vague. Did you ever have any think tanks as	2	A I went to a class that goes back maybe 20
3	customers?	3	years ago. And the program that I was completed.
1	A We have our basically publication was	4	Q The program had something to do with real
5	geared for, to be used by central banks, security	5	estate tax credits, and you got a certificate from
5	and exchanges around Asian countries.	6	that?
7	Q Okay. So these weren't my next	7	
, 8	question was going to be if you could list for me	8	A I believe so, yes. Q Okay. Mr. Mobrez, before Rip before
9	any customers that you actually had who were think	9	Q Okay. Mr. Mobrez, before Rip before AEI was formed in 2007 well, my question that I
)	tanks or banks or so forth.	10	wrote were how were its profits and losses treated
1		11	
	But it sounds like really you were		for tax purposes. But I assume it had no profits
2	intending to focus on those people but never got to	12	before 2007.
3	do so because of the Ripoff Report website?	13	A Correct. O And it had no profits often 2007 until
4	A No. We did have connected with all of	14	Q And it had no profits after 2007 until
5 6	these people. We were in had a, as you know,	15	June of 2009 when it ceased doing business?
)	about almost four years before the time or three	16	A Correct.
	years, to develop this site. We had many security	17	Q Did it have any losses?
7		18	A The biggest losses are time, my life
7 8	and exchanges or central banks to contact them to be	1 ^	
7 8 9	aware of us and exchange informations or they can go	19	savings and efforts we put into this, built this
7 8 9 0	aware of us and exchange informations or they can go to our website and collect some data.	20	business and the institute.
7 8 9 0	aware of us and exchange informations or they can go to our website and collect some data. Q Looking at the last paragraph in Exhibit 9	20 21	business and the institute. Q Did AEI ever file any tax returns?
7 8 9 0 1	aware of us and exchange informations or they can go to our website and collect some data. Q Looking at the last paragraph in Exhibit 9 where it begins "Raymond was born in England," do	20 21 22	business and the institute. Q Did AEI ever file any tax returns? A Yes.
7 8 9 0 1 2	aware of us and exchange informations or they can go to our website and collect some data. Q Looking at the last paragraph in Exhibit 9 where it begins "Raymond was born in England," do you see that?	20 21 22 23	business and the institute. Q Did AEI ever file any tax returns? A Yes. Q Do you know in what years?
7 8 9 0 1 2	aware of us and exchange informations or they can go to our website and collect some data. Q Looking at the last paragraph in Exhibit 9 where it begins "Raymond was born in England," do	20 21 22	business and the institute. Q Did AEI ever file any tax returns? A Yes.

1 A I believe so. 1 BY MR. GINGRAS: Q Did AEI ever report any tax losses, any 2 2 Q Do you object to producing tax returns 3 3 losses that reduced anyone's taxable income? that relate to the truth of whether or not AEI's 4 4 A I'm assuming it is. losses were ever used to produce taxable income for 5 5 Q You're aware, Mr. Mobrez, that one of the yourself, Ms. Llaneras or --6 reports at issue in this case, I would say kind of 6 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Privacy. 7 7 generally accuses you of actually I think the MS. SPETH: Who is defending this? 8 8 MR. GINGRAS: First of all, one lawyer per statement is laundering money. 9 9 objection. And secondly --But when you read it, I think what the 10 author was referring to was using AEI to generate 10 MS. SPETH: If you want me to ask 11 11 losses that could be used to offset income from questions, I will. 12 another business that you or Ms. Llaneras owned. 12 BY MR. GINGRAS: 13 13 Do you, are you familiar with that Q Do you have any objection to producing tax 14 statement? It's actually in Exhibit 1, if you'd 14 returns that would show whether or not any losses 15 15 like to look at Exhibit 1. from AEI were applied to anyone else, yourself, 16 A I categorically deny that that was our 16 Ms. Llaneras or any of your other businesses? 17 17 A That's very common that one business -purpose. 18 18 Q Whether or not that was your purpose, you you can buy two houses. One of them you lose money, 19 understand that truth is a defense to defamation? 19 and the other one you have a capital gain. 20 Do you understand that? 20 Q I completely agree with you. I completely 21 21 agree it's a harmless thing to do. It's a smart A Correct. 22 22 Q So if you used AEI to generate losses, thing to do. 23 intentionally or not, if you wrote losses off 23 A Are you doing taxations here? 24 24 through AEI and applied them to a different company, Q Me? 25 25 then that statement would be true; right? A I mean, if you buy two houses and you lose 66 68 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. "Loss," vague money in one of them and you make money on the other 1 1 2 2 and ambiguous. 3 THE WITNESS: If you have -- I'm not a tax 3 Q Mr. Mobrez, you're not quite understanding specialist. All I can tell you, if you have a I'm on your side with respect to this. There's 4 4 5 business that loses money and you make money, 5 nothing wrong with doing that. There's nothing 6 let's say, by something else, I'm assuming 6 wrong at all. I agree with you. 7 all -- it's very common in corporations that 7 I'm asking you whether or not the statement is true. That's all I'm asking. 8 8 one lose money, one make money. 9 BY MR. GINGRAS: 9 A I'm saying it's not true. It's malicious. 10 10 Q I totally and completely agree with you. It's ambiguous, and it's peculiar about a point that 11 11 if AEI makes -- loses money and if I made money from I don't think there's anything wrong at all with 12 doing that. Okay? I just want to be clear with 12 selling any real estate, I don't know how that 13 13 taxation of that offsets. I'm not a CPA. I don't you. 1 4 14 But to the extent that the author claims know how the CPA is going to characterize that. 15 15 that that's what you were doing, I want to know if Q Do you have a CPA? 16 16 that statement's true. A I'm sure we do. 17 17 Q Do you know who that person's name is? A It's not true. 18 Q So you never used any losses from AEI to 18 A Not at this time. We had CPAs in the 19 19 reduce taxable income for yourself, Ms. Llaneras or past, yes. 20 20 any other business that you were involved in? Q Did you file a tax return -- we just 21 21 passed April. Did you file a tax return in April? 22 22 Q And are you prepared to produce tax A For this year I don't know. 23 23 returns that would show that? Q Who would know? 24 A Yes --24 A Our, we have a bookkeeper and we have 25 25 Iliana may know --MS. BORODKIN: Objection. Privacy. 67 69

1	Q What is your bookkeeper's name?	1	investigation into the facts alleged in the
2	A Stefka (phonetic).	2	complaint before you filed this lawsuit? I'm asking
3	Q Can you spell that for us, please?	3	about you personally, Raymond.
4	A Just say Stephanie.	4	A Investigation of what?
5	Q I need the full name, please.	5	Q The facts that you allege in the
5	A I don't know the last name. I don't	6	complaint.
7	remember exactly.	7	A Yes.
8	Q Who is Stephanie a bookkeeper for?	8	Q What did you do? What investigation did
9	A She's a full-charge bookkeeper for, comes	9	you do?
)	and does all of our bookkeepings.	10	A What do you mean "investigations"? We
L	Q And who is she employed by?	11	have gone through your website
2	A She's independent contractor like any	12	Q Let's take this one point at a type. So
3	other bookkeepers they are.	13	you went through the Ripoff Report website. That's
1		14	one thing you did?
± 5	Q So one last time, and we'll get off this question because I don't want to waste time. Is it	15	A Right.
5	-	16	Q What other investigation did you do? Did
7	your testimony that AEI has that you have never		•
}	used any losses from AEI to offset taxes or gains	17	you talk to any other people?
	for yourself, Ms. Llaneras or any of your other	18	A In where? With Magedson or somebody else?
)	businesses?	19	Q I know you talked to Ed Magedson. I'm
)	A I don't	20	aware of that. Other than him, did you talk to
-	Q Or you don't know?	21	anyone else about the claims in this case? Not
2	A I don't know.	22	including your lawyers, have you talked to anybody
}	MR. GINGRAS: You don't know. Okay. Can	23	else about what kind of business Ripoff Report was,
l	I have Exhibit 10? You are good. Okay.	24	anything that is in your complaint?
5	(Whereupon, Exhibit 10 was marked for	25)	MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Overbroad.
	identification and is bound under separate	1	THE WITNESS: I'm not quite sure. You're
2	cover.)	2	asking a very generic question that I don't
3	BY MR. GINGRAS:	3	know how I can answer that.
	Q Mr. Mobrez, I've just handed you	4	BY MR. GINGRAS:
	Exhibit 10 to your deposition. This is the	5	Q I'm just asking what you did.
	complaint that you filed against Xcentric Ventures	6	A I contacted, looked at some of the people
7	and other defendants.	7	that in Ripoff Report, and I read on some other
}	You've obviously seen this before;	8	websites what they said about Ripoff Report. I
)	correct?	9	searched whatever the public records are out there
)	A Yes.	10	about Ripoff Report.
-	Q Did you read this before it was filed?	11	Q Okay. Let's, let's slow down. Just so
)	A I superficially, yes.	12	I'm clear, did you talk to any other people about
3	Q Are all of the factual allegations in the	13	Ripoff Report? And what I mean by that is some
ŀ	complaint true and correct, to the best of your	14	people might, like, hire a private investigator or
5	knowledge?	15	something. They might just pick up the phone and
5	A Yes.	16	call someone. Did you do anything like that?
7	Q Are there any factual allegations in the	17	A No.
3	complaint that you might have thought were true at	18	Q So you didn't talk to any other people?
)	the time but you have since learned were not	19	A Not to the best of my knowledge, no.
)	accurate?	20	Q Did you talk to anyone else who had been
L	A No. It's true.	21	listed on the Ripoff Report website?
2	Q I'm sorry, I didn't understand	22	A Not personally. But we had some people
3	A All is true.	23	that contacted.
		24	
4 5	Q It's all true. Okay. Did you personally perform any	25	Q And who did that?
1	Dia you personany periorm any	ĽΣ	A We had helpers.

			AND DIAGREDIE OLI II GUILG
1	Q Who were those helpers?		MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a
2	A A part-time helper specifically for to	2	legal conclusion.
3	search for these information for us.	3	THE WITNESS: That's up to our counsels.
4	Q Okay. And what is that person's name?	4	BY MR. GINGRAS:
5	A I'm going blank because I think I am	5	Q Okay. Do you know when the investigation
6	going to say Serbh, S-e-r-b-h. I don't remember his	6	started that they did?
7	last name.		A Last year, last summer.
8	Q What did Serbh do?A Analyzed the website, contact searched	8	Q Can you be any more last summer? A Uh-huh.
	the public records.	10	
10 11	Q What public records are you talking about?	11	Q And, again, I assume that you have some
12	Like lawsuits?	12	sort of payment records? Did you ever correspond
13	A Lawsuits.	13	with any of these people, Bahshani or Serbh?
14		14	A No, but I have a payment. I can, we can
15	Q Is Serbh the only person that did that?	15	get a copy of
16	A I believe we had maybe another one more	16	Q Do you recall how much that was?
17	person, yes.	17	A I don't I can't recall right now
18	Q And what was that other person's name? A I think it's Bahshani, B-a-h-s-h-a-n-i.	18	exactly.
19	•		Q Did you, other than those two people, did you ever talk to anyone else regarding Ripoff
20	Q Is that the person's entire name? A I think it's a first name. I don't	19 20	
21	remember exactly the last name.	21	Report?
22		22	A We contacted many people to Q And I'm asking you to tell me to the best
23	Q How can I determine who those people are? Did you pay them anything?	23	of your memory who, who those people are.
24	A I believe, yes.	24	A I personally didn't call. But I know
25	Q So you would have records relating to	25	there were, calls were made.
	7		There were, earlies were made.
1		1	0. 0. 1.0
1	people that you paid to do research on Ripoff		Q By who?
2	Report?	3	A By these two and maybe I might have called myself to one of the or returned a call to one of
4	A I would say so.Q Where do those people where are those	4	the person whose name a or business appeared on
5	Q Where do those people where are those people located?	5	Ripoff Report.
6	A Los Angeles.	6	Q And who was that?
7	Q They're local?	7	A I don't recall exactly now, but I can get
8	A Yes.	8	that name for you.
9	Q Did you ever meet them personally?	9	Q Okay. Have you ever spoken to or do you
10	A Yes.	10	know the name John Brewington? Does that name ring
11	Q What information, if any, did they find	11	a bell to you at all?
12	for you regarding the Ripoff Report website? What	12	A John what?
13	did they tell you they found?	13	Q Brewington, B-r-e-w-i-n-g-t-o-n?
14	A Ripoff Reports are very harmful to every	14	A That rings a bell. What does he do?
15	businesses. It's unverified information. And	15	Q He's a private investigator or he claims
16	Ripoff Report goes on search engines before the	16	to be. He also claims to be a police officer,
17	names of the business goes.	17	federal agent, various things.
18	Q And Bahshani at I'm sorry, Bahshani?	18	A He, I think we called him a couple of days
19	A Yes.	19	ago.
20	Q And Serbh?	20	Q Who called him?
21	A Yes.	21	MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Attorney-client
22	Q They told you that?	22	privilege. Instruct the witness not to answer.
23	A Yeah.	23	BY MR. GINGRAS:
24	Q Are you planning on calling either of	24	Q Mr. Mobrez, if you walk across the street
25	those people as witnesses at trial in this case?	25	and tell your lawyers about it, I still get to ask
	7		77

1 you if you walked across the street. Okay? A 1 other part of your case? Any other help that he's 2 conversation between your lawyers and a third party 2 given you, any other information that he's given to 3 is not privileged. And I'm entitled to discover 3 vou? 4 that information. All right? 4 A No. We haven't talked to him. We don't 5 5 Your lawyers can instruct you not to know who he is. 6 answer, but I want to caution you that if they do 6 Q Do you intend to call John Brewington as a 7 7 that, I'm entitled to contact the court, ask for a witness in this case? 8 8 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for -ruling on that objection and, if necessary, to reset 9 9 your deposition at a later time at your expense. objection. Calls for attorney information. 10 Okav? 10 BY MR. GINGRAS: 11 11 Q Do you know are you going to call John So I want you to think very clearly about 12 12 whether or not you want to answer questions when Brewington as a witness --13 your lawyer has instructed you not to do so. 13 A It's up to our counsel, not myself. 14 Okay? 14 Q No decision has been made on that yet? 15 15 A I never -- I don't know what does he do. A I would say I understand, and I'd like to 16 make one comment. There is nothing here that, about 16 You just told me. And the more you told me, I 17 the name you just, John you mentioned, that we have 17 remembered who he was, through YouTube. 18 been in communication every day that we know him. 18 Q Other than Mr. Brewington, do you recall 19 I'm not sure how this name came across. But we were 19 talking to any other private investigators about 20 20 going to contact him because I think he contacted **Ripoff Report?** 21 21 A If you can tell me the name like John us. 22 22 Q Do you recall when that happened? Brewington, then I can elaborate. But, you know --23 A I don't know exactly. 23 O Do you think there are other people? I Q Did John Brewington, to your knowledge, 24 24 don't know any other names. I'll be honest with 25 provide any useful information to you? 25 you. 78 80 A I--1 1 A In that case, I don't know. 2 2 Q Or any information, whether it was useful Q You don't know. Okay. I think you said 3 or not? 3 before that you first learned about reports on 4 4 A I don't think so. Ripoff Report -- I don't want to misstate your 5 Q Do you know if Mr. Brewington provided any 5 testimony, so just answer the question again. 6 documents to you? 6 When was the first time that you learned 7 7 A I don't believe so. that the reports were there, that any of them were 8 8 Q Do you know if he provided any videotapes there? I think you said February of 2009 I think? 9 to you? 9 10 10 A I have seen a video which is --Q Okay. And I know it's in your declaration 11 11 Q It's on YouTube, so it's not -anyway. You learned about that because you Googled 12 A That's exactly what I'm saying. Maybe 12 yourself? 13 that's the one I've seen. Because you said John and 13 A Yes. 1 4 14 investigator and this and coming together that maybe Q And you found these reports? 15 15 on YouTube. What else? A Yes. It goes before my name even. 16 16 Q Did Mr. Brewington direct you to that Q Sure. Did you regularly Google yourself 17 17 prior to February of 2009? video, or were you aware of it? 18 A I became aware of it. 18 A Time to time we used to do it for, not my 19 19 Q Through him? name as much as Asia Economic Institute. 20 20 A I don't think so. We have done --Q Sure. And what did you do when you first 21 Q As part of your other research? 21 saw in February of 2009 -- I realize after that date 22 22 there were more reports posted, but when you saw the 23 23 ones that were there in that time frame, what did Q Okay. Other than Mr. Brewington, first of 24 all, is there anything else you can tell me about 24 you do? 25 25 Mr. Brewington's involvement in your research or any A I couldn't believe it. I was state of 81

1 1 A I do see that. I don't know what does it shock. 2 2 Q Did you make any effort to contact the mean. 3 author or figure out who the author was? 3 Q Well, I'm going to ask you a little bit 4 A I'm clueless. 4 more about that. 5 5 AsiaEcon.org is your website, in fact, Q So did you make any effort to find out who 6 6 isn't it? the author was? 7 7 A I don't know that author. The name was A Yes. 8 8 not familiar to me. Q Okay. And were you aware that there's a 9 9 Q But did you make any effort to try to page on your website at the address shown in the 10 figure out who it was? 10 bottom of the piece of paper there that contains a 11 11 link to one of the reports on Ripoff Report? Were A Only person who can tell me is your 12 12 you aware of that? client. 13 Q I don't know if I agree with that but --13 A No. sir. 14 so is the answer to my question no, you did not try 14 Q If you look at the next page of 15 to find the author's information from whatever 15 Exhibit 11, I will represent to you on the first 16 16 source? page of Exhibit 11 there's sort of a darkened box 17 17 there and at the bottom there's a button or a link A What source? 18 18 that says "New." Q Us, your own records -- there are other 19 19 sources. And when you click on that, as I did, you 20 20 A I contacted you. are taken to a page that requires a log-in and a 21 21 Q You did. And we're going to get to that a password, and then there was a blank there where you 22 22 could put a link and then put "Submit." little later. Do you know who posted any of the 23 reports about you on Ripoff Report? 23 Did you know that? 24 2.4 A Clueless. 25 Q Did you post any of the reports? And 25 Q Do you know who would have log-in and 82 84 1 don't, please don't be offended. We've been 1 password information from your website? 2 2 A I don't know. This is somebody that you involved in cases where people have done that. 3 A No. 3 know or your -- these posters had they hacked 4 4 Q Are you sure? through your system to --5 5 A No, sir. Q Let me ask you this: Do you have -- well, 6 MS. BORODKIN: Objection. Asked and 6 do you know who would have a list of individuals 7 7 with log-ins and passwords for this site? If it's 8 8 MR. GINGRAS: Can I have Exhibit 11? not you, do you know who would? 9 (Whereupon, Exhibit 11 was marked for 9 A I don't think -- I, I can't speak of that. 10 10 identification and is bound under separate That's -- I don't know who would have that. 11 11 O Does it -cover.) 12 BY MR. GINGRAS: 12 A I don't know the date of this. When is 13 13 Q Mr. Mobrez, did you ever republish links this date would be? I mean --14 14 to any of the Ripoff Reports on any other websites? Q I printed this on May -- I'm sorry, 15 15 A No, sir. May 4th I printed this page. 16 16 Q Okay. Let me show you Exhibit 11. Do you A Correct. 17 17 recognize Exhibit 11? Have you ever seen that Q I do not know when it was posted there. 18 before? 18 But I imagine that your own records would reflect 19 19 that. I don't think there's a date on any of it. A No, sir. 20 20 Anyway, it's not that significant. Q Look at the bottom of the page. Do you 21 see the URL is "AsiaEcon.org, slash, link X"? Do 21 But let me ask you this: Do you have any 22 22 you see that there? At the very bottom of the page, evidence at all that Ed Magedson or anybody 23 23 very, very bottom. associated with Xcentric Ventures, LLC, had log-in A You're talking about this or here? 24 24 and password information for your website to be able 25 25 to post a link there? Do you have any evidence that Q Very bottom of the piece of paper. 83

1 my clients had that log-in and password? 1 victims of their salacious reports request their 2 A I can't speak of that. I can tell you if 2 removal, defendants demand their enrollment in the 3 3 this is somebody hacked into our website. Ripoff Report's Corporate Advocacy" -- so on and so 4 4 Q Do you believe that Ed Magedson or forth. 5 somebody associated with Xcentric Ventures, LLC, did 5 What is your factual basis for making that 6 6 statement? I know that you claim that that happened 7 7 A I can't speak of that -to you. But this statement doesn't appear to be 8 8 Q So you don't know either way? limited to you. It appears to be a general 9 9 A I don't know either way. statement. And I'm asking you what's your factual 10 MR. GINGRAS: Does everybody want to take 10 basis for claiming that that's ever happened to 11 11 anyone other than you. MR. BLACKERT: Yeah, let's --12 12 Did you interview any witnesses who told 13 13 MR. GINGRAS: Let's go off the record. you that they had been -- that they had received a 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:34, and 1 4 demand for enrollment in the Corporate Advocacy 15 15 Program? we're going off the record. 16 16 (Off the record.) A I don't recall exactly now. But 17 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:56, and Mr. Magedson himself mentioned that there are people 18 18 we're back on the record. in this advocacy program and mentioned that that is 19 19 BY MR. GINGRAS: in the website. 20 20 O Mr. Mobrez, it occurred to me that before Q But did you ever talk to any other person 21 21 the break and actually at the start, I never asked who told you that they received a demand for 22 22 you if -- kind of a routine question -- if you're on enrollment when contacting Ripoff Report -- I'm 23 any medication or have any health reason that might 23 sorry -- demand for enrollment in the Corporate 24 24 interfere with your ability to testify today? Advocacy Program when they contacted Ripoff Report 25 A I do take medication, for high blood 25 to request the removal of salacious reports? 86 1 pressure and heart condition and cholesterol. 1 A I don't recall exactly. But I know we had 2 2 Q Do you feel that that medication affects another counsel before who worked on this case. He 3 your ability to remember accurately today? 3 did not -- she did not file a -- what do you call? 4 4 A No. A complaint. She did preliminarily a lot of 5 5 Q Okay. Is there any other health reason or research also. 6 any other reason that you feel would prohibit you 6 Q Somebody other than Mr. Blackert and from testifying truthfully and completely today? 7 7 Ms. -- is it Borodkin? 8 8 MS. BORODKIN: Yes. A No. 9 Q Okay. Let's go back to Exhibit 10, which 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 10 is your complaint. And it should be in front of BY MR. GINGRAS: 11 11 you. I think we talked a bit before about general Q Who is that person? 12 things that you did to investigate the accuracy of 12 A Lynette Magadomian (phonetic). 13 13 this. And I'd like to kind of focus on some Q Spell that, please? 14 specific things, so if you could start by turning to 14 A I think M-g-d-o-m-n (sic). 15 the second page. And I hope it has a page 2. Does 15 Q All that I heard you say was M-g-d-o-m-n? 16 16 A Yeah. I can look up her last name. it? Yeah. 17 17 Q Is this person a California licensed In paragraph 2 at the bottom of page 2, 18 the second sentence begins "When victims of their 18 attorney? 19 19 salacious reports." A Yes. 20 20 Do you see that? Q And are you still in contact with Lynette? 21 21 A No. 22 22 Q And that's, I think "their" refers to Ed But she did some kind of preliminary 23 23 research on this and then stopped? Magedson and Xcentric Ventures; right? 24 24 A Yes. A Yes. 25 25 Q The sentence continues on to say "When Q Okay. Other than Lynette, are you aware 87

1 of any person who had any factual basis for making 1 A I don't remember now. 2 the claim in paragraph 2 that I've just quoted to 2 Q Okay. Let's look at paragraph 22 at the 3 you about three times? 3 bottom of page 9 under the heading "Defendants 4 A I have done some research myself online. 4 provide actual content for their websites." 5 5 Q Have you spoken to any living person who Do you see paragraph 22, there's A through 6 told you that they received a demand for enrollment 6 H subparagraphs there? Do you see that? 7 7 in the Corporate Advocacy Program when they A Yes. 8 8 contacted the Ripoff Report website? **Q** Are those allegations all true? 9 9 A I don't recall that exactly. But I might A I believe so. 10 O Look at subparagraph C. That appears to have. 10 11 11 Q Okay. Let us look at, let us look at say that "Defendants create the titles for Ripoff 12 12 paragraph 20 on page 8, bottom of page 8. The Reports." 13 second sentence, again, in paragraph 20 beginning 13 What is your factual basis for making that 14 "Defendants offer a program called CAP, whereby they 14 statement? How do you know that? 15 15 solicit significant amounts of money, in some cases A This is a meta tag. In other words, they 16 16 as much as \$250,000, from individuals and businesses take your name and put on there. When you do that, 17 that have been defamed on defendants website." 17 it will create on the search engines their name pops 18 What is your factual basis for making that 18 up on the top. 19 19 allegation in your complaint? Q Okay. I think subparagraph D refers to 20 20 A I believe that's on YouTube and other meta tags, and looks to me like you're treating 21 21 web -- other lawsuits were involved and interviews "titles" and "meta tags" differently. Was that a 22 22 were done by them and other plaintiffs. mistake? 23 23 Q Are you aware of any video on YouTube A No. It's like a key word tag, and meta 24 either now or in the past that described anyone at 2.4 tag is too small difference. 25 Ripoff Report asking for \$250,000 for someone to 25 Q Let me stop you. I think we can make it 90 92 1 join the Corporate Advocacy Program? 1 easier. Why don't you turn back to Exhibit 1, which 2 2 A I believe so. is one of the reports about you on Ripoff Report. 3 Q Do you know if that video still exists? 3 In fact, Exhibit 1A is the screen shot of the first 4 A I don't know. 4 kind of -- it's not the entire thing, but it's most 5 Q But you recall seeing one? 5 of it there. Do you see that? 6 A I think I've seen, yes. 6 A Yes. 7 7 Q Other than the video on YouTube, what Q What, when you say "Defendants create the 8 8 other source of information did you have for the title," what are you referring to? What do you 9 claim that Ripoff Report has asked for up to 9 think on this page is the title? 10 10 \$250,000 for Corporate Advocacy Program membership? Why don't you take a pen and circle that 11 A I think it was at least more than one or 11 and then draw your initials next to it to show what 12 12 you think the title is. 13 13 O Let's take the first one, then. What A Asia Economic Institute --14 14 source did you have for that? Q Why don't you take that pen next to you 15 15 A I think it was in the YouTube and some there --16 clips of media, interviews or statements were made 16 A (Witness complies.) 17 by the plaintiffs. 17 Q Okay. And I think you just circled around 18 Q And these are things that you saw online? 18 where it says "Asia Economic Institute, comma, AEI, 19 19 comma, World Econ." 20 20 Q Other than videos that you saw online, can Is it your position that Ed Magedson and 21 you tell me the name of any person who told you this 21 **Xcentric Ventures created that text?** 22 22 information? A That's -- yes. 23 23 A I don't recall exactly right now. Q What, what evidence do you have to support 24 Q Is that because there was no such person 24 that claim? 25 25 or you just don't remember? A There's nobody else can do that other than 91 93

1 your website. 1 wouldn't be able to go to your website and report 2 2 about myself. Q Well, a person could log in to the website 3 3 and create a report, a third party could create a Q Why not? 4 4 report and in fact put that name into the title box A So that would be -- what would I 5 5 of the report. Have -- a third party could do that, accomplish with that? 6 couldn't they? 6 Q Maybe a better understanding of how the 7 7 A No. website works than you have today? 8 8 A No, I haven't done that. Q They couldn't? 9 A Well, unless you are letting others to go 9 Q Okay. But understanding that you have 10 to your website and do this also. 10 never gone through the process of completing a 11 11 report yourself, how do you know or why do you think Q I think we talked before about whether or 12 12 that Ed Magedson or Xcentric are the only people who not you had ever posted any reports on Ripoff 13 13 Report, and I thought you were quite unsure of your could create the title that you've marked on 14 answer, which was no. 1 4 Exhibit 1A? 15 15 But have you ever -- whether you've A No, answer is I have gone through your 16 16 actually posted one or not, have you ever gone website to know what the step takes to, to file a 17 17 through the process of how a report is posted? report. I have not personally filed any report on 18 18 A I'm -- I personally I have not. your website, nor I established an account on your 19 19 website for myself. Q So how do you know --20 A I have done -- others, they have done such 20 Q And is it your testimony that a person 21 21 going through those steps of filing a report, using a research for me in the very beginning. 22 22 the, the forms, if you will, on our website, is it Q Who is this? 23 A The people that they -- in the very 23 your testimony that it would be not possible for 24 24 beginning when we came to be aware of this Ripoff them to insert text that would show up in the 25 25 location that you've marked as the title in 94 96 1 Q Is that Serbh and Bahshani? 1 Exhibit 1A? 2 A No. Long before that when it was like 2 A I can't speak of that. I can tell you is 3 February or March of 2009. 3 the Ripoff Report is the only people that would have 4 the data for the website's -- how will I say it? Q So there was somebody other than Serbh and 4 5 Bahshani that did research into the factual 5 Mechanism to be able to do this. 6 allegations of your complaint? Who was that person 6 Q So you're -- I'm sorry. 7 7 or persons? Go ahead. 8 8 A Different people. I don't recall exact Q You're speculating, aren't you? 9 9 Α names. 10 10 O Mr. Mobrez, it seems to me that this case Q You're not speculating? Do you have 11 11 personal knowledge that Ed Magedson wrote the title is fairly important. You're making very serious 12 allegations and costing a lot of people a lot of 12 that you've marked in Exhibit 1A? Or any of the 13 money. Why have you not personally investigated how 13 other titles on any of the other reports about AEI? 14 14 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and reports are posted? Don't you think that's an 15 15 important thing to do? ambiguous. 16 16 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Harassing. You can answer. 17 17 Mischaracterizes the witness's testimony. THE WITNESS: This title is the one going 18 BY MR. GINGRAS: 18 to be -- only the person can make this title to 19 19 be in the top and put it there is the people Q You can answer my question. 20 20 A I would say I have gone through the steps they own this website. 21 of your website. And I like to visit the issue of 21 BY MR. GINGRAS: 22 22 your question of is -- that I told you a while ago Q But, again, you're making a conclusion, 23 23 that I have -- don't have a knowledge of to knowing aren't you? Based on an assumption? 24 something you just brought it up. You can have the 24 A No. This is a technology issue. 25 25 court reporter read it back. I don't know -- I Q And you have personal knowledge of that 95 97

1 1 O Okav. fact? 2 2 A They believe in that they are -- have A Basic information. 3 3 Q It's common knowledge, then? nothing to do with what the content and the way it's 4 coming from Ripoff Report to them. They asked me to 4 A Yes. 5 Q Okay. Do you, do you know any witness who 5 contact back again with Ripoff to take this down. 6 6 will testify that Mr. Magedson or anyone associated Q Did anybody at Google tell you that Ripoff 7 7 with Xcentric Ventures wrote the title that you've Report was violating Google's terms of service? 8 8 marked on Exhibit 1A or any of the other titles in A I don't know -- I'm sure there is some 9 9 letter or e-mail has been exchanged between me and any of the other exhibits about AEI? 10 10 A Well, if you have a -- you can design your Google. 11 website that the party can go and put a subject 11 Q Did you -- you corresponded with them via matter, and then you will post the other things. 12 12 e-mail? 13 13 When you do that, then you have a -- you A I think it's a letter. 14 14 are creating a -- you are the one who are putting O In a written letter? 15 this up to the website. They are not the one they 15 A Letter. 16 are putting on the website. 16 Q And did you get a written response? Q When you say "they," you mean the author? 17 17 A I believe some response came in. But when 18 I called, they are the one they were saying that, 18 A Author. Author is only can do is to fill 19 19 in the forms and the blanks that you have -- you this is basically he was saying, if they are doing 20 provided. They do not have access to your 20 this, which they are doing this, violating our terms 21 21 technology, how that -of services. 22 Q The code? 22 Q In what way does creating meta tags 2.3 A Exactly. 23 violate Google's terms of service? What terms of 24 24 Q Right. But the actual information that service are you referring to? 25 25 appears on that line, don't you agree that that A Well, I'm not an expert, but I know just a 98 100 originated with the author? Not Ed Magedson or common knowledge that by creating a -- you're taking 1 1 2 2 somebody's good name or at least a name that is **Xcentric?** 3 A I don't know that. 3 attractive to the web and using that to your website 4 4 to go up, pulling you up there. Q You don't know either way? 5 5 For example, I, somebody will write A Yes. 6 Q Okav. 6 "'Westlaw' is terrible." Westlaw is on top of 7 A All I can tell you, it's there. 7 search engine. And it's always, it's people that 8 8 are in it and that demands highest as possible. And Q It is there. I agree with you. Let's look at paragraph, subparagraph D of paragraph 22 on 9 9 somebody like Ripoff is using this methodology or 10 10 page 10 of your complaint, which is Exhibit 10. the name to pull their name up. It's basically meta 11 A Okay. Paragraph D on page 10. 11 tag or, in a way, linking to that particular name 12 12 Q Again, you appear to be alleging there or, or the phrase or whatever it is. 13 13 that defendants create meta tags for reports which Q I don't have a clue if that was responsive 14 14 make those reports rank higher on search engines and to what I asked you but --15 15 then there's, there's some additional claims there. A I apologize. 16 16 The last sentence looks like it says Q No, it's okay. Some of this is a little 17 17 "Defendants author and use these so-called meta tags complicated. I get that. But what evidence do you 18 in violation of Google's terms of service." 18 have that Ed Magedson or Xcentric Ventures created 19 What is your factual basis for making that 19 any meta tags for the specific reports at issue in 20 20 allegation? this case? Do you think that Ed Magedson sat down 21 A Google, I contacted them. 21 and typed the computer code that you're referring to 22 22 Q Did you? as meta tags? 23 23 A Yes. And --A It's not a computer code. It's very easy. 24 O When did you do that? 24 In fact, when I called Google and Yahoo, they both 25 25 A I believe in March of 2009. said that there's no way we can take this out other 99 101

1 than Ripoff Report. 1 there beginning --2 2 A Exhibit 10? Q You're saying that Google and Yahoo 3 wouldn't agree to remove anything from their search 3 Q Exhibit 10, paragraph 22, subparagraph E. 4 engine index and that, if you wanted it to disappear 4 Beginning with the word "solicit." It's the 5 5 from there, you had to ask Ripoff Report to take it complaint which is there. 6 down and they would have to do that? 6 A It's in the complaint? 7 7 A Yes, that's right. The complaint --8 8 A I apologize. You said in the exhibit. Q But that's not quite what I asked you. I 9 9 asked you what evidence do you have that Ed Magedson Page 10? 10 personally created any meta tags at all for the 10 Q Page 10, paragraph 22, subparagraph E. 11 11 A Page 10, D? reports about your company or you in this case? How 12 12 do you know that he did that? I understand that you "E" as in "Edward." Q 13 think that he did, but I'm asking for evidence that 13 Α Go ahead. 14 supports that. 14 Q Beginning with the word "solicit," do you 15 15 see where it appears that you're alleging that A There's nobody else -- you're creating in 16 16 a conspiracy theory here. We are talking about defendants solicit individuals to submit so-called 17 specifics. He is the owner of this website. It 17 Ripoff Reports with the promise that so-called 18 18 seem to me it's a one-man show, its own operation in individuals may receive compensation in exchange for 19 19 a way, gave and take. And he's -- there's nobody their posts. 20 else is doing these things. Unless you want to say 20 Do you have any evidence that Ed Magedson 21 21 there is a conspiracy theory here. or anyone associated with Xcentric Ventures, LLC, 22 Q Well, but the author could be doing that, 22 specifically asked somebody to post anything about 23 23 couldn't they? you, AEI or Ms. Llaneras? 24 24 A Author how they can do it? A Answer to that is Ripoff Report has -- we 25 Q By inputting information --25 have a copy of that page I believe. I can provide 102 104 A Author does not have access to your 1 that to you, which it says is he --1 2 2 database information, content management system. Q What do you mean by that page? Oh, you're 3 You have the access to your content management 3 saying where it says submit a report and receive 4 4 system. compensation? 5 5 A It says submit a report, you will be Q Let me just ask you this: Is it your 6 position that Mr. Magedson using a keyboard typed in 6 interviewed by media and even you would be 7 7 any of the information in anything that you would compensated. 8 8 call a meta tag relating to the reports at issue in Q First of all, I think there's a footnote 9 this case? 9 to your own complaint that says defendants have 10 10 removed this content from their website. A Yes. 11 11 Q Do you have any evidence to support that? But it sounds to me like even if it was 12 12 still there, that's a general reference, is it not? 13 13 Q Other than your own speculation. I I mean, they're not saying submit a report about AEI 14 14 understand that you're speculating. That's fine. and --15 15 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Mischaracterize A They're saying about everybody. 16 O Generally, yes --16 the witness's testimony. 17 17 BY MR. GINGRAS: A I'm everybody there. 18 Q Do you have witnesses or documents that 18 Q Is it your position that anything on the 19 19 Ripoff Report website ever said, if you submit a support your theory? 20 20 A There is no one can go to that website report about AEI, Raymond Mobrez or Iliana Llaneras, 21 other than Mr. Magedson. You're going universal on 21 that money would be paid to the author? Do you have 22 22 something which is he's the only person who has any proof that that's true? 23 23 A It is part of the Ripoff Report. access to his content management. 24 Q Let's look at subparagraph E of paragraph 24 0 Where? 25 25 22 in Exhibit 10. Okay? Do you see what it says We just, we just discussed that. It's in 103 105

1	our website specifically says that	1	Do you see the text quoted there, yeah, in those
2	Q About AEI or in general?	2	subparagraphs? 28A through O?
3	A No, anybody. "Come on and do it."	3	A Correct.
4	Q But you would agree with me, sir, that	4	Q Beginning with, quote, "Asia Economic
5	there was never has never been, is not now, has	5	Institute lie, cheat, tax fraud," and then there's
6	never been in the past anything that specifically	6	other text there. Do you see that?
7	asked people to post about AEI, you or your wife?	7	A Yes.
8	A It says that. It's invitation	8	Q Do you believe that Ed Magedson or anybody
9	Q It says AEI?	9	associated with Xcentric Ventures, LLC, created that
0	A No.	10	text as in specifically wrote it?
1	Q It says generally, doesn't it?	11	A I can't speak of that. I don't know who
2	A Yeah. "Come on and do it."	12	wrote it.
3	Q Okay.	13	Q Do you have any evidence that Ed Magedson
4	A It's invitation.	14	did so?
5	Q Do you have any evidence that the authors	15	A I can't speak of that. He may did.
6	of any of the six reports at issue in this case	16	Q He may have, but he may not have; right?
7	wrote what they wrote because they believed they	17	A I don't know. I don't know who did this.
, 3	were going to be paid?	18	Q Okay. Turning to paragraph 30 on the next
9	A I can't speak of that.	19	page, 13, paragraph 30 talks about defendants I
)	Q I know you can't.	20	assume you mean Mr. Magedson "informed you that
L	Let's turn to page 12, please, of	21	they would not remove the defamatory posts even if
2		22	they were false. Defendants further offered to
3	Exhibit 10. Beginning with the heading "The	23	enroll plaintiffs in the CAP program for a fee of at
4	Shakedown." Do you see that? A Correct.	24	least \$5,000 plus a monthly monitoring fee."
1 5			When did that statement occur in paragraph
J	Q Do you see where it says "The Shakedown"?	.06	10
1	A Correct.	1	30? When did that happen?
2	Q Tell me what you understand the term	2	A Well, it could be on April 2009.
3	"shakedown" to mean.	3	Q Okay. And we'll get to your declaration.
1	A In nutshell.	4	I think you're more specific, so don't worry if you
5	Q Yeah.	5	don't recall right now.
5	A Shakedown to me is a nutshell. All the	6	But definitely defendants Ed Magedson or
7	facts.	7	Xcentric, I guess, offered to enroll you in the CAP
3	Q I don't know what that means, but I'll	8	program for \$5,000?
)	accept, I'll accept that.	9	A Yes.
)	A Number one, you have to understand, maybe	10	Q Okay. And then you referred to an e-mail.
L	this is a legal term. I'm not	11	I guess we'll get to that in just one second, dated
2	Q Oh, you didn't write it?	12	May 12th. Did the May 12th e-mail that you received
3	A Huh?	13	from Mr. Magedson cause you to feel any fear?
l	Q You did not write that term?	14	A Absolutely.
5	A I don't write legal paper.	15	Q Why?
5	Q Do you believe, as you understand that	16	A He's saying go and enroll to this, it's a
7	term to mean whatever you think it means, do you	17	pressure. He's persistent about
3	believe that a shakedown occurred in this case?	18	Q Wait a minute. Hold on. Oh, that is 12.
9	A I'm not quite sure what you're saying.	19	That's okay. I don't want to go out of order.
)	Q I don't either. I don't know what the	20	We'll get to that e-mail in a minute. I think I
L	word means.	21	confused May 12th with Exhibit 12.
2	Let's move on. The subsections of	22	I'm sorry, I interrupted you. You were
3	paragraph 28, there are A through O, which appear t		talking about why that e-mail made you feel fear.
1	be quotations from one or more of the reports which	24	A It says "You're driving me crazy, you've
5	are exhibits 1A through 6A and B of your deposition.	25	got to go and do this."
		.07	got to go and do uns.

1 Q I think that was a different e-mail. I'm 1 on the record, this is not the full context. 2 talking about the May 12th. Forget about it. We'll 2 In this report there is also comment, over 150 3 get to it when we get to it. 3 comments on the bottom of this. 4 4 Specifically regarding, you understand MR. GINGRAS: There's more than 400 5 5 that you have alleged in your complaint that you comments --6 have been the victim of extortion in this case? Do 6 MR. BLACKERT: 400 comments, yeah. 7 7 you understand that? BY MR. GINGRAS: 8 8 A Yes. Q This is actually an article, and I don't 9 9 Q Tell me why you feel that you've been want to mark this right now because I've got it in a 10 10 specific sequence. But did you ever see that 11 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a 11 article? The title is "Anatomy of a Ripoff Report 12 12 legal conclusion. Lawsuit," by Sarah --13 13 You can answer. A I haven't seen the picture, but I have 14 14 BY MR. GINGRAS: seen this. 15 15 Q You have seen that? Q What is the factual basis for that 16 16 allegation? A Yeah. 17 17 A The monthly fee, enrollment to this, what Q Did that article play any role in your 18 18 decision to accuse Ripoff Report of extortion or is it? 19 19 Q Can you tell me, without telling me racketeering? 20 anything that was said between you and your lawyers, 20 A No. sir. 21 21 where did the idea come up to accuse Ripoff Report Q That's okay. I mean, I'm happy that it 22 22 of extortion? didn't. 23 A Everybody knows it's extortion. 23 A This is exhibit --24 24 Q Where did you come up with the idea? Q Yeah, you can set it aside. We'll mark it 25 25 A You go online, everybody knows Ripoff in a minute. 110 112 Report is extortion scam. That's what they say. 1 What other online resources, if not this 1 2 2 Q That's what they say, right. Do you one, what other online resources do you believe 3 believe everything that you read online? 3 supported your claim that you've been extorted or 4 4 A I can't say -- I don't know who's writing that anyone has been extorted by Ripoff Report? Can 5 those. You have to take their depositions, so --5 you name a specific website that you remember 6 Q You have personal knowledge of what you 6 reading? 7 7 believe happened to you, but you don't have any A I don't recall, but there's a massive 8 knowledge personally of extortion being committed as 8 informations about this particular item, extortion 9 to anyone other than yourself, do you? 9 and racketeering and all of these in the websites, 10 10 A I believe there's some other court YouTube, everywhere. 11 11 records, public records shows that. Q And based on that, you believe that 12 12 Q Do you believe Ripoff Report's ever been there's good cause to feel that Ripoff Report is 13 13 found liable for or guilty of extortion? engaging in extortion? 1 4 14 A I don't recall that exactly now. A No. I had a firsthand experience myself. 15 15 Q Did you ever, did you ever read a website Q All right. So let me sort of paraphrase 16 that talked about bringing extortion and 16 here. And you stole my word "nutshell" because my 17 17 racketeering claims against Ripoff Report? notes use that too. 18 A I don't recall exactly which one is which 18 If I understand your extortion claim, in a 19 19 nutshell, your position is that you contacted one. 20 20 Mr. Magedson, informed him that there were false Q I don't want to go out of order, so I'm 21 not going to mark this as an exhibit right now, but 21 statements about you and AEI and Ms. Llaneras on the 22 22 let me just ask you to look at that and tell me if Ripoff Report website. Mr. Magedson refused to do 23 23 you've ever seen that before. I'll mark it, I'll anything to help you, and instead he demanded money, 24 mark it in a minute if we get there. 24 which I think is \$5,000 and then some, in order to 25 25 MR. BLACKERT: I just want to make a note help you remedy these reports. 111 113

1 Have I kind of accurately paraphrased why 1 conclusion. 2 you feel you've been extorted? 2 You can answer. 3 3 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Misstates the BY MR. GINGRAS: 4 4 witness's testimony. Q Can you help me understand why you felt 5 5 You can answer if you know. extortion because of your conversations with him? 6 6 BY MR. GINGRAS: MR. BLACKERT: Same objections. 7 7 Q If I haven't accurately summarized it, THE WITNESS: He is asking me to pay for 8 8 please tell me where I've gone wrong. the service or pay -- hold on, pay so he can 9 A You've summarized a huge business and a 9 change the negative to positive. And he says 10 scam in a two-liner. And answer is yes, I am, I 10 that in his own website. There is no such a 11 11 feel there is extortion here. thing as he doesn't say that. 12 12 BY MR. GINGRAS: O Do you feel that there's extortion here 13 13 for reasons other than what I summarized in my Q There is an e-mail, the May 12th I think 14 previous paragraph? 14 e-mail that talks about that. And we're going to 15 15 A Can you repeat that paragraph again. get to that in just a minute. 16 16 Q I understand that your extortion claim is Other than that e-mail, did Mr. Magedson 17 17 based on the idea that you contacted Mr. Magedson, ever tell you on the telephone that he would change 18 18 told him there were false reports about you, AEI and negatives into positives if you paid him money? 19 19 Ms. Llaneras. He refused to help you and instead A Yes. 20 20 asked for money, \$5,000 and a monthly fee, in order Q Okay. Mr. Mobrez, is one of the reasons 21 to help remedy the reports. 21 that you felt that you were being extorted because 22 22 Generally that's what I understand your you had no other choice -- I'm sorry, we were just 23 extortion claim to be. Have I missed something? 23 about running out of tape. 24 24 A I would say yes. Do you want us to take -- we need to 25 25 Q What? change tapes. We'll stop there. 114 116 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:33, and A This is a huge scam --1 1 2 Q It's a huge scam as to somebody else. But 2 we're going off the record. 3 as to you, I'm asking what happened to you. 3 (Luncheon recess, 12:33 to 1:17 P.M.) A I called them. I plead to him. I begged 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:17, and 4 5 him. My conversation was very clear to him --5 we're back on the record. 6 Q This is on the phone? 6 BY MR. GINGRAS: 7 7 A Absolutely. Q Mr. Mobrez, before the lunch break we were 8 8 Q And you begged him for what? talking about your extortion claim. Do you remember 9 A To -- "This is not true statement. I can 9 that? 10 10 verify -- you can verify it with me. I'll be glad A Yes. 11 to come forward and show you. If you have any 11 Q And the court reporter before we just went 12 question, tell me what's your question. I don't do 12 back on the record reminded me that I had asked you 13 tax evasions. I have no sales and marketing. I 13 why you felt extorted and why you felt threatened. 14 1 4 don't do anything like that. How would I be able to Do you remember that? 15 scamming people?" He was saying customer service. 15 A Yes. 16 16 I have no customer service. Q Okay. And I think my next question was 17 17 intended to be but wasn't completed, did you feel Q Are you referring to e-mails that you 18 sent, or are you referring to the phone call? 18 threatened because you felt there were no other 19 19 options for responding to reports other than paying 20 Q Okay. But I don't understand how your 20 money to Mr. Magedson? 21 pleading to Mr. Magedson for help, whether he 21 A Mr. Magedson explains thoroughly that 22 "Don't waste your time to sue us. We have teams of 22 responded, whether he said, "I'll try to help," 23 23 whether he laughed in your face, I don't understand lawyers. We can crush your lawsuit." And that's 24 how that amounts to extortion. 24 also almost similar on his website. He mentions 25 25 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for legal that 115 117

-	O Charles I was William to A with	1	4-4:
1	Q Slow down, slow down. What you just said,		testimony.
2	the threat about we have a team of lawyers and	2	BY MR. GINGRAS:
3	crushing your lawsuit, when did that how did that	3	Q I think, I think that was an open-ended
4	communication occur? Is that something you read on	4	question where I asked you if there was anything
5	the website, or is that a conversation you had with	5	else that he said or did. You said that he
6	Mr. Magedson?	6	commented about lawyers, he commented about crushing
7	A Both. But more in person he says that.	7	lawsuits against him I guess
8	Q In person he says that when? Where? How?	8	A Class lawsuit actions. He talks about
9	A Well, he starts with, basically explains	9	that quite a bit. He's very excited about class
10	to you that don't waste your time to	10	lawsuit actions.
11	Q I'm sorry. Are you referring to the	11	Q Are you referring to a phone call, an
12	website, or are you referring to a telephone call?	12	e-mail or
13	A Telephone call.	13	A Phone call and also on his e-mail as well
14	Q Okay. I'm sorry.	1 4	as on his website.
15	A He explains on this phone call that "Don't	15	Q Is there anything else that he said or did
16	waste your time. There has been others they wasted	16	that made you feel that you had no other choice but
17	their time." If I'm not mistaken, he said that	17	to pay him?
18	"Almost three and a half million dollars we've spend	18	A For time being I would say all of these.
19	legal fee, in our legal fee, to get rid of all of	19	And I don't know what else. I can look in some
20		20	documents or some records
	these lawsuits. And if you plan to sue us, it would		
21	be waste like others they have done."	21	Q Okay. I'll ask you a few more simple
22	Q And that statement made you feel that you	22	questions.
23	had no other option other than paying him to deal	23	A Please.
24	with these reports?	24	Q Did he ever threaten you with violence if
25	A That's one of them, yes.	25	you did not pay him, like "I'll break your legs if
	118		120
1	O Oliver Wile Andrew Material	1	114
1	Q Okay. What other statements?		you don't pay me''?
2	A Well, this is basically end result is if	2	A I don't think so.
3	you don't go along with Mr. Magedson, your name is	3	Q You don't think so?
4	tarnished and they will continue.	4	A I don't remember that, if he said that.
5	Q And did he say that to you?	5	Q Did he threaten you in any other way,
6	A He doesn't say that way. He says, well,	6	verbally or in writing, with harm of any kind if you
7	you better see you better get involved with, with	7	didn't pay him money?
8	our CAP program and you will benefit from that and	8	A He did not threaten me directly to say,
9	you will see the negative turns to be positive.	9	"I'm going to break your legs."
10	Q And that's something that he said to you	10	He said, "Others have been stupid." He
11	personally?	11	used the word quite a bit, very slang, that others
12	A Also.	12	been stupid and they have gone through that route
13	Q On the website or in writing or on the	13	and got nowhere.
14	phone?	14	Q And, again, are you referring to a
15	A In on the phone.	15	telephone call, an e-mail or
16	Q Okay.	16	A Telephone call.
17	A And I think he wrote something on that	17	Q That was telephone call. Okay.
18	respect that was one of those e-mails	18	Anything else that he did to threaten you
19	Q Yeah. We're about to get to the e-mails	19	or make you feel that you had no other choice but to
20	-	20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	in just a minute. Is there anything else that	1	pay him?
21	Mr. Magedson said or did to make you feel that you	21	A I don't have, unless you show me some
22	had no other option other than paying him to deal	22	documents and I can say yes
23	with reports?	23	Q Okay. Nothing else comes to your mind
24	MR. BLACKERT: Objection.	24	right now?
25	Mischaracterize, mischaracterizes the witness's	25	A At this time, yes.
1	119	1	121

1 Q Okay. Isn't it true that Ripoff Report 1 be, some of it -- again, it was in color. Your 2 allows people to file responses to complaints for no 2 version actually is in color. Mine's not. 3 charge at all? 3 Number six says "Owner of company," and 4 4 A Yes and no. then there's kind of what I would characterize as a 5 5 title which says "Asia Economic Institute is Q Okay. Tell me what you mean by that. 6 A Well, the website says different than what 6 Saddened by the False Allegations Posted on This 7 7 Ripoff Internet Gossip Site." 8 8 Do you see that? Q How so? 9 9 A Yes. A It said you can file a rebuttal, which I 10 did. I didn't do it, but somebody from our office 10 Q And that is the rebuttal that you or your 11 11 company, somebody with AEI, posted, looks like on or did it at that time. 12 around Friday, April 3rd, 2009; is that right? 12 Q Do you know who that was? 13 13 A I can look into it, and I can tell you who A I assume, yes. 14 would have been the person. 14 Q And you didn't pay anything to Ripoff 15 15 Report to post that, did you? Q You don't remember? 16 16 A This is -- I didn't do it. But I don't A That I don't remember right now this 17 second. 17 know who did it. I'm sure it was somebody who was 18 18 saddened by losing their workplace or something and Q Okay. 19 19 A But our, what you call, rebuttal never they filed this. And basically I don't -- I can't 20 20 speak if somebody paid or didn't pay, but I don't even showed up. 21 think I paid. 21 Q Never showed up? 22 A Never showed up. And later it was kind of 22 Q Okay. You didn't pay. 23 like one time it did show up and sometimes we looked 23 At the end there it says "Please e-mail us 24 2.4 and it was not there. And it also persisted also on at Legal@AsiaEcon.org," and then there's a phone 25 25 the bottom of the list. In other words, the report number, which I believe is your main telephone 122 124 number, (310)806-3000. 1 goes first, all the negative goes first, and 1 2 Do you see that? 2 whatever it says it goes there. And then very 3 small, maybe in the very, very last portion it will 3 Yes. Α 4 show a, what you call a rebuttal. So I would say 4 Q Who uses the e-mail address 5 5 this rebuttal is not genuine and it's not fair and Legal@AsiaEcon.org? 6 6 A I don't. And I don't know when that was it's not honest. 7 7 established. Maybe somebody at that time. Q Okay. But you did post a rebuttal, at least if you look at Exhibit 1A -- 1B is actually 8 Q Do you know if anyone ever e-mailed you at 8 9 the text version I think. 9 that address, Legal@AsiaEcon.org, to inquire about 10 10 these postings? A Okay, let me look at it. 1B? 11 A Not to me. But I'm sure if they would Q 1B. It's going to be report No. 417493, 11 12 2 which has a submission date of Wednesday, have been any e-mails to those legal -- I don't have 13 13 that information now. I can't give an intelligent January 28th, 2009. 14 A I'm sorry, did you say -- hold on. I response. 14 15 15 can't find it. I apologize. Q Okay. But at least we agree, you and I 16 agree that responding to a report as you or your 6 Q 1A is going to be a colored piece of 17 17 paper, and then 1B is -company did here is an option for responding to a 18 18 complaint or report on Ripoff Report; correct? A 1B. 19 19 A No, it's not. Q 1B, yeah. Flip to I believe the fourth 20 20 page of that packet 1B. Q It's not an option? 21 A Four package? 21 A No. 22 22 Q Fourth page. I'm sorry they're not It's not an effective option in your mind; Q 23 23 numbered. right? 24 A I apologize. Don't worry about it. 24 A No, it's not my mind. It's -- it's a 25 phony, a bogus offer that doesn't exist even if Q In the middle of the page it appears to 25 123 125

1 reality because it says you can put it there but it 1 But it was, it was a massive torture 2 doesn't show up. This showed up now. Many times we 2 towards to this institute and the principals. We 3 have gone there, it was not there. 3 were not prepared for this. I mean, I didn't know. 4 4 Q But it's there now, isn't it? These are very normal questions that, you know, we 5 5 A I don't know. This is you're showing me. can always avoid it, but it's the reality of it, you 6 I'm going to take your word for it. 6 can't change it. 7 7 Q Well, I printed this page. Unfortunately Q Let's go back to Exhibit 10, which is your 8 8 complaint. It's the thickest document in front of this one doesn't have a date, but I printed this 9 9 within the last date. you. 10 A Okay. So you put it back. 10 A Okay. 11 11 Q I think the --Q I didn't. But it's there now. 12 A I would say -- yeah. 12 A I got it. 13 Q Did anyone ever -- I may have asked this 13 Q If you could turn to page 14. 14 before. But did anyone ever e-mail you or write to 14 A Yes, sir. 15 15 you in correspondence where they mentioned the Q Paragraph 33 at the top there. Do you see 16 existence of these reports? Did you ever get a 16 that? And I think you're referring back -- I don't 17 contact e-mail or a letter --17 actually know what you're referring to there, but 18 A Yes. 18 you say in the second sentence of paragraph 33, 19 19 "Mobrez," do you see that? Q When and from who? 20 A I don't recall exactly the date and time. 20 A Yes. 21 21 But we had, at least I would say numerous times we "Mobrez refused and informed defendants 22 had -- and I have a copy of it if you like. It's 22 again the posts were false and offered to prove 23 the companies that they're saying we're -- "We see 23 their fallacy." 24 your name is in Ripoff Report and, and it harms your 24 I guess that is supposed to mean falseness 25 name, tarnishes and all of that." It's very true 25 or something. 126 128 fact. It's not saying anything fictional. It's 1 1 A Falseness, correct. 2 2 telling the facts. Q Did you offer to Ed Magedson or anyone 3 Q I think I know what you're talking about. 3 else at Xcentric to prove these reports were false? 4 4 We have seen in the past -- and I'm not trying to A We covered this earlier today, and I 5 testify. I'm just sort of sharing a thought with 5 repeat myself. I plead to him, I begged him to "Let 6 6 me give you whatever you need. I can prove to you you. 7 7 these are all false. I don't have -- I don't sell We've seen in the past where people, third 8 8 parties, somehow either scroll through the website, anything so I can do taxations or tax fraud. I 9 find new complaints and then send e-mails to the 9 don't do marketing that I would scam people. I 10 10 people named in those complaints offering some kind don't have none of those. How would I be able to do 11 11 something which you're accusing me of?" of SEO, or search engine optimization service. Is 12 12 that kind of what you're talking about? I said, "I would be glad to give you 13 13 A Maybe, yes. And those people, I don't anything you need." 1 4 14 know the identity of them because we haven't gone to He is not interested in truth. Your 15 15 discovery yet. They may actually be part of the client is not interested in truth. 16 people -- I don't know they work for you or not. 16 Q But you are interested in proving that 17 17 Q Other than the people who wrote to you these reports are false, and your complaint says 18 offering SEO services or any other service that they 18 that you offered to do that to Mr. Magedson. And my 19 19 promise to help you mitigate or remove the reports, question to you is what proof did you offer. 20 20 did anybody else ever write to you about these A He was not even listening what I say. 21 reports and tell you that they had seen them? 21 Q Do you believe that you have proof that 22 22 A I believe, I don't remember now exactly, shows these reports are false? 23 23 A I, I told him, "You should ask me. I can but it was rumors early on that a lot of people 24 around Asian countries, they were very, very I guess 24 tell you. We don't have any taxation issues. We 25 25 upset or saying why this is happening. don't do any tax fraud. We don't have -- we never

129

127

1 had even one time in a tax IRS, even alert or even 1 No, I'm taking this deposition, and I'm 2 say a question about one of the -- any of our 2 going to take it in the manner I choose to take 3 stuff." 3 it. If you have any problem with that, you're 4 Q Okay. But your position is you offered 4 welcome to call the court. 5 evidence to Mr. Magedson to prove these reports were 5 If you interfere with my deposition again, false, and he refused to consider it; is that right? 6 I'm going to have building security escort you 6 7 A Yes, sir. 7 out of here. 8 8 Q Why didn't you just post that proof as Do you understand me? Do you understand 9 part of your rebuttal if it was such effective 9 me? 10 10 MS. BORODKIN: I object. 11 MR. GINGRAS: Thank you. A It was not any longer. 11 12 Q I'm sorry? 12 Can you read back the last question before 13 A After that post we had the rebuttal, we h 3 that interruption. just -- we just covered that issue. That rebuttal 14 14 (The previous question was read.) 15 never showed up. MR. GINGRAS: Was there an answer? 15 16 O But it's there now. 16 THE DEPOSITION OFFICER: No. 17 A You want to show now after the facts? A 17 BY MR. GINGRAS: 18 year and a half has passed. 18 Q Did you hear her question that she read 19 19 Q But the rebuttal that is there, which back? 20 again, Mr. Mobrez, the rebuttal actually says the 20 A Can you repeat it again? I apologize. 21 21 date that it was posted. It appears to have been (The previous question was read.) 22 2 THE WITNESS: It would help us at this within about a month and a half or so, two months, 23 of when the original report went up. 23 time to rebuild our structure --24 I don't see anything in here that -- there b. 4 BY MR. GINGRAS: 25 are general statements that you're a good company 25 Q But you've already testified that you've 130 132 essentially given up on doing that; is that right? and people like you. But I don't see any proof in 1 1 2 here. And I'm just asking you if you --2 A Well --3 A I didn't post that. I told you that 3 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. 4 4 Mischaracterizes the witness's testimony. before. 5 Q Why don't you post it now? 5 THE WITNESS: Well, my personal 6 A At this time? 6 information, you're not getting to the --7 7 you've been focused on Asia Economics, AEI, Q You're saying it's too late? The harm has already occurred? Is that what you're saying? World Econ. There's an injured party here, 8 8 9 A Yes. 9 Raymond Mobrez and Iliana Llaneras. 10 10 Q So in your mind, because the harm has Raymond Mobrez, who worked for 30 years in 11 the same city, and I had no slightest conflict 11 already occurred, removing these reports wouldn't 12 12 help you in any way, would it? with anybody, any, any legal or financial 13 13 MS. BORODKIN: Objection. issues that would have been -- I had immaculate 14 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and 14 record, personal record. 15 1.5 ambiguous. I wonder when you say somebody shot, 16 16 THE WITNESS: I, I can't speak to that. somebody died, what does -- physically or just 17 17 MR. GINGRAS: Lisa, I'm going to have to the name died? I'm wondering if you look at 18 ask that you not object. Dan is the one 18 that and see how that labels my issue. 19 defending this deposition. If you do it again, 19 BY MR. GINGRAS: 20 I'm going to have a problem with it. 20 Q I don't think you answered my question. 21 Okav? 21 But I actually think you made a valid point. And MS. BORODKIN: I don't know of any 22 let me see if we can clear up that point. I think 22 23 23 authority that says not more than one attorney what you just said was that you and your wife, your 24 can speak at a deposition. 24 reputations have been damaged, tarnished, if you 25 will, by these reports; is that fair? 25 MR. GINGRAS: It's my authority. Okay? 131 133

1 A Yes. And more. 1 the phone he says these negatives could turn to be 2 2 Q And that damage to your reputation has positive. 3 3 caused you harm? Q If you paid him \$5,000 and a monthly fee? 4 A If you paid him the \$5,000 and a monthly 4 A Paralyzed me. 5 5 fee and enrolled to his programs. Q And separate from, separate from the 6 Q Which you've refused to do? 6 damage to your reputation, you believe you've 7 7 incurred economic losses of some kind? A I can't stipulate to something that I'm 8 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and 8 not. 9 9 ambiguous. Q I understand. 10 10 BY MR. GINGRAS: A He says, "You have to stipulate to the 11 Q Is that, is that what you're claiming 11 report so you can go and do this." 12 How would I be able to go -- and then I 12 here? 13 13 A Yes. would be lying to myself that I am -- I didn't do 14 14 Q And those, those economic losses are tax evasions. How do I do that? Scamming people. 15 15 related to AEI; correct? How do I do that? 16 16 A And my other businesses that I have. Q Rewinding back, we were talking about the 17 options that you had in terms of responding to these 17 Q Well, your other businesses are not 18 18 parties to this lawsuit, are they? reports. And you said you didn't really feel that 19 19 A It is. Because it's Raymond Mobrez and you had any. I said that you responded in terms of 20 it's all personal interest in any of the companies 20 a rebuttal. You did that. And you said you didn't 21 21 or corporations or DBAs. really think that was a legitimate response that we 22 22 Q But you understand that corporations are offered; right? 23 entities that exist separate from yourself? Do you 23 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. 24 2.4 understand that? Mischaracterizes the witness's testimony. 25 25 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for legal MR. GINGRAS: I'm summarizing. 134 136 1 MR. BLACKERT: Vague and ambiguous. 1 conclusions. 2 2 BY MR. GINGRAS: BY MR. GINGRAS: 3 Q Are you aware of that? You're an educated 3 Q I'm not putting words in your mouth. I'm 4 4 just summarizing so that we can -man, Dr. Mobrez. 5 5 A I don't know. I could be very educated, A Respond to that is very simple. If 6 but I'm not a rocket scientist, so I don't know the 6 somebody would search engine my name, it's not my --7 7 my rebuttal is not going to come up. First thing 8 8 shows up, Ripoff Report, and a bunch of other things Q Okay. Looking at, I think you still have 9 Exhibit 10 in front of you on page 14? 9 goes with it. 10 10 Then if somebody will sit down and read 40 11 11 pages and 50 pages, go all the way down maybe, they Q Paragraph 34 says "The implication was 12 12 clear that for a fee, defendants would clear the will see along the way that you have, it was not 13 13 content of the defamatory posts." there. And rebuttal was not there now, and you're 14 14 What does that allegation mean? showing something it's there. Okay. 15 15 A Changing negative to positive. Q Okay. So in terms of what options were Q And what is your basis for making that 16 available to you other than paying money to Ripoff 16 17 17 claim? What evidence supports that allegation? Report to deal with these complaints, we talked 18 A Mr. Magedson himself. It says --18 about the rebuttal option. I understand how you 19 Q When? 19 feel about that. 20 20 A On these phone calls. You would also agree with me that you had 21 Q On the phone calls. Only in the phone 21 legal options; right? 22 22 calls or in writing as well? A He says to me, "You can't sue me." 23 23 Q But you can sue the author; right? A I, I have to go back and read his 24 writings. I believe some areas he insinuates and 24 A Who is the author? You have the 25 25 describes his programs. But here specifically on information. I don't have it. 135 137

1 Q Did you ever ask for that information? 1 Q Did you follow the protocols set forth in 2 A I asked him. I said, "You have to tell 2 the link? 3 3 me. I don't know these names." We've gone through A I asked him. It's directly the horse's this before with him. I said, "These names are not 4 mouth. I asked, and he said, "We don't release 4 5 5 familiar to me." anybody's information." 6 6 And in fact my writings says to him, and Q Did you ever read the link that explains 7 7 it says specifically, "You need to tell me who they how to get that information? Did you read it, sir? 8 are so I can at least explain to you." 8 A We have gone through this with him in a 9 And on the other hand, this rebuttal 9 very beginning. As I said, I plead to him, I begged 10 issue, it's a phony offer. It's a part of the whole 10 him, "Please tell me who" -- he says, "No, we never 11 scam that goes to show there is some type of a 11 released information of anybody." 12 12 Q I'm going to ask you for a third time. 13 13 And I really don't know what's Did you read the information on the link that 14 14 Mr. Magedson, what kind of a regulatory agency is explains how to get author's information? Not did 15 15 he. Is he appointed with the president of United you talk to Mr. Magedson about it. Did you read it? 16 States? United Nations? County of Los Angeles? 16 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Relevance. State of Arizona? Mexico? Anybody in the world has 17 17 THE WITNESS: I should say I have to go 18 and look at it again and I can respond to that 18 appointed him to be authoritative to put something 19 19 that what he likes to say, what he doesn't want to question. 20 20 BY MR. GINGRAS: say? 21 21 Q So you don't know if you read it or not? He told me personally that "Don't try to 22 go even a lawsuit way." And he says that in his 22 A I read it, but I didn't read it 23 website. We have -- and you said in your, even last 23 thoroughly. 24 2.4 time you were at the court, you spent over a million Q And I understand that you're not a lawyer. 25 25 dollars on a legal fee defending the cases. I'm not a lawyer. 138 140 Q Mr. Mobrez, it sounds like you're very O I understand that. And I understand some 1 1 2 familiar with material on the Ripoff Report website 2 of what is there is slightly technical. I 3 that talks about the law. Have you read -- there's 3 understand that. I don't care if it made sense to 4 a link at the bottom of every page that says "Do you 4 you. I'm just asking if you looked at it. That's 5 want to sue Ripoff Report?" Are you familiar with 5 6 that link? 6 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Asked and 7 7 A I am. 8 8 THE WITNESS: I don't know what your Q Okay. Do you know that there's also a 9 link at the bottom of every, single page of Ripoff 9 question is. 10 10 Report that explains the exact process that needs to BY MR. GINGRAS: 11 be followed in order to obtain an author's 11 Q All right. Looking at paragraph 35 on 12 12 information? page 14 of Exhibit 10, beginning with "In summary." 13 13 A It says there that also "We have A Correct. immunity" --1 4 14 Q Is it your testimony that Ed Magedson 15 15 specifically told you that he would provide a Q Let me stop you. 16 16 A He says, first thing he says to me on the correction to reports that it, I guess he, knew or 17 17 phone, "I have immunity to do all this of this." should have known was false? Did he do that? Offer 18 Where do I go with that? 18 to provide a correction? 19 Q That's not the question I asked. The 19 A He said, "We, we will put on" -- he 20 20

21

22

23

24

25

139

describes that also in his website as well as a

A Have you ever had a chance to see him when

141

he gets on the phone and explains about his programs

little more elaborate in this conversation.

Q Okay.

to others?

question I asked you was isn't it true that on the

bottom of every, single page on the Ripoff Report

website there is a link that explains how you can

obtain an author's information if you want that?

Isn't that true?

A I asked him.

21

22

23

24

25

1 Q I don't think it's appropriate for you to 1 information published on its websites"? Do you see 2 ask me questions. I'm not trying to be cute with 2 that? 3 3 A Yes. 4 4 A Oh, yeah. Q You never knew Mr. Magedson before the 5 Q But I think --5 first time you talked to him on the phone or 6 6 A Okay. I withdraw that question. exchanged e-mails with him in early 2009; isn't that 7 7 Q It's fair enough. But I'm asking with correct? You didn't know him before? 8 8 your regard to your experience, did Ed Magedson say A No, sir. 9 to you that he would correct reports that he knew or 9 Q Do you believe that he knew who you were? 10 10 should have known were false for money? A I can't speak of that. That's -- you have 11 to provide that information to us. 11 A It is implied, if you would pay the money, 12 there is going to be a positive going to show up. 12 O Okay. All right. Fair enough. 13 13 You're going to see positive results. They're going Moving down four lines into paragraph 37, 14 14 to change this meta tag process. Definitely. I beginning with "Businesses and individuals have 15 15 don't know what he does. refused to do business with plaintiffs," do you see 16 16 O I think I know the answer to this that line there? question, but I'll ask it anyway. Have you ever 17 17 A Correct. 18 listened in on the phone when anyone else was 18 Q Who are you referring to in that 19 19 speaking with Mr. Magedson? allegation? 20 20 A It's --A Anyone else than myself? 21 21 Q I'm not talking about your phone calls O What business refused to do business with 22 with Mr. Magedson. I'm talking about when 22 you because of these posts? 23 Mr. Magedson was speaking with anybody other than 23 A I have even lease, that I am trying to 24 24 you. Have you ever listened in on the phone to one sublease my space and lease somewhere else. I can't 25 25 of those conversations? find anybody wants to talk to me, any landlord. As 142 144 1 soon as I give my name, that's the end of the game. 1 2 2 Q So how do you know what he says to other Q So you've been unable to lease, sublease 3 people? 3 real estate that you own, and you attribute that to 4 4 A It's, it's on the websites. It's the Ripoff Report? 5 5 everywhere that's on the -- it's on the internet. A Absolutely. It's a part of my trying 6 It's in the YouTube, everywhere. People, they are 6 to -- this is a -- trying to lease another space. 7 7 coming forward and saying at these websites that Q And do you, as you sit here now, are you 8 8 aware of any witnesses who will testify that they this is what he's saying. 9 And the reason I'm able to connect with 9 refused to sublease real estate from you because of 10 10 it, because I heard firsthand from his mouth also. **Ripoff Report?** 11 11 Q So all the people that are attacking MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a 12 12 Mr. Magedson on the internet -- and I've read some legal conclusion. 13 13 THE WITNESS: I have to look at my records of what you're talking about, and I know there's a 14 14 lot of it -- those people, you believe they're and talk to them and go from there. 15 15 telling the truth because you had the same BY MR. GINGRAS: 16 16 experience with him? Q But you can't think of any specific names 17 17 A My experience is my experience. Their right now? 18 experience is their experience. 18 A I have to look for it, yes. 19 19 Q Right. Q Turn to page 17, paragraph 46. It's only 20 20 A But they're experiencing their experience two short sentences, so I'll read them: 21 on a forum or whatever. And when I see it, yeah, 21 "Defendants knew or should have known the 22 22 that's what it is. defamatory posts would cause serious harm to 23 23 Q Okay. Turn to page 15 of Exhibit 10. plaintiffs. Defendants intended that the 24 Looking at page 15, paragraph 37, do you see that 24 defamatory posts impact the way that the public 25 there beginning with "Defendants know that the 25 views plaintiffs as well as their business." 143 145

1 Are you claiming that Mr. Magedson 1 and Mr. Magedson, "have repeatedly attempted to 2 intended to cause harm to you? 2 obtain AEI's property through wrongful use of actual 3 3 A I can say Mr. Magedson, after he knew I'm or threatened fear by requiring payment to remedy 4 4 bleeding and this has destroyed my ten years of my the publication of false and defamatory statements 5 5 work and my life savings, devastated my whole that defendants created and/or solicited." 6 personal life and business, it's no -- he knows at 6 Do you see that allegation? 7 7 that moment that I am absolutely am pleading to him A Correct. 8 8 to verify this, I'm pleading to him, and he ignores Q What evidence do you have that 9 it, and he says, "People, they post things 9 Mr. Magedson or Xcentric created or solicited the 10 anonymous. I can't tell you anything." 10 posts about AEI on the Ripoff Report website? 11 11 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a Q So I guess what you just said is, after 12 the posts were there -- you don't believe that 12 legal conclusion. 13 Mr. Magedson knew about you and somehow directed 13 THE WITNESS: Creation of -- he owns this 14 these posts at you before, before you spoke to him? 14 website. He modifies his website frequently. 15 15 A I have no idea unless you will provide me And you can have many people will look at the 16 16 on your -- these are information you have. same website a few days or months later, they 17 Q Well, that's why you're going to --17 will see there's a lot of things been moved, a 18 18 A I don't have discovery of you. When you lot of things been shifted differently. 19 show that to us, then I will be able to make 19 It's -- he modifies his website 20 20 intelligent conversation. frequently. Very, very elaborately, you can 21 21 Q And that would be Mr. Magedson's tell. And we have pictures of some of the 22 22 deposition is next week and whatever other pages were in and they were not in and they 23 23 came back again and they modified. discovery --24 24 BY MR. GINGRAS: A Or the documents. 25 25 Q Sure. But as we sit here today, you, Q Okay. That's not the question I asked. I 148 1 Mr. Mobrez, do you have knowledge that Mr. Magedson 1 think it's interesting but --2 2 intended to harm you or intended to have these posts A I respond to you this way. Solicitation 3 go up on Ripoff Report for the purpose of harming 3 is also, is direct. He's asking for people to pay 4 4 him so he can turn the negative to positive. What you? 5 5 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for is that? That's a solicitation. 6 6 speculation. Q As to you? 7 MR. GINGRAS: That's exactly our point. 7 A To me, yes, direct. 8 THE WITNESS: I can't say anything -- you 8 Q No. I'm sorry. I know -- I asked this 9 are getting to the guessing game constantly. I 9 about ten times before, and I'm still not happy with 10 10 don't want to be guessing game. I don't know what you said because I don't understand it. 11 what's in his mind, what was in his head. 11 A Because you may not want to hear what I 12 All I know, when I came to him and I 12 say --13 13 explained to him, he refused to do anything. Q I really do. 14 14 He writes on his note that "Even the Pope can A I appreciate that. 15 15 be even reputation tarnished on my website." Q I want to understand it. Is it your 16 16 BY MR. GINGRAS: position that Mr. Magedson specifically asked people 17 17 Q I think the Pope has his own issues. to post material about you, about Mr. Mobrez, your 18 Mr. Mobrez, let's turn to page 18 of 18 wife or your company? 19 19 Exhibit 10. I'm sorry, page 18. A He has a website that has an invitation 20 20 A I'm moved by "the Pope has issues." to -- and also says, if you post here, media, you're 21 Q Do you see paragraph 53 A and B there? 21 well known in media, you may even be interviewed in 22 22 A Fifty-three A and B, correct. media, you may even get paid for it. And it goes on 23 23 Q Bottom of the page? and on. It's in your website. 24 24 Q And you'd agree with me that those are 25 25 Q 53A says that defendants, again, Xcentric general reasons for a person to post a comment; 147 149

1 1 right? correct? 2 2 A I can't say anything at this moment until A It's invitation. 3 Q But it never mentioned you, Mr. Mobrez, 3 I look at the documents, I look at the -- I do my 4 your wife or your company, did it? 4 research, I do my search. 5 5 A He has done that to 550,000 people Q Fair enough. Mr. Mobrez, your extortion 6 according to his website. According to his website, 6 claim is based, again, on conversations you had with 7 7 he has done this to 550,000 people and the Mr. Magedson on the phone; right? 8 8 A Yes. businesses. 9 9 Q And I guess e-mails that you had with him? Q Okay. Are you aware of any witness who 10 will testify that Mr. Magedson specifically 10 11 11 solicited, asked people to post false statements Q And maybe some general stuff that's on the 12 12 about you, your wife or your company? website, talking about you should post a complaint 13 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for legal 13 because you might get paid or something? 14 conclusion. Irrelevant. 14 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. 15 15 Mischaracterizes the witness's testimony. THE WITNESS: I can't -- I'm not 16 THE WITNESS: It's, it's more than that 16 Mr. Magedson to know what he said to anybody. 17 But I can say it's in all of these websites and 17 but, ves. 18 18 YouTube and many other places. They talk about BY MR. GINGRAS: 19 19 this, that he is promoting a deception and he's Q Okay. But isn't it true that if promoting the people to come forward and do 20 2.0 Mr. Magedson had never asked you for any money, in 21 fact, if you had never spoken to him at all, the 21 something. 22 BY MR. GINGRAS: 22 reports on Ripoff Report would still be causing you 23 harm; isn't that true? 23 O And because that's --24 2.4 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and A And he says also it could be even false 25 allegation. 25 ambiguous. Compound. 150 152 THE WITNESS: I can't speak of 1 1 Q And because that's on YouTube, that makes 2 2 hypothetically what it is. I can speak of what it true? 3 A YouTube is unfortunately is, today is --3 I see. You have to give me information on the discovery. I don't know these postings, we 4 it's one of the tools that's out there, people, they 4 5 obtain their information. 5 don't know the name, we don't know their 6 Q But you haven't independently verified 6 identities. And it's known only to you and 7 whether or not anything on YouTube is true, have 7 Mr. Magedson. It's not to me. I have no idea 8 8 what's the behind the scenes is involved, the you? 9 A I can't speak of that. All I can say, I, 9 scam. 10 10 I searched for some information out there. BY MR. GINGRAS: 11 11 Q With regard to paragraph 52 B in Q But knowing the identity of the author has 12 12 Exhibit 10, the allegation is that "Defendants have nothing to do with whether or not these reports are 13 13 causing you harm that is separate from harm that you repeatedly and intentionally used their websites as 14 1 4 a scheme to obtain money from AEI and other might have suffered because Mr. Magedson threatened 15 15 companies by means of a false" -- I'm sorry -you --16 16 "false and defamatory complaints created or MR. BLACKERT: Objection. 17 17 solicited by defendants." BY MR. GINGRAS: 18 I know, because we just talked about it, I 18 Q -- wouldn't you agree with me? 19 19 MR. BLACKERT: Calls for a legal know you feel there is a general solicitation. You 20 20 might think it's specific, but I still haven't heard conclusion. 21 any witness that you intend to call that will say 21 THE WITNESS: What do you mean exactly? 22 22 AEI -- that Mr. Magedson asked people to post Mr. Magedson is threatening me or harming this 23 23 particular postings? negative comments about AEI or you or your wife. 24 A We have to discover that. 24 BY MR. GINGRAS: 25 25 Q But you're not aware of it right now; Q I'm saying if Mr. Magedson was run over by 151 153

1 an ice cream truck the day after these posts were 1 Q Well, you have a claim for wire fraud. 2 made and therefore he never called you or you called 2 Wire fraud usually involves a false statement made 3 him and never threatened you in any way, you'd still 3 to the victim. And I'm asking you did Mr. Magedson 4 4 be in exactly the same position, wouldn't you agree? make any false statements to you? I understand 5 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Irrelevant. 5 there's this issue about the California residency 6 6 thing. You can include that or leave it out if you Calls for hypothetical. 7 7 THE WITNESS: No. Maybe Mr. Magedson want to. 8 would have not been there. The website is no 8 Other than that, did Mr. Magedson ever 9 longer there. I don't know. I can't speak of 9 make any statements of fact to you that you believe 10 10 were false? 11 11 And I don't want anybody to go under the MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Overbroad. 12 ice cream truck, which is not fair to them. 12 THE WITNESS: It's, it's a very vague --13 13 MR. GINGRAS: Me either. you have to be more specific. What do you mean 14 THE WITNESS: That's a lot of ice cream to 14 about --15 15 be under it. BY MR. GINGRAS: 16 16 Q Do you believe that Mr. Magedson lied to BY MR. GINGRAS: 17 17 O Mr. Mobrez, let's move on a little bit. you about anything? 18 18 In your complaint, you have a claim for MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Overbroad. 19 unfair business practices under California law, and 19 THE WITNESS: Maybe, yes. 20 20 BY MR. GINGRAS: you specifically say that these unfair practices 21 21 include extortion and wire fraud. Are you familiar O What --22 22 with those claims? A He did. 23 A Those are -- you have to ask our counsels. 23 Q What? 24 24 These are legal conclusions. I am not a lawyer, so A Few of them. I don't know. One of them 25 25 I can't respond to the legal -is about -- now you are saying he's lying. I didn't 154 156 Q So you're saying that only your attorney 1 know he was lying. He was saying, "I'm in L.A., I 1 2 would know the answer to that question? 2 live in L.A." 3 A I would say so because I'm not a lawyer. 3 Q Right. Other than that statement, are 4 Q Are you offering to waive attorney-client 4 there any other lies that Mr. Magedson told to you 5 5 privilege so that I can take your lawyer's that you're claiming? 6 deposition and ask him to answer that question? 6 A Well, basically he is saying, one of the 7 7 MR. BLACKERT: You didn't even pose a areas that he kept, was emphasizing on is "Let's not 8 8 question yet. You didn't even pose a question roll on, let's not roll on the who posted. Let's 9 yet. Ask the question. 9 move on from that. Let's move on and get into the 10 MR. GINGRAS: Can you read back my last 10 CAP program so that we can help you." 11 11 Q I'm sorry, what are you referring to? question. 12 12 (The previous question was read.) Again a phone call? 13 13 BY MR. GINGRAS: A Yeah. 1 4 14 Q Are you familiar with those claims? Q And what did he say in the phone call that 15 15 A I'm familiar. I'm not expert of it. was a lie? 16 16 Q Right, right. I think we talked about A He was saying basically, "Let's move on. 17 17 extortion and that's part of your unfair practices Forget about these, whoever posted. Don't, don't 18 claim, but it's not the only part. You also talk 18 harp on the who was the posted. Move on and let's 19 about wire fraud, and I want to focus your attention 19 get on the CAP program, and you will see negative 20 20 turn to positive." on that for just a minute. Okay? 21 21 A Okav. Q So, again, to summarize what you just 22 22 Q Okay. Do you believe that Mr. Magedson said, you had a phone call with Mr. Magedson and you 23 23 made any false statements of fact to you at any asked him to tell you who the author was? 24 time? 24 A Of course I did. 25 25 A In what respect? Q And he then told you to move on and not 155 157

1 focus on that, and you believe that was a lie 1 You're entitled to I guess. 2 2 somehow? I'm just not hearing the false statements 3 3 A You are putting in a word in my mouth. that you claim that he made to you that then caused 4 4 I'm not sure what you are trying to accomplish with you harm. 5 this. If you tell me what you're looking for --5 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and 6 Q This is your day to explain your case that 6 ambiguous. Compound. Calls for legal 7 7 you have filed. I'm not trying to put words in your conclusions. Overbroad. 8 8 mouth. I'm trying to understand you. So I MR. GINGRAS: I'm actually not done with 9 encourage you, please correct me. I'm often wrong. 9 my question. You can save your objection until 10 I'm the first person to tell you that. But I'd like 10 I'm done. 11 to know what lies or false statements you're 11 Q Are there any false statements that you 12 12 accusing Mr. Magedson of making. want to include as part of this case that you're 13 13 looking for damages for? These are very serious allegations, 14 14 Mr. Mobrez. I'm asking you to explain what they are MR. BLACKERT: Same objections. 15 15 THE WITNESS: This whole thing is a lie. because I don't understand them. 16 16 A For example, he was saying I was -- now I Until he has to show me who did this. He 17 17 know, at that time he was saying there is -- "You doesn't want to show who posted these. 18 18 can't sue us. We have the immunity." BY MR. GINGRAS: 19 19 Q Do you believe that's a lie? You did sue Q If Mr. Magedson shows you the information 20 him; right? So you didn't rely on that one. 20 that he has about the authors of the six reports, 21 21 A Well, he said many people they were will that change your position in this lawsuit in 22 stupid, used the word of "stupid," that "They went 22 some way? 23 and sued us and they lost their case and we even got 23 A That's something, legal question. I am 24 24 legal fee from them, class lawsuit action." not a lawyer to know --25 25 He's, he's absolutely impressed with the Q Yeah, but this is your, this is your case. 158 160 word of "class lawsuit action." And he elaborates 1 1 You're the one that filed this case. 2 on that quite a bit. Actually in his writings. 2 A Well, he put me out of business. He had 3 Q But are you talking about a phone call or 3 every opportunity. You are not paying attention 4 writings? 4 what I said beginning. I said I plead to him, I 5 5 A Both. begged him --6 Q In a phone call he talked about class 6 Q On the phone? 7 actions and people being stupid by suing? 7 A Yes. And even on my writing it's obvious 8 A Suing him. They should move on, just go 8 that I'm telling him, "Look, this is going to --9 along with this thing and see the positive side of 9 this is destroying my whole life. I'm not a 10 10 15-years-old guy." 11 11 Q And that was a phone call or a writing? Q But wouldn't you agree with me that if you 12 A I think it's a phone call. 12 find the authors, if we reveal to you who they are 13 Q Okay. As part of your wire fraud claim, 13 and assuming that you go find them, that you add 14 I'm still not really understanding if there are any 14 them as parties to this lawsuit, you can recover 15 15 lies that you claim that Mr. Magedson made to you damages from them, you understand that; right? 16 16 that you relied on and that caused you harm in any MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a 17 17 way. Can you help correct me? Because I didn't legal conclusion. 18 hear any lies that you're claiming have damaged you. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know that. I'm not 19 19 He might have lied to you about living in a lawyer. I'm not a judge. All I know is they 20 20 may become part of this lawsuit, yes. California, but you didn't rely on that. You sued 21 him anyway. I don't know how that would have harmed 21 BY MR. GINGRAS: 22 22 Q But you certainly believe that the people 23 23 that wrote these posts, they're -- they've damaged You said that he lied to you about not 24 looking for the author's information, but you 24 you, haven't they? 25 haven't asked for that from us in this lawsuit. A Including Mr. Magedson. You just 25 159 161

1 mentioned about a truck run over you and ice cream. 1 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a 2 This is worse than an ice cream truck -- truck run 2 legal conclusion. 3 over me. And we went back to make sure every bones 3 THE WITNESS: This is a legal question. I 4 4 are there to make sure it's absolutely dead. can't respond to a legal -- I'm not a legal 5 5 expert. I'm just a normal person. Q But who's driving that truck? 6 6 A Ed Magedson. BY MR. GINGRAS: 7 7 O Is he? Q Okay. Is there money that you paid to 8 8 A Who owns this Ripoff Report? Xcentric that you're looking to recover? 9 Q I think in your example, Mr. Magedson 9 A I don't have to pay him. He was asking 10 would be more like the truck manufacturer and the 10 for it. I couldn't afford to pay him. 11 11 Q So you actually paid nothing to Xcentric? author would be a little more like the driver. 12 12 A I didn't. Don't you think that is a fair characterization? 13 13 A No. The driver is Mr. Magedson, owns the Q Okay. Do you have any evidence that 14 14 truck and drives over it. He has every rights, he Xcentric received any money from anyone else as a 15 15 has -- he has to be precaution himself and say "Why result of these postings on the -- the specific six 16 16 am I driving over this person?" postings about you on the Ripoff Report website? 17 17 I offered him everything in the beginning A I can't speak of that because I don't know 18 18 to rectify all of this. He's not interested on what between them happened until you provide me your 19 19 information. Your information is going to show if that. 20 20 Mr. Magedson get paid or didn't get paid. Q But the people that are reading the report 21 21 Q Let's look at page 21 of Exhibit 10, the would be, wouldn't they? 22 22 A How they would be interested in we -- we complaint in front of you. 23 finished that discussion long ago, about two hours 23 A Twenty-one? 24 24 ago, that when you post this rebuttal, as you are Q I'm not sure why I just said that. I was 25 25 referring to, it is all the way down and it is actually looking for paragraph 93, which is not on 162 164 21. It is on 27. Are you there now? 1 sometimes it's there, sometimes it's not there. 1 2 2 A Page 27? Even if it's there, let's say the perfect 3 scenario, people will not go to do business with you 3 Q Page 27, paragraph 93. Do you see that 4 when they will find out you are a child molester. 4 paragraph? 5 5 And you are not a child molester. How would you A Yes. 6 feel about it? 6 Q Talks about contractual relationships with 7 7 Q I'd be very angry at the person that wrote current and former employees of AEI who but for 8 8 defendant's publications would have entered into that. 9 A And then you would contact Google, and 9 valid contractual relationships. 10 10 they will tell you go and tell them to take it off. What employees are you referring to in 11 Q Well, we're getting a little far afield. 11 paragraph 93? What are their names? 12 12 Let's, let's get back. Unless, I mean, you're A I don't have the names front of me. They 13 13 welcome to stay here until midnight with me. I were people at that time they were working and 14 1 4 depending on this institute. don't want to keep you that long. So let's, we'll 15 15 both try to focus ourselves. Q And is it your position that people that 16 16 worked for you quit because of Ripoff Report? Getting back to your unfair business 17 17 practices claim, I will tell you as a legal A Absolutely. 18 principle, I'm not asking you for your feelings 18 Q Who? 19 19 about it, but California law generally limits your A Everybody. recovery to what's called restitution. Okay? That 20 20 Q They told you that they quit because of 21 would be money that the defendant improperly took as 21 Ripoff Report? Or you simply assumed that they did? 22 22 a result of whatever these acts are. A Well, it was e-mail exchange with some of 23 23 What money do you believe Xcentric should them. I found out that later, that also they were 24 have to pay back as restitution under your unfair 24 saying, "Did you see this report about AEI?" 25 25 Would you work for AEI if you would have business practices claim? 163 165

1 had such a report as these are scam artists and they 1 good place to work. 2 2 took people -- you think somebody will hire you if Do you think it's kind of a fair way of 3 they will know you are a child molester? 3 characterizing just generally a couple of those **Q** Was that answering the question? 4 4 reports? A I apologize. 5 5 A I can't speak of what somebody else says. 6 6 Q First of all, you mentioned e-mails. What But I can tell you many, many people, they work 7 7 e-mails are you referring to? there, and one of them went even from out of his way 8 A Between two people that were working at 8 and wrote from bottom of his heart the rebuttal that 9 9 you are characterizing as a rebuttal. This says the institute. 10 this is a good place to be in. 10 Q These are e-mails that you saw? 11 **Q** But these reports generally say that 11 A I've seen them. 12 12 working there is not a good thing; right? Q And you still have them? 13 13 A I have to look for it. A I can't speak of somebody -- I don't know 14 Q And you can't tell me the names of any of 14 who they are. I mean, I have no idea if they even 15 worked there. 15 these people who were talking about the Ripoff 16 16 Report posting who then quit? MS. SPETH: We should take a break maybe. 17 17 A It's year and a half has passed. It's MR. GINGRAS: No, we shouldn't. I need to 18 18 more than 15 months has passed. I don't have the -keep going here. Just hang on. 19 19 it's been very devastating for me mentally and Q Do you recall reading one of the reports 2.0 emotionally that this will happen to me. You're 20 that said something about you shouldn't work there 21 21 treating this very lightly. because you'd have more self-respect cleaning 22 22 Q I'm sorry. I'm treating it lightly? toilets or working in a fast food place or something 23 A This is -- you're treating very lightly. 23 like that? Does that ring a bell to you? 24 2.4 Q I'm actually treating it very seriously. A I saw something about cleaning toilets. 25 25 A Thank you. And obviously that tells you that the writer's 166 168 Q I'm treating it very seriously, and I 1 demeanor -- I don't know if that's a true statement. 1 2 really do want to understand your case because, if I 2 Q Here's the part that troubles me. Okay? 3 understand your case, you don't know me, you don't 3 If AEI is a good place to work and if the statements 4 know the kind of person that I am, but if I 4 that say you don't pay your employees overtime or 5 5 understand your case and I'm convinced that your you don't pay them fairly, if those statements are 6 case is legitimate and valid, I would take that 6 false, the people that work there would know that, 7 7 extremely seriously. wouldn't they? 8 8 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Statements My reputation is on the line as far as I'm 9 defending someone that is accused of doing very bad 9 speak for themselves. Calls for a 10 10 things. If that person is guilty of those things, I conclusion --11 don't want to be associated with that person. Do 11 BY MR. GINGRAS: 12 12 you understand --Q I mean, if you tell me that I shouldn't go 13 13 outside because it's raining too hard and I look out A I hope you do. 14 14 Q So I want you to help me understand the window and see that it's sunny, I don't 15 15 whether or not I'm working for a bad guy. understand how your statement about it raining is 16 16 A I'm sure by now you know who he is. going to impact me in any way. 17 17 Q I think that's fair, I do. But I'm trying Can you help me understand that? 18 to understand your case and your claims and why you 18 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Hypothetical. 19 19 Irrelevant. Vague and ambiguous. think he's so bad. 20 20 THE WITNESS: This is a very, very Let me ask you this, Mr. Mobrez. hypothetical question. Because it doesn't help 21 Something I'm troubled with is this: The reports, 21 22 22 if somebody -- I have no idea -- you have to the six of them there, there are a lot of factual

167

23

24

25

provide us first who they are. Then I can make

And you keep saying hypothetically there's

169

intelligent assessment.

23

24

25

statements in there, but if you want to generalize,

a couple of them kind of talk about don't work at

AEI because you're not a nice man and it's not a

1 somebody in a cloud said something. And you 1 MR. BLACKERT: Thank you. 2 MR. GINGRAS: Does anybody want a break? are saying only one person. I don't know, a 2 3 3 lot of people came and worked at AEI. A lot of Would that be helpful --4 4 people came and left. And a lot of people came MR. BLACKERT: Let's take a break. 5 5 for part-time work, seasonal work, whatever you THE WITNESS: Why do you want to take a 6 want to call it. And it's not something --6 break? Look, you don't have that much time. 7 7 this is not a, as you brought up, an ice cream You said it, he said it, and we are over. 8 8 shop. Let's move on. 9 BY MR. GINGRAS: 9 BY MR. GINGRAS: 10 Q Okay. Again, paragraph 94 on page 27 of 10 Q Do you want to continue? 11 Exhibit 10, you claim that defendants knew, 11 A Yes, please. 12 defendants being Xcentric and Ed Magedson, knew when 12 Q Let's carry on, shall we? 13 13 making these defamatory statements that you had Looking at page 28, specifically paragraph 14 14 these valuable contracts with your employees. 97, do you see that? 15 15 A Yes, sir. What is your basis for claiming that 16 16 Mr. Magedson or Xcentric knew that anything about Q In the middle of the paragraph, there is 17 your employees at or before the time these things 17 an allegation that plaintiffs have been damaged in 18 18 their good name and reputation and have lost current were posted? 19 19 A When I called him, I called him exactly as well as prospective employees. 20 what I just told you, that "Mr. Magedson, this is 20 Again, do you have any name of any person 21 21 all false. It's not true. I'll be glad if you tell who is a current employee who left because of the 22 me who they are, I can pull a file. I can show you. 22 **Ripoff Report postings?** 23 There are people in the United States, they lie 23 A I can't hire anybody currently because 24 about their resumes all day long. I can tell you 24 nobody will work for organization that has such a 25 exactly who they are. But I don't know who they 25 reputation. 170 172 are. You know who they are. And according to you Q I don't want to know who left. I don't, I 1 1 2 who they are. So please let me" -- he was not 2 don't want to know that you can't hire people now. 3 interested in the truth. 3 I want to know who worked there before and then left 4 4 Q And then, again, you're talking about because of the postings. 5 5 A I have to look up on the record and I can something in writing or something on the phone? I 6 6 tell you something. don't -- I can't tell from what you're saying which, 7 7 Q What record are you going to look up? what you're referring to. 8 8 A At that time. It was 14, 16 months ago. A I think it has been in both. 9 9 You are talking about 16 --O Okay. Let's turn to page 28 of Exhibit 10 10 10 and look at paragraph 97. Q Where are your records located? 11 11 A Either in the storage or in my office. MR. BLACKERT: If you're going to say 12 12 anything, you have to say it on the record. Q You understand that I've asked your MS. SPETH: No, I don't. Excuse me? 13 13 lawyers and you to produce documents like this, and 1 4 14 MR. BLACKERT: You can't whisper things to the responses are due in about three weeks or so, a 15 15 little less than that? Are you aware of that? counsel. 16 16 MS. SPETH: I can whisper anything I want A I don't. 17 17 Q Have you made any effort, as of today's to counsel. 18 MR. BLACKERT: You're instructing him what 18 date, have you made any effort to go back through 19 19 your records and locate information such as what I to ask. That's improper. 20 20 MR. GINGRAS: No, she's not. just asked you for the names of people that quit 21 21 MR. BLACKERT: I'm going to state on the because of the Ripoff Report postings? Have you 22 22 record that attorney is whispering in David's done that yet? 23 23 A I haven't. ear. 24 MS. SPETH: I whispered in his ear. Yes, 24 Q When do you intend to did that? 25 25 A Next week, next two weeks. You said three thank you. Good observation. 171 173

1 weeks from now. 1 BY MR. GINGRAS: 2 2 Q Sure. Let's just use your own words, Q That's right. 3 A Okay. So we have three weeks to go. 3 okay? Okay. On page 23, beginning with paragraph 4 Q Mr. Mobrez, your complaint includes a 4 72 of Exhibit 10. 5 couple of allegations of conspiracy. Do you believe 5 A Yes. 6 that Mr. Magedson and/or Xcentric have conspired 6 Q Ignore paragraph 72. Look at paragraph 7 7 with somebody else to harm you? 73: 8 8 A I can't speak of that. "Defendants and persons unknown to the 9 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a 9 plaintiffs at this time have had a common 10 legal conclusion. 10 design by means of concerted action to solicit, 11 11 BY MR. GINGRAS: develop, create and publish on defendant's 12 12 websites false and defamatory statements about Q Are you aware of any evidence that shows 13 Mr. Magedson or Xcentric or anyone associated with 13 the plaintiffs." 14 Xcentric conspiring to harm you? 14 My question to you is I understand at the 15 15 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls -time you wrote this you said the defendants and 16 16 BY MR. GINGRAS: people who you did not know have done these bad 17 Q I'm asking about evidence that you know 17 things. As we sit here now, have you gained any 18 18 further insight into who those unknown people are? of. 19 19 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a A You have to give me your information so I 20 20 legal conclusion. can find out who they are. 21 21 THE WITNESS: I have to see evidence. You Q And imagine our response is "I don't know. 22 22 No such person. We didn't conspire with anyone." have the evidence. I have to see your evidence 23 so I can see who you were involved. 23 Assuming that's our response, which it 24 24 BY MR. GINGRAS: will be, what evidence do you have to show anything 25 Q Well, I know what our evidence is on that 25 contrary to that? Anything? 174 176 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Argumentative. 1 point, which is zero, and I'm not here to testify, 1 2 2 THE WITNESS: I don't know. This is a so that's meaningless to you. 3 But I want to know what evidence you have. 3 legal question. 4 BY MR. GINGRAS: Because in theory you shouldn't bring a lawsuit with 4 5 serious claims like that without evidence. Wouldn't 5 Q Oh, it's purely factual, Mr. Mobrez. What 6 6 you agree with me? I'm asking you is, let's say John Brewington called 7 7 Move to strike that. That was you up and said, "I've been conspiring with Ed 8 8 Magedson. He asked me to post these things about argumentative. 9 A Let me clarify the statement you just 9 AEI, and I did that because he gave me an ice cream 10 10 made. When I say "to you," it means Ed Magedson or cone," since we've been talking about ice cream. Ripoff Report. I don't mean to you personally. 11 11 That would be a factual issue that I'd 12 Q Right. 12 like to know about if you have any evidence like 13 13 A So I appreciate you understand that. that. If you don't have any evidence like that, I'm 14 14 Q But I'm talking about your conspiracy also interested in that. Okay? 15 15 claim specifically. Conspiracy in my mind, you MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and 16 can't enter into a conspiracy with yourself. You're 16 ambiguous. 17 17 referring to a conspiracy with somebody else. And BY MR. GINGRAS: 18 I'm asking you who is that somebody else. 18 Q As you sit here today, I understand when 19 19 you filed this complaint you did not know who these MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a 20 20 legal conclusion. unknown people were. As you sit here today, do you 21 THE WITNESS: I -- can you be clear about 21 know who they are? 22 22 your statement, or can you rephrase it and ask A You are going back again to guessing game. 23 23 me any other shape and form so I can be able to We -- I can't guess anything until you provide me 24 respond to it adequately? 24 all your evidence, all your information. 25 25 /// Q So as we sit here today, the name of this 175 177

1	unknown person you don't know?	1	A It's whatever it is. We have a track
2	A No.	2	record of it obviously.
3	Q Okay.	3	Q Okay. But AEI didn't sell any products,
4	A I can guess a million things. It's not a	4	so it's not like you built prototype widgets and
5	guessing game.	5	that cost 100,000 and then you spent money on that.
6	Q What is the total amount of damages that	6	Because of the nature of what the business
7	you're seeking in this case?	7	was, giving seminars, I guess my question is what
8	MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a	8	did you spend money on specifically to do that?
9	legal conclusion.	9	MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Asked and
10	THE WITNESS: We have not compiled all of	10	answered.
11	our numbers yet. But we have a huge loss here.	11	BY MR. GINGRAS:
12	BY MR. GINGRAS:	12	Q I don't know what money a person needs to
13	Q In a ballpark figure, what do you believe	13	spend in order to build the type of business as
14	that loss to be?	14	you've described AEI trying to be. I don't know
15	MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a	15	what you would spend it on. Lawyers I don't know
16	legal conclusion. Asked and answered.	16	what you would spend it on, so I'm asking you to
17	BY MR. GINGRAS:	17	help me.
		18	A You just mentioned something very
18 10	Q Are we talking about a million dollars or one dollar or something in between?	19	important. You said you will spend the time and to
19	MR. BLACKERT: Objection	20	build a gadget or midget or whatever you call it.
20	•	21	
21	THE WITNESS: Something above a million	22	Q Widget, widget, thank you. And after you
22	dollars.		build that, I would just break it. What would you
23	BY MR. GINGRAS:	23 24	do with it after that? Why did you waste your time
24	Q A million dollars?		to build that?
25	A And more.	25	A Answer is all inside of that same widget.
_	170	+	100
1	Q How much money did you spend investing in	1	Q But in the widget example, you might be
2	the building of AEI, if you will?	2	able to point to a receipt for a machine that you
3	A That's we're going to collect that through	3	had to buy or a
4	our tax returns and other accounting informations.	4	A That's what you're going to get.
5	That you will have that in the next three weeks as	5	Q A receipt for machines?
6	you said	6	A Yeah.
7	Q You said "collect that." You're not going	7	Q And don't you still have those things?
8	to recover that money, you said you're going to	8	A We still have all the, whatever we spended
9	gather that information?	9	on AEI.
10	A Information.	10	Q But you're unable to use that in any
11	Q Right.	11	meaningful way because of the reports?
12	A And you asked for information.	12	A It's finished. It's burned the house.
13		13	You're saying, after we built the house, somebody
14	Q Right.A You didn't ask for the money.	14	burned it and let's see how we can see if we can use
15		15	
16	Q Do you have any idea as you is sit here now how much money you spent building AEI?	16	the house again. I can use the picture, but I can't use the
		17	
17	A I would say	1	house back again. It's been burned to the ground.
18	MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Asked and	18	Q Okay. Mr. Mobrez, I think we covered this
19	answered.	19	before, that, that you told me that your reputation
20	You can answer.	20	and your wife's reputation and your business's
21	THE WITNESS: I would say over a million	21	reputation, they've all been harmed as a result of
22	dollars.	22	these postings; right?
23	BY MR. GINGRAS:	23	A Yes.
24	0 4 1 4 4 111 22 2 4 12	h 1	O A 3
	Q And that would be, like, what would you	24	Q And can you quantify that loss as we sit
25	Q And that would be, like, what would you have spent that money on?	24 25	Q And can you quantify that loss as we sit here today?

1 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Asked and 1 cream truck, again, the day after these reports were 2 answered. 2 posted, and let's say -- actually, let's go back. 3 3 THE WITNESS: I, I think I answered to Let's just erase the reports. They're 4 4 that as my whole life been devastated, my gone. They never went up at all. You still would health been very, very severely affected with 5 5 have spent that money building the business; right? 6 this. I can't sleep in the night. And I don't 6 A Then I would have cashed it out by now. 7 7 want to sound like a fragile. I want to be a Q How would you cash it out? 8 8 normal human being. A Not only I would have benefit, United 9 States would have benefit from this. This is a I've been accused of something I'm not. 9 10 And I have gone to the party and I said, "Look, 10 business and a national security of a nation. 11 this is all the things which you need I can 11 There's income coming from other countries, other 12 give you. Here, look." 12 people they want to attend to the conferences, 13 13 And he says, "I don't want to look at seminars. 14 14 that. I have other agendas." They want to -- it's a U.S. entity. And 15 15 BY MR. GINGRAS: its incomes are reflects here. If I make \$200,000 16 16 Q Okay. So you can't put a dollar amount on or ten cents in Japan, it's income tax in United 17 the reputational harm that you suffered? You don't 17 18 18 know what that is specifically? But you agree it's Q Okay. But that, before we talked about 19 19 something; right? opening an ice cream shop and, if I open an ice 20 20 A I'm sure it is substantial, yes. cream shop, I have to spend money doing that. I 21 21 Q Okay. And separate, take away that have to buy equipment. I have to develop artwork or 22 number, whatever it is, a million dollars, take that 22 a menu or a logo. I've got to pay a lawyer to do my 23 number away. What other damages did Mr. Magedson 23 trademark. And I've got to spend some money out of 24 24 cause you because of the attempt at extortion? pocket to build that business. 25 25 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a Before day one, before it opens, there are 182 184 1 1 legal conclusion. hard costs to do that. 2 THE WITNESS: The hard cost. 2 A It takes time. 3 BY MR. GINGRAS: 3 O Right. It takes time and effort and 4 4 heartbreak, and you put all this effort into it and Q Hard cost? 5 A Hard cost that we spend. 5 day one, before day one, you've got that money out 6 Q What hard costs? 6 of pocket; right? 7 7 A Correct. A You lease a space, you build a website, 8 you pay the people -- you just built the widgets a 8 Q And that money's spent, whether somebody 9 few seconds ago. So going back to that, you spended 9 burns the business down the next day or not, your 10 10 time to did that. money's still spent, isn't it? You haven't lost 11 11 that money because somebody burned it down. You Q So you're saying that those losses are not 12 12 part of the reputational harm? lost the profits maybe --13 13 A Absolutely not. A No. sir --14 14 Q They're not part? They're different? MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and 15 15 A Yes. ambiguous. Calls for a legal conclusion. 16 16 THE WITNESS: No, sir. It's like you're Q And how did Mr. Magedson's threat to you 17 17 cause your hard costs to suddenly be valueless? saying right things but you keep missing the 18 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a 18 very -- something is missing is intellectual 19 19 legal conclusion. part of it. 20 20 THE WITNESS: Because the value I created, BY MR. GINGRAS: 21 it was my website, my publication, the entity, 21 O It's not the first time I've heard that. 22 22 A I apologize. You are saying the house institute. 23 23 been burned, and if the person been injured there, BY MR. GINGRAS: 24 Q But, Mr. Mobrez, if I'm not mistaken, if 24 the house would have been burned and forget about 25 25 Mr. Magedson, again, let's say he got hit by an ice the injury of the party. 183 185

1 You can't say sever these. These are 1 BY MR. GINGRAS: 2 combination of both. If you are going to burn that 2 Q I'll show you what we've marked as 3 3 ice cream shop that you have built it twice so far Exhibit 12. Does that look familiar to you? 4 4 and the equipments are gone, the equipments had a A I really don't know exactly. What is it? 5 5 value, they paid for it. So you pay for the Q You don't know? Do you know if 6 6 equipments and the shop is leased and the owner's AsiaBusinessInstitute.com is one of your websites? 7 7 injury. These are just very basic stuff. I A I have no look into it. I could say, if 8 apologize. I don't mean to be -- I like to listen 8 you'll let me look at it, I could see --9 9 to you more. (Whereupon, Exhibit 12A was marked for 10 10 Q Pardon? identification and is bound under separate 11 11 A I like to listen to you. cover.) 12 O You want to listen to me? 12 BY MR. GINGRAS: 13 13 A Yes, sir. Q Let me show you Exhibit 12A, Mr. Mobrez. 14 Q That will be \$350 an hour, please. 14 Here you go. 15 15 Mr. Mobrez, let's move on because I think A Okay. 16 16 everyone in this room is kind of ready to do so. Q Exhibit 12A is again a "Who Is" search for 17 17 Can I have Exhibit 12, please. AsiaBusinessInstitute.com. 18 18 A Right. A Exhibit 12? 19 19 MS. SPETH: I think that's already been --Q The name of the registrant is simply 20 no, it hasn't. 20 "Admin Admin." I don't know who that is. But the 21 21 address, 11766 Wilshire Boulevard, suite 260, that's BY MR. GINGRAS: 22 22 Q While we're waiting for that, are you your business address, is it not? 23 aware of any negative statements about you on any 23 A Yes, it is. 24 24 websites other than RipoffReport.com? Q Does that help refresh your recollection 25 25 A I believe in RipoffReport.com they had as to whether AsiaBusinessInstitute.com is one of 186 188 built up another side that, to be linking to more, 1 1 vour websites? 2 if you are talking about that. I don't know if 2 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. The documents 3 that's the case. 3 speak for itself. 4 4 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Q I don't have any idea what you were just 5 saying. Are you saying, are you saying there was 5 BY MR. GINGRAS: 6 another -- there is another website where -- is it 6 Q Is that one of your websites, sir? 7 7 complaints board or is it -- complaints board. 8 8 Other websites sometimes will copy things Q Turn to the second page of Exhibit 12. Do 9 that are on Ripoff Report and take those things and 9 you see at the bottom -- first of all, the first 10 10 republish them on their own website. We don't do page appears to be an article. It says "Written by 11 11 Raymond Mobrez, Ph.D., Director." that. That's not something we approve of. Is that 12 12 something you're talking about, another website --Do you see that on the first page, on the 13 13 A Maybe, yeah. first page of Exhibit 12? 14 14 Q Other than that example, are you aware of A Correct. 15 15 any other websites where negative statements were Q Do you recall writing an article about 16 16 made about you online? Toyota? I didn't really read it. 17 17 A I don't. A Maybe. 18 O I think we talked about before about the 18 Q Okay. And if you look at the second page 19 19 of Exhibit 12 at the bottom, under Comments, do you list of websites that you own. Is 20 20 AsiaBusinessInstitute.com one of those websites? see that? 21 A If it is, yes, maybe. 21 A Yeah. 22 22 (Whereupon, Exhibit 12 was marked for Q Do you see the comments? It's signed 23 23 identification and is bound under separate Anonymous, and it says "No shit asshole. A non 24 cover.) 24 Ph.D. could have figured it out. You are a fake, a 25 25 ripoff artist, immoral, and did I say asshole?" /// 187 189

1 Do you see that statement? 1 names and so forth in Exhibit 12? 2 A I haven't seen this before. 2 A I can't speak of that. But you seem to me 3 3 O But AsiaBusinessInstitute.com is one of you have done research that it shows it's a website 4 4 your websites, is it not? we own or domain we own. And I don't know. 5 5 A I'm sure if somebody found out it's ours, Q Yeah. I Googled your name and I found 6 6 that. So I was just wondering why you haven't found yes. When was this comment was written? 7 7 Q It appears to have been written on 8 8 October 9th. A I didn't see it. MR. GINGRAS: All right. Let's move on 9 9 MR. BLACKERT: October 9, '09. 10 MR. GINGRAS: 2009. 10 from there. Exhibit 13, sir. 11 11 (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for Q And what's interesting is there's a 12 12 partial IP address there. The last digits are identification and is bound under separate 13 13 redacted. cover.) 14 14 So you would agree with me that a comment BY MR. GINGRAS: 15 that insults your intelligence, calls you an 15 Q Have you seen Exhibit 13 before? 16 16 A Yes. asshole, calls you a fake, a ripoff artist and 17 17 immoral, those statements are damaging to your O And this appears to be an e-mail that you 18 sent on or about the 15th of February 2009 to 18 reputation, are they not? 19 19 Info@RipoffReport.com from Raymond@AsiaEcon.org. Is A This is in October. This is not in 20 20 that your text that you wrote there? February of 2009. 21 21 Q It's about seven months later, I agree A Yes. 22 22 with you. But those statements are still damaging Q In the middle of the page there's a 23 23 statement that says "Your false publishing has to your reputation, are they not? 24 2.4 caused me and others that you have named hardship A Well, you are saying that after a one car 25 25 if run over somebody and then the second car had the and enormous loss." 192 Was that statement true in February of 1 right to run over them again because of that person 1 2 was on the ground already and they were running over 2 2009? 3 them. 3 A Yes, it is. 4 4 Q And you would agree with me, would you Q I think the example that you're trying to 5 give is that if Mr. Magedson ran over your left foot 5 not, February of 2009 is before you ever spoke to 6 6 Mr. Magedson on the phone or by e-mail? I and someone else ran over your right foot -- my, my 7 7 understand this is the first one. You had not argument to you or question to you is, isn't it fair 8 8 spoken to Mr. Magedson prior to this, have you? to say that Mr. Magedson's not responsible for harm 9 caused by the other driver who ran over your other 9 10 10 foot; isn't that fair? Q And Mr. Magedson had not threatened you in 11 11 A Mr. Magedson has created the atmosphere any way prior to this, had he? 12 12 that people will start hating and bringing in hate 13 to the globe. It's -- you're saying that if this 13 Q But you would agree with me that as of 1 4 14 car -- you run out over you in a car, and seven, February 15th, you had suffered enormous loss? 15 15 eight, nine months later, actually eight, nine A Yes, I did. 16 16 months later, you have somebody else's could have Q In the last line of the e-mail -- I'm 17 17 sorry. In the last line of Exhibit 13, you say that run that. 18 I, I, this is my first time I see it. And 18 you need a contact person name and contact 19 19 this is obviously some people -- also some people information besides your attorney at your 20 20 could go and write anything they want anywhere they publication to discuss this urgent matter. 21 want. But this is, this is -- I didn't know this. 21 What did you mean by that? Were you 22 22 I apologize. asking for the name of the attorney for Mr. 23 23 Q Mr. Mobrez, do you have any evidence that Magedson? Is that what you were asking for? 24 Mr. Magedson or anyone associated with Xcentric 24 A I apologize. Read it back to me again. 25 25 Q Just look at the last line of Exhibit 13 Ventures wrote the anonymous comment calling you 193

1 right above "With kind regards." 1 him, and I said, "I'll send it to you, and I'll c.c. 2 A Okay. Yeah, I didn't want to say that "I 2 it to Iliana so if you don't get it, she will get it 3 am looking for to fight with you guys." I'm looking 3 and you will see why you're not getting my e-mail." 4 for somebody who's in charge of this publication. 4 Q I think, again, I hate to testify for you, 5 5 Q And did you ever get a response to that I think you're a little confused. 6 e-mail? 6 Can I have Exhibit 15. 7 7 A No. Again, looking back at 14, your e-mail on 8 8 (Whereupon, Exhibit 14 was marked for the 28th of April, obviously you talked to 9 9 Mr. Magedson on the 27th. Did you ever speak to him identification and is bound under separate 10 cover.) 10 prior to the 27th of April 2009? 11 11 A I'm not sure if I spoke to him or the BY MR. GINGRAS: 12 12 prompt that I made a call. And I wrote this e-mail Q Okay. Let's move on to Exhibit 14. 13 Mr. Mobrez, Exhibit 14, have you seen this before? 13 and I send it out, and then when he was saying he 14 14 was not getting my e-mail, I resend this at two 15 15 different dates. And in fact it might have other Q It appears to be an e-mail dated 28th of 16 16 date in top of it. I would forward them with the April 2009, from you to Editor@RipoffReport.com and 17 17 now Info, again, at RipoffReport.com. previous date and time. 18 18 Do you recall sending this e-mail? Q And we're going to get to that, we're 19 19 A Yes. going to get to that immediately. But prior to the 20 20 Q You say in here "Dear Editor, I spoke with 27th of April phone call that you had, did you ever 21 21 someone at your office yesterday who asked me to talk to Mr. Magedson personally by phone? Or is 22 send an e-mail who I was and why I was calling." 22 that the first time on the 27th of April? Or you 23 23 As I understand it, you in your don't remember? 24 24 declaration, which we'll get to in just a minute, A I don't recall right now exactly. 25 you described talking to Mr. Magedson the day before 25 (Whereupon, Exhibit 15 was marked for 196 this e-mail was sent. So the 27th of April; right? identification and is bound under separate 1 1 2 2 And I understand there was a typo and I saw the cover.) 3 notice of errata. I appreciate that. 3 BY MR. GINGRAS: 4 4 But the day before, on the 27th of April, Q All right. Exhibit 15 is, I think you 5 you talked to Mr. Magedson on the phone; right? 5 said, we were talking about 14, and you said you 6 6 created this and re-sent it. Actually you were A (No audible response.) 7 7 referring to the 15 e-mail; right? Q And as I recall from your declaration, you 8 8 A May, May 5th. May 5th. described three phone calls with him on that day; is 9 that right? 9 Q Right. On May 5th, I understand from your 10 10 A Right. declaration that you talked to Mr. Magedson that day 11 11 Q But your e-mail doesn't say anything about and that he may have asked you to resend an e-mail 12 12 three phone calls, does it? or that you told him that you would resend an 13 13 A No. Do you want to know why? e-mail, and this is actually that e-mail. It looks 1 4 14 like it's just a copy of the same one just --15 15 A Okay. He said, "I'm not getting your A Absolutely. I had this, I had in front of 16 16 e-mails." And I e-mailed him while he was on the me, and I said, "Why don't I just send one to take a 17 17 phone I believe or just the same timing. And I look at it." 18 said, "Let me send this to you. Take a look at it." 18 Q But my point actually is a very small one. 19 19 And I e-mailed it at the e-mail address he On May 5th, 2009, the text of your e-mail says, "I 20 20 gave me, Editor@RipoffReport.com. And I put another spoke with someone at your office yesterday." That 21 21 one, Info at -- I didn't know Editor until he gave actually was just -- that was incorrect. That was 22 22 me Editor@Ripoff Report.com as his e-mail address. what you meant on the 28th of April e-mail? 23 23 Q Right. And that was during your phone A Well, just testing -- I had two choice. 24 call or phone calls -- on the 27th --24 Either to get a fresh e-mail --25 25 A This was made previously. I resend it to Q Right. And you just re-sent the one from 195 197

1	before?	1	MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a
2	A Then I said, "I'll send this to you and	2	legal conclusion.
3	see," and I c.c.'ed her just to	3	THE WITNESS: Which one?
4	Q Right. My point of asking is on May 5th	4	BY MR. GINGRAS:
5	you sent an e-mail saying you talked to someone at	5	Q The Exhibit 16, the May 5th e-mail from
6	your office yesterday	6	Mr. Magedson to you. Do you feel that you were
7	A No. It's incorrect.	7	threatened in this e-mail?
8	Q Right. Not because you meant it to be.	8	A You want me so sit down and read this word
9	You were just resending it, what you said before?	9	by word or just
. 0	A Indeed.	10	Q I don't know. I mean, I'm just asking if,
1	Q So you didn't talk to Mr. Magedson or	11	if you feel there's something in here that was
2	anyone else at Xcentric on May 4th.	12	threatening to you.
.3	A Right.	13	A Well, he's saying go back to "We did not
4	Q And you said before, you e-mailed	14	make up the rules," and then he talks about his
. 5	Mr. Magedson on the 15th of, 15th of February 2009,	15	lawsuits.
.6	didn't get a response?	16	Q Well, the e-mail speaks for itself as far
. 7	A Correct.	17	
. 8		18	as what text is there. I understand what text is
. 0	Q And then you e-mailed him on the 28th of April saying that you had talked to him the day	19	there. That's not what I'm asking you. I'm asking
	before?		you what you, how you interpreted that. Is there
0		20	something in this e-mail that you interpreted as
1	A Right.	21	being a threat towards you of any kind?
2	Q And you never got a response to the 28th	22	A Well, here says
3	of April e-mail, did you?	23	MR. BLACKERT: He's asking you do you feel
2.4	A If it is, we have produced it to you.	24	threatened.
25	(Whereupon, Exhibit 16 was marked for 198	25 3	I'm just trying to speed it up 20
1	identification and is bound under separate	1	THE WITNESS: Well, it's the threaten, the
2	cover.)	2	pressure that you have nowhere to go.
3	BY MR. GINGRAS:	3	BY MR. GINGRAS:
4	Q Well, let's look at, let's look at 16.	4	Q Where is that?
5	Sixteen is, it looks to me like an e-mail from	5	A Okay. It says here if the true who would
6	Editor@RipoffReport.com to Raymond@AsiaEcon.org,	6	not want to do the business with a company that
7	dated May 5th.	7	he goes on and on explains here, I think it's
8	So it looks like this is probably the	8	self-explanatory document.
9	first response e-mail that Mr. Magedson sent to you	9	Q On the second to last page there's a,
J L O	after you kind of re-sent the same e-mail twice;	10	second to last page, there's a, kind of at the
.1	right? A Yes.	11 12	bottom there's a bullet point list there. Do you
. 2			see that? This is specifically referring to the
	Q And is this the first time that he had	13	Corporate Advocacy Program. Do you see that?
4	e-mailed you back?	14	A Indeed.
15	A You know, all I can tell you, whatever	15	Q And the first bullet point says "The
16	records I have speaks for itself. I can verify all	16	program changes negative listings on search engines
. 7	the records that we have. I don't have	17	into a positive along with all the reports on the
. 8	Q I haven't I've looked at what you've	18	report," and then there's a parenthetical after that
19	given us, and I have not seen an e-mail from	19	which says "Reports are never deleted."
0 2	Mr. Magedson to you before May 5th. And I'm asking	20	Did Mr. Magedson ever tell you that he
21	you do you know that there was one or do you think	21	would delete reports if you paid him money?
22	there wasn't?	22	A No.
23	A I, I don't recall exactly I'd like to say.	23	Q And in fact it's true, is it not, that
24	Q Mr. Mobrez, is any part of your extortion	24	this e-mail says, and I think the website which just
25	claim based on this e-mail?	25	this was copied from, it says the same thing; right?
	199	2	201

1 That reports don't get deleted just because you 1 website --2 joined the program? 2 Q And that's not a conclusion you're drawing 3 3 A It says that if you join the program, the from the e-mail, that's based on --4 search engine into negative listing on search engine 4 A He's explaining, yes. 5 into positive reports. 5 O On the phone? 6 Q But did you ever talk to Mr. Magedson 6 A Yes. 7 7 about what he meant by that? (Whereupon, Exhibit 17 was marked for 8 A I'm sure he has a mechanism to do it. 8 identification and is bound under separate 9 9 cover.) Q But did you ever speak to him about what 10 10 he thought that meant? I know what he thought that BY MR. GINGRAS: 11 11 meant because I've talked to him about it. Q Okay. Exhibit 17, Mr. Mobrez, is, this is 12 12 kind of, it looks like halfway down the page is a I'm asking you, did you have a 13 13 conversation with him where he explained to you what copy of that longer e-mail. The top of the page is 14 14 does that mean, what does it mean to say that what I would like to direct your attention to. 15 15 negative gets changed into a positive? On May 12th it looks like, it looks like, 16 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. The document 16 it looks like Mr. Magedson e-mailed you on May 12th speaks for itself. 17 17 at 18:04 and then said: 18 18 BY MR. GINGRAS: "Dear Raymond, you drove me crazy because 19 19 you never filled out the form as you said you Q The document speaks for itself. But 20 conversations that you had later might have provided 20 did. You never filled out anything. I ran my 21 21 tech staff looking for something you never additional insight for you. 22 MR. BLACKERT: Same objection. 22 did." 23 THE WITNESS: He is basically explaining, 23 This is after -- you had a phone call with 24 24 explains to you as you get on the phone with Mr. Magedson on May the 12th; right? 25 25 him that the way it's going to work on Ripoff A Correct. 202 204 Report, the negative, it will be pushed down or 1 1 Q And did Mr. Magedson ever tell you during 2 it would somehow modify that you can't see it 2 that call or any of the other calls that he would 3 but it is on the Ripoff Report site. 3 not speak to you by phone about the Corporate 4 4 But the things are positive it shows up in Advocacy Program unless you had filled out an 5 the top and you are benefitting from this -- it 5 application? 6 says here that "this program changes negative 6 A He -- actually here I remember this, he 7 7 listings on a search engine into the positive." was kind of upset when he says "You drove me crazy" 8 I'm reading from the --8 is, you know, "You better do it. I told you to do 9 BY MR. GINGRAS: 9 it. You said you're going to do it. Why didn't you 10 10 do it?" It's not like "You drove me crazy today why Q I thought -- I was trying to follow you, 11 and I thought you just said something about what 11 you didn't, you know, fill that form." That's what 12 12 your conversation on the phone was and then you he's saying. 13 13 flipped back to the e-mail. Let's try, let's try Q I don't think that was the question that I 14 14 and separate those two things, okay? asked. I think the question that I asked was did 15 15 Did Mr. Magedson say on the phone anything Mr. Magedson ever tell you on the phone that he 16 16 about what he meant when he said negative gets couldn't talk to you until you had filled out a form 17 17 changed into a positive? Was that a discussion that of some kind? 18 you had on the phone? 18 A He says that and he also talks to you. He 19 19 A Yes. does both. 20 20 Q And what did he say specifically? Q He says he can't talk to you but then he 21 A I don't recall exactly right this second, 21 talks to you anyway? but I can tell you he was explaining that you could 22 22 A Yes. 23 23 be seen on search engines in the top even though **Q** About the Corporate Advocacy Program? 24 it's some positive impacts of it to your -- rather 24 A Correct. 25 25 than negative listings will be seen on your **Q** Including the cost of it and so forth? 203 205

1 A Yes. 1 BY MR. GINGRAS: 2 2 Q And during your phone call with Q Okay. Mr. Mobrez, Exhibit 18 is an e-mail 3 Mr. Magedson on May 12th, which was, by the way, 3 from you to Editor@RipoffReport dated July 24th, 4 4 before this e-mail at 18:04, did you tell him that 2009, which I guess is a couple of months later. 5 5 you had sent information to him, whatever The subject line reads "Asia Economic Institute, 6 information he told he needed before he had spoken 6 AEI, World Econ Application About CAP." 7 7 to you, did you tell him you had already sent that? A Yes. CAP program. 8 8 A What information? What information? Q Were you applying for the CAP program in 9 9 O Well, the e-mail in Exhibit 17 where he this e-mail? Why did you put "Application About 10 says "You drove me crazy. You never filled out the 10 CAP" in the subject line? 11 11 form as you said you did," did Mr. Magedson tell A He's talking about application for the CAP 12 you -- I'm sorry, did you tell him that you had 12 program. 13 13 filled out the form as you said you did? Q Right. But this is an e-mail from you to 14 14 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Vague and him. I'm asking why would you put that in the 15 15 ambiguous. subject line. 16 16 THE WITNESS: I never told him. I said to A Well, you see, this conversation on the 17 17 12th, now it comes back to me specifically, it was him as you read it in my next e-mail the same 18 18 thing. I said, "Look, I cannot stipulate to very noisy like he was working in his kitchen or 19 something that I haven't done. So I can't fill 19 something. Dog was barking. Somebody came to his 20 the form because I can't stipulate to that." 20 door twice. And, and he was -- kept interrupted. 21 21 BY MR. GINGRAS: And this was basically he was kind of, he wanted to 22 22 get to the bottom of this to go ahead and enroll in Q All right. Let me, let me see if I can 23 just make any point as to what I'm referring to and 23 our CAP program. 24 24 Here I am just refreshing his memory we'll get, we'll get on. 25 25 Mr. Magedson says that you called him and because he kept saying CAP program, CAP application, 206 1 and I put it up there, this is the discussions about 1 told him that you had sent an e-mail to him and he 2 2 a CAP application that he wants me to do it. had told you he couldn't talk to you until you did 3 and you said that you had sent it and that he was 3 Q And because this is two and a half months 4 4 going to look for it and then he did look for it, after your May 12th phone call, you were just trying 5 couldn't find it, and that's what he was referring 5 to refresh his recollection about Asia Economic 6 6 Institute and World Econ, and then you mentioned the to in this 12th of May e-mail at 18:04. 7 7 CAP application because that was kind of the last Do you think that's accurate, or is that 8 8 discussions you had? wrong? 9 A What he's saying here is "you told me." I 9 A About a month and a half later, yes. 10 10 didn't tell him that I filled the form. I said I Q You pretty clearly -- you didn't talk to 11 11 went and looked at the form again but I can't Mr. Magedson on the phone in July, did you? 12 12 stipulate to that. But he's assuming, he's getting A I don't think so. 13 angry here, "You drove me crazy, why don't you go 13 Q I don't think your declaration covers 1 4 14 ahead and enroll to the program so we can move on?" that. You pretty clearly in the kind of 15 15 And we, we discussed this earlier today. second-to-last full paragraph where you say "Having 16 16 One of his whole thing is "let's move on to the next just returned from being out of the country," you 17 17 pretty clearly say that you're not interested in the 18 Q And that was on a phone call that you had 18 CAP program because you can't fill in the blanks for 19 19 he said something about moving on? the application, you can't agree to something you 20 20 A Yes. didn't do, and you said the form he sent appears to 21 21 Q And was that the May 12th phone call? be a "one size fits all," which doesn't apply to 22 22 A One of these, yeah. 23 23 (Whereupon, Exhibit 18 was marked for A Yes. 24 identification and is bound under separate 24 Q So you're pretty clearly saying no to the 25 25 cover.) program; right? 207 209

1 A He's saying customer service, that kind of 1 that, as we've already agreed to do, next 2 thing. I don't have a customer -- it's like, you 2 Tuesday, May 11th, at 3:00 P.M. 3 know, I'm not selling merchandise so I can stipulate 3 MR. GINGRAS: So noted. Break? 4 4 to customer services. THE WITNESS: It's up to you. 5 5 MR. GINGRAS: Let's take, let's take five. Q Mr. Mobrez, you testified earlier that AEI 6 was out of business by June 2009, and I asked you if 6 That's good. That's good. 7 there was a specific event that you could point to 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:01, and 8 8 that was the reason for, for being out of business. we're going off the record. 9 9 This is a month after that. And you don't (Off the record.) 10 say anything in here about being out of business. 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:25, and 11 11 In fact, you say "This has clearly harmed our good we're back on the record. 12 12 name, and we would like to rectify this situation as BY MR. GINGRAS: 13 13 soon as possible." Q Okay. Mr. Mobrez, before the break I 14 Why would you say that when AEI was no 14 think we were talking about Exhibit 18, which is an 15 15 longer in business at the end of July 2009? e-mail that you sent on July 24th, 2009, to 16 16 A AEI, as I said, was turned off almost in Mr. Magedson, probably following up I guess to your 17 February, March, April. As time progressed, AEI, it 17 conversation back in May. And I was asking you the 18 18 was -- I mean, AEI didn't have employees. And AEI last, near the second-to-last sentence of your 19 19 didn't maintain the website. But AEI existed. e-mail talks about the situation harming your good 20 20 name and you wanting to rectify the situation as It's like, you know, you have a car 21 21 accident and wrecked but it's in your driveway. You soon as possible. 22 can't say I don't have that car. But you really 22 And I wanted to know, you told me that AEI 23 don't have the car because you're not driving it. 23 was out of business in June of '09. And yet it 24 24 It's just a wreck that's sitting there. appears as though you were trying to revive it as 25 25 MR. BLACKERT: Wait -- go ahead, finish. late as July 24th, 2009. Is that what you were 210 212 trying to do? 1 1 Sorry. 2 2 A As I said, our business start dying as of, THE WITNESS: AEI been, it was wrecked and 3 it was parked until, I mean, today. It's just, 3 as soon as this posting came up. As time 4 4 progressed, we were just as becoming more paralyzed it's a wrecked organization. It's, it's 5 5 practically gave its life away. and we were in first and just keep going very 6 MR. BLACKERT: On that note, are you 6 quickly. I don't know what time and which hour and 7 7 which day we went out of business. It's not like an finished answering? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. 8 ice cream shop that I can say we closed our door 9 MR. BLACKERT: Can we break for ten 9 that day. 10 10 Q Let me ask you one more question about minutes because --11 11 Exhibit 18, and then I'm going to circle back to MR. GINGRAS: I think the witness is in 12 12 charge of breaks. And I'll ask you. something way in the past, and then we're going to 13 13 MR. BLACKERT: I can ask for a break at move forward. 1 4 14 any time. The last kind of full paragraph in 15 15 MR. GINGRAS: Yeah, you can of course. Exhibit 18, beginning with "We may have to deal with 16 16 MS. BORODKIN: I'm just going to place an this the other way around, Ed," what did you mean by 17 17 objection on the record under Federal Rule of that sentence there? Do you see that sentence 18 Civil Procedure 30(c)(2). And I'm just going 18 there? 19 19 to state it simply and non argumentatively. A Correct. 20 20 Q "We may have to deal with this the other I don't think there's anything wrong with 21 asking for your authority about the fact that 21 way around, Ed." What does that mean? 22 22 you are telling us you get to choose which of A The one I was telling -- he was saying --23 23 I was asking him can we do a one-lump-sum money. client's counsel can speak at the deposition. 24 And I'm going to request that you provide that 24 Q Is that what that says? 25 25 A Yes. authority to us or we can meet and confer on 211 213

1 Q You were referring to money there? 1 BY MR. GINGRAS: 2 2 Q Line 6, page 3, I'm sorry, line 6, page 3, 3 Q But you just in the paragraph immediately 3 paragraph 6. The reference to April 29th. We're 4 before that said you can't fill out the form, you 4 just going to agree that that date is wrong and that 5 can't stipulate to things you haven't done and you 5 it was really April 27th. Okay? 6 can't agree to a "one size fits all" approach. 6 A Well, maybe I can -- I mean, counsel can 7 7 Is it, is it your testimony that as of maybe say something about this. Maybe April 29 was 8 8 July 24th, 2009, you still thought you were being a Friday? 9 9 pressured to join the Corporate Advocacy Program? Q You want to know what day it was? 10 A Or maybe paying, joining the advocacy 10 11 11 program. Q Is that important? I think you built this 12 O Mr. Mobrez, circling back to something 12 off of your phone bills, and I think the phone bills 13 13 way, way back, I know you said that AEI never earned do not show any calls on April 29th but they do show 14 any profit; right? 14 calls on April 27th. 15 15 A Correct. A Okay. 16 16 Q I don't know if you told me whether AEI Q April 27th, 2009, was a Monday according 17 ever had any sales of any kind. Did it? 17 to my calendar. 18 18 A AEI was not in the sales business. MS. SPETH: No, you're looking at 2007 --19 19 Q Well, sales, I meant revenue. you're looking at May. 20 20 MR. GINGRAS: No, no. April 29th --A Yes. 21 21 Q Did AEI ever have any revenue? April 27th, 2009, was a Monday. And April 29th 22 22 was a Wednesday: 23 (Whereupon, Exhibit 19 was marked for 23 Q Anyway, let's carry on. 24 identification and is bound under separate 24 So you recently signed this declaration, 25 cover.) 25 did you not? 214 216 A Yes, I did. 1 BY MR. GINGRAS: 1 2 2 Q Okay. Let's get to the good stuff. Here Q Is everything in the declaration true and 3 we go. All right. Mr. Mobrez, Exhibit 19 is your 3 correct, to the best of your knowledge? 4 A Yes. declaration, the one that you filed I believe Monday 4 5 of this week. Today is Friday. And I know, again, 5 Q I asked you this before with regard to 6 6 your complaint, and I know it's only been a week the date at the last page reads April 2nd, 2010. 7 7 since you signed the declaration or less than a 8 8 Q And I understand that was an error. And I week, but is there anything in your declaration that 9 appreciate you and your counsel correcting that. 9 you thought was right at the time that you signed it 10 10 Was it May, was it May 3rd? on May 3rd but that you have since learned was not 11 11 A May, May 3rd. right? Anything you wish to change? Other than the 12 12 MR. BLACKERT: It was May -date that we just talked about -- I'm sorry, the two 13 13 THE WITNESS: May 3rd. dates that we just talked about? 14 14 MR. GINGRAS: May 3rd was Monday. And so A Do you want me it read this and tell you 15 15 this was the date that you signed this was now or --16 16 May 3rd? Q If you need to. 17 17 MR. BLACKERT: Right, exactly. A I mean --18 MR. GINGRAS: And there was one other typo 18 Q I'm going to walk through here with you. 19 19 in here which was on page 3, paragraph 6, If there's anything specific that jumps out at you 20 20 there's a reference to April 29th, 2009. And I and you suddenly realize, "Oops, I thought that was 21 know that your notice of errata said that was 21 right but it's not," I just would like you to let me 22 22 incorrect. It was the 27th. Which the end of know. Okay? 23 23 the paragraph says, so --A Okay. 24 MR. BLACKERT: Right. 24 Q All right. Okay. Let's start with 25 25 paragraph 6 on page 3. Again, this is talking about THE WITNESS: Where is that? I apologize. 215

1 the April 27th, 2009, first call I guess to, to the 1 listen to it again and hear whether or not the menu 2 Ripoff Report office. And you begin here by saying 2 is the same now as it was then? 3 that you contacted the Ripoff Report office using a 3 A I, I could. 4 4 telephone number listed on its website. And then Q Let's, let's go ahead and try that. Hang 5 5 you were taken through a series of voice prompts, on a second. 6 which eventually led you to somebody who identified 6 MR. BLACKERT: Are you putting it on 7 7 himself as the editor. speaker? 8 8 Do you remember making that call? MR. GINGRAS: Yeah. 9 9 THE DEPOSITION OFFICER: Do you want this A Yes, I do. 10 Q Do you remember the voice prompts? Do you 10 transcribed? 11 11 remember what they asked? MR. GINGRAS: Yeah. 12 A "State your name." 12 MR. BLACKERT: Want to just read the Q But it was like, like a recording comes on 13 13 number you're dialing? 14 and says "If you are calling about something, push 14 BY MR. GINGRAS: 15 15 one, and if you're calling about" -- is that what it Q I'm going to six -- is the number that you 16 16 was like? dialed anywhere in here? It's on your phone bills. 17 17 A I would say so. Because I don't remember Okay. (602)359-4357. Is that, is that 18 18 exactly now. the number that you called that day? 19 19 Q Yeah. And you, did you have to -- you had A I believe so. 20 20 O I'm showing you my phone. to push a couple of buttons to be --21 21 A It's a software. I believe you go through A I can look at the -- I have to see --22 22 in --MR. BLACKERT: Yeah, I believe --23 23 BY MR. GINGRAS: Q When you first call, you don't get a live 24 24 person; right? Q All right. So let me just try to call 25 25 A Correct. this. And, Mr. Mobrez, all I'm asking you is to 218 220 Q You get a recording. And then it asks you 1 1 listen to the menus that we hear and tell me if 2 to select a choice for whatever reason you're 2 that's roughly what you heard in -- on April 27th, 3 calling? 3 2009. Okay? 4 4 A Yes. And I'm calling now. And I'm not getting 5 And eventually it asks you to give your 5 anything. I think I might be on airplane mode. Q 6 name? 6 Hang on. 7 7 A Yes. MR. BLACKERT: I've got a cell phone if 8 8 Q And then -you want me to dial. 9 "State your name." 9 MR. GINGRAS: You want to do it from Α 10 Q "State your name." And then eventually it 10 yours? 11 then connects you through to, if you push the right 11 MR. BLACKERT: Yeah, the speakerphone is buttons, it will go through to the editor; right? 12 12 pretty, pretty decent --13 13 A Yes. MR. GINGRAS: Let me try it one more time 14 Q Okay. Do you remember, do you remember 14 on mine. I think I was on airplane mode, which 15 how long that took to go through that, that phone --15 apparently mutes the speakerphone. 16 I would call it sort of a phone tree, I guess -- a 16 Don't ever buy a Google phone. She likes 17 phone menu. How about that? Do you know how long 17 18 it took you to go through that phone menu before you 18 Okay. Let's try that one more time. 19 reached a live person? 19 MS. SPETH: I can hear it, but it's not on 20 20 A Maybe about 10 seconds, 12 seconds. speaker. 21 Q Ten or 12 seconds? 21 SPEAKER: -- "please press one. If you're 22 22 A It's prompts, quick prompts. from another company or individual, please 23 Q If we were to call that number on the 23 press two. For advertising, please press 24 record right now, do you think your memory about 24 three. For customer service, press four. For 25 what the menu was like is sufficient that you could 25 customers calling for Corporate Advocacy, 219 221

1 Ripoff Repair, or how to fix internal customer 1 Q It's very good? So you're sure that "The 2 service issues, please press five. 2 speaker immediately inquired into the size and 3 3 "If you're calling as an attorney profitability of my business"? That's the first 4 4 regarding a class-action lawsuit or advertising sentence. You're sure about that? 5 5 your attorney service on our site, please A Yes. Questioned I may -- he may ask "Are 6 press" --6 you a U.S. corporation or are you overseas company?" 7 7 BY MR. GINGRAS: And the next was like, you know, "How big is your 8 8 company or your organization?" and "How many people Q I understand that was very hard to hear. 9 9 work there?" or whatever. It's the fault of my phone. 10 Was that at all similar to what you heard? 10 Right after that is "What do you guys, you 11 11 A Similar. I don't -- I can't say this is know, what do you make?" I mean, "How do you make 12 identical because I think that same voice but it was 12 your money?" there also. 13 13 speaking a little faster. Q Well, the first sentence says that the 14 14 Q Okay. speaker -- and, again, you've identified that person as the editor. "The speaker immediately inquired 15 A Much faster. 15 16 16 MS. SPETH: I was timing it. You stopped into the size and profitability of my business." 17 17 And I'm asking you what did the editor 18 18 THE WITNESS: It was much faster in the that you spoke to say about the profitability of 19 19 your business? What kind of questions were you past than today. It seemed to me now it's much 20 20 slower pace. presented with? 21 21 BY MR. GINGRAS: A I don't -- I remember now, but I may not 22 22 Q Okay. All right. So let's get back to remember word for word, but I know the question was 23 Exhibit 19, your declaration. And you indicate that 23 like "Are you international company?" I said "No, 24 24 we are U.S. corporation." you were taken through a series of voice prompts 25 25 which eventually led you to the editor. "How big is your company?" 222 224 1 And then you say "The speaker immediately 1 I said, "Well, we're small size, mid 2 inquired into the size and profitability of my 2 size." 3 business." And then the next sentence says "Based 3 "How big is this institute, and how do you 4 guys make money? What is your business? How do you 4 on my recollection, the speaker asked among other 5 things whether my company was internationally based, 5 make money?" 6 the size of the company and how we were making 6 Q And how did you answer that question? 7 7 A I felt I don't want to tell him that. I money." 8 8 said, well, you know, I answered most of the The fact that you put "Based on my 9 recollection" in front of the second sentence but 9 questions he asked. First question, "Are you a U.S. 10 10 not the first makes me question whether you were not corporation?" I said -- I mean "Are you 11 11 sure about the second sentence, not sure about the international?" 12 12 first sentence or both. Tell me how clear your I said, "No, we're U.S. corporation." 13 13 recollection is of this phone call. And --1 4 14 A Where are you now? Q And you refused to answer the question 15 15 Q Exhibit 19, paragraph 6. about making money? 16 A Okay. I got it. 16 A Yeah. 17 17 Q Second and third sentence, and fourth. O Well, it looks like there were two 18 Did we clarify which notes are --18 questions, size and profitability of your business 19 19 MS. LLANERAS: Yes. He has them. and then how you were making money. Did you refuse 20 20 THE WITNESS: So what's the question at to answer both of those questions? Were there two 21 21 this time. questions? 22 22 BY MR. GINGRAS: A It wasn't -- I think it's two questions 23 23 Q The question is how clear your memory is combination in one. But I, I don't think I answered 24 of that phone call. 24 25 25 A Very good. Q So you then, you responded that AEI is an 223 225

1 1 barely read it in the upper left-hand corner but it American company that has been shut down by the 2 accusations posted on his, the editor's website. 2 looks like it says 4-27-09; is that right? 3 3 Did you tell Mr. Magedson that you had been shut A Yes. 4 4 down? Q That's the date that you talked. And then 5 5 A Well -in fact you wrote the number down here, 6 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Document speaks 6 (602)359-4357. And then there's even a time that 7 7 for itself. you wrote down. I think it says 3:20? 8 8 BY MR. GINGRAS: A 3:20 P.M., correct. 9 9 Q I'm asking -- the document says that. I'm Q Okay. Why don't you look at the originals 10 asking did you actually say that. 10 too. Are those any clearer? 11 A Yeah, I did. I may not said exactly "shut 11 A Same as this. Because it's --12 down." I may said, you know, "we're totally down" 12 Q Okay. It looks like there's two lines in 13 13 or "we're out of business," you know. It's 14 the middle of the page that have been drawn across. 14 14 month, 16 months away from --The first line, what's written above that, I can't, 15 15 Q Well, but you took notes, didn't you, of I can't tell what's going on on this page. 16 16 that conversation? What, what are you -- looking at these 17 17 notes, does that help you remember whether or not A Absolutely. 18 18 Q Let's get, go ahead -- would looking at you actually had the conversation that you document 19 19 your notes help you remember what you said? in paragraph 6 here? 20 A That's why I did compose this. 20 A Yes. 21 21 Q Okay. Let's go ahead and -- where are the Q What on this page helps you remember? 22 22 A Well, Ripoff Report, "ROR" is the Ripoff notes? 23 MS. SPETH: Now, what do we have here? 23 Report. And the question was "Is it international 24 24 company?" I put "USA." The --Because it's two different -- are these the --25 25 oh, I see. There's two sets of three pages? Q Where does it say "USA" on this page? Oh, 226 228 1 I see, I see. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's the one. 1 2 2 A Just directly below the phone number. MR. GINGRAS: Mr. Mobrez, I'll hand you 3 something that is not marked, and I'll make 3 Phone number, right. 4 The size of the organization. 4 5 5 Where does that say? MS. SPETH: What are you going to make it? 6 6 Next to "USA." MR. GINGRAS: Twenty. 7 7 Q Okay. It's written in the middle of a MS. SPETH: Are you sure 20? 8 8 MR. GINGRAS: Yeah. line? 9 (Whereupon, Exhibit 20 was marked for 9 A Yes. Okay. And after that it says 10 10 identification and is bound under separate "money," in other words, how much is the income. 11 11 Q Okay. cover.) 12 12 BY MR. GINGRAS: A What's the income. 13 13 Q So does that help refresh your Q Let's try to keep these three pages 14 together. recollection whether on April 27th, 2009, 14 15 15 Mr. Magedson asked you about how much money you were A Sure. 16 16 making, the company? **Q** Are these documents the notes that you 17 17 A Well, the basic questions he had, "Who are took --18 MS. SPETH: You gave them to me, so I 18 you? Are you international company?" Or whatever. 19 And I said, "I'm a USA corporation." And have 19 don't have them. 20 you -- he said, "Have you gone to our other, to our 20 MR. BLACKERT: I'm sorry, my mistake. 21 Q Are these documents the notes that you 21 website?" So --22 22 took on different days that you talked to Q Well, hold on. One thing at a time here. 23 23 On lines 12 and 13 on page 3 of Exhibit 19, you Mr. Magedson? 24 24 A It's, it's the same day I think. write that you told Mr. Magedson that AEI was an 25 25 American company that's been shut down by the Q Well, the first page of Exhibit 20, I can 227 229

1 accusations posted on his website. Do your notes --1 Q Oh, my version's terrible. 2 A Hold on. You said line -- page 3? 2 MS. SPETH: Wait, wait. That one's 3 highlighted, though. You don't want to give Q Page 3, paragraph 6. 3 4 A Okay, six. 4 him the highlighted. 5 Q There's little numbers down the left-hand MR. GINGRAS: Actually, I do. That's 21, 5 6 6 though. Remember we added, 20's the notes. side. 7 A Okay. I got it. 7 MR. BLACKERT: Twenty's the notes. 8 Q Is it still your testimony that you told 8 MS. SPETH: Oh, right. 9 Mr. Magedson that you had been shut down by the (Whereupon, Exhibit 21 was marked for 9 10 accusations posted on the website? 10 identification and is bound under separate 11 A I could say shut down or about to die. 11 cover.) 12 O Okay. And then later in the conversation 12 BY MR. GINGRAS: 13 he boasted that Ripoff Report was at the top of all 13 Q Okay. I'll show you what we've marked as 14 of the search engines. Did Mr. Magedson tell you 14 Exhibit 21 to your deposition. And these are just 15 15 three pages of phone bill notes that your attorney that? 16 16 A Yes, he says that. gave to us on Monday I guess. 17 Q Well, he said that to you on the phone? 17 A Yes. A Yeah. 18 18 Q As part of your declaration. While we're 19 Q And then you say "The call was 19 talking about this, can I see your original notes 20 disconnected immediately thereafter." 20 just to -- can I see -- and these three pages are 21 21 Did you hang up the phone, or do you think your own, your own notes? Not your wife? 22 Mr. Magedson hung up the phone? 22 23 A No, I think he, he was -- again, that day 23 Q Okay. All right. Now, you were referring 24 was a little bit of static on his phone. But I 2.4 to your phone bills before and in fact what we've 25 think he was talking on a cordless phone. And then 25 marked as Exhibit 21 now. And the first page of 230 way -- just the call dropped. 1 Exhibit 21, the copy that I have is not very good. 1 2 Q So did Mr. -- during this first phone call 2 A I can give you better copy than that. that you described in paragraph 6, did Mr. Magedson 3 3 Q Well, we'll do that, we'll do that a 4 ever ask you for money? 4 little later. Let's just stick with what we have 5 5 A No. right now. Exhibit 21 is your phone bill. I 6 Q Did you get the impression that he was 6 understand you redacted some other calls. That's 7 interested in money from the conversation that you 7 8 8 had? But if you see at the top of the page 9 A Well, conclusion of all of this phone 9 there, on the first page, I've sort of numbered 10 10 them. You see I put "Call No. 1," "Call No. 2," calls that I think -- let me see. Is it three calls 11 or four calls or -- let me see. 11 "Call No. 3"? 12 Okay. It's three calls total that day. 12 A Correct. 13 And, yeah, it, it dropped. I think it was like 13 Q Do you see that? 14 14 cordless phone. It's not like I hang up on him or A Yes. 15 something out of the ordinary. Just naturally it 15 Q And it looks to me like the first call, 16 happened. And I called him back. And then here is 16 April -- again, my copy is very poor. But 17 three phone calls we have made. And there's three 17 April 27th, 2009, the time is 3:21. The number 18 conversation has been taken place. 18 called is there, (602)359-4357. And it says the 19 19 Q I think the record should reflect that you length of the call was about 3.5 minutes. I can 20 20 were just referring to your phone bills, which you barely see that period there, but I think it is 21 have copies there. I have copies as well, and I'd 21 there. 22 22 like to just make those an exhibit so that we Is all that correct? 23 have -- I don't, I don't know what version of your 23 A Yes. 24 own bill you had. 24 Q So you talked to Mr. Magedson for about 25 25 A Yours is the best version. three and a half minutes including the time that it 231

1 took you to get through the, the menu, the phone 1 bill. 2 2 menu: right? Does that sound correct to you? 3 3 A Correct. It could be 3.5, 2.9, correct. Α 4 4 Q Okay. So the first call, paragraph 6 of One minute including the time it took you 5 5 your declaration is the first call, is it not? to get through the phone message menu? 6 6 A Correct. 7 7 Q So, okay. I've just labeled that call Q So it must have been a very short 8 No. 1. Then paragraph No. 7 of your declaration, 8 conversation. 9 you say you immediately redialed the number, this is 9 A He said, "You have to go to look at our 10 after it was disconnected, and you described a brief 10 CAP program." 11 11 Q And he brought that up, not you? conversation: 12 12 "The editor asked if we had read about his A Yeah. 13 13 Corporate Advocacy Program. Having not been Q Okay. Looking at paragraph 8, you appear 14 aware, I asked what the program entailed, and 14 to say you called back again a third time on the 15 15 then the phone call was again disconnected." 27th of April: 16 16 Is that an accurate recitation of what "And during this conversation the editor 17 17 happened during the second phone call? told me to read the information online 18 18 regarding his CAP. He instructed me to fill A The first one is the first call --19 19 Q Well, I'd like you to refer to your out an online form. I was then asked to send 20 20 declaration for the new one. an e-mail to Editor@RipoffReport, identifying 21 21 A Yes. myself and describing the reason." 22 22 Q Unless, unless you tell me that you don't And that's all that you say about the 23 remember. You only signed this five days ago. 23 third call. Is that everything that happened on the 24 A Right. 24 third call? 25 25 Q But unless you tell me that you don't A Yes. 234 236 remember whether or not you said something, I'm 1 1 Q And according to your phone bill, that 2 asking you to work from your memory. 2 call was April 27th at 3:28 P.M. to (602)359-4357. 3 A Correct. 3 And the phone call was 2.9 minutes. 4 4 Q Okay? You can refresh your recollection Is that all correct with your memory? 5 from your notes. But if you do that, I want to know 5 A Yes. 6 that you're doing that. For right now, I'd like you 6 Consistent with your memory? 7 7 to use your memory. Okay? Are you sure that you spoke to 8 8 A Okay. Mr. Magedson during each one of these calls, or is 9 Q So paragraph 7 talks about redialing the 9 it possible that you confused one call as being more 10 10 call, having a brief conversation, and the Corporate than one call? 11 11 Advocacy Program is mentioned. A My understanding is it's the same voice 12 12 Do you see that? you just dialed it, it's the same person answers to 13 13 A Yes. all of these phone calls. 14 14 Q Is that an accurate summary --Q The voice that we just heard on the voice 15 15 A Just said, "You got to go and look at our menu is the person that you spoke to? 16 CAP program." 16 A I think that's his, the prompts are all of 17 17 Q He said that to you during call No. 2? these are -- it's the same person. 18 A Yes. 18 Q Well, my question was whether you had 19 19 gotten -- did you have three separate telephone Q And, again, I sort of, I sort of made my 20 20 own notes. Paragraph 7 I've labeled as call No. 2, conversations on the 27th of April with 21 and then I put call No. 2 on the phone bill. And it 21 Mr. Magedson? 22 22 looks like the phone bill says 4-27, 3:27 P.M. A Yes. 23 23 (602)359-4357 is the number that was called. And Q Three separate calls? 24 that call appears to have only been one minute, or 24 Α Yes. 25 25 at least that's what it was billed for on your phone And you got through to him each time? 235 237

1	A I think so.	1	Q I think the May, I think the May 5th call,
2	Q How sure are you?	2	which in my notes I have it labeled as call No. 4,
3	A It's, it's the same prompt that goes to	3	and on your phone bill which is the second page of
4	the same person.	4	Exhibit 21, I've got that one numbered as, as call
5	Q But the prompts don't, the prompts don't	5	No. 4 being May 5th, 11:28 A.M. to (602)359-4357 for
6	tell me whether or not you actually spoke to that	6	2.6 minutes.
7	person. I'm asking you whether you remember having	7	Is all that consistent with your memory of
8	three different phone calls that were live	8	call No. 4 as described in paragraph 10 of your
9	communications with a, with a real person, not just	9	declaration?
.0	the prompts.	10	A Yes.
1	A No, live person.	11	Q So, okay. During that call No. 4, you
. 2	Q You got a live person three times?	12	say:
3	A Right.	13	"Mr. Magedson proceeded to describe his
4	Q Okay.	14	website and how it could benefit us."
. 5	MS. SPETH: Hang on.	15	What did he say to you specifically with
.6	BY MR. GINGRAS:	16	regard to that?
7	Q Okay. You're saying that the person, the	17	A He explained the same thing what he says
. 8	live person that you eventually talked to, the voice	18	on his website, negative turns positive and it's
. 9	that you heard is the exact same voice as the	19	it benefits you if you are involved, enrolled with
0	message that we just heard when I called from my	20	this.
1	cell phone right now?	21	Q And he said that to you in the phone call?
2	A It appears to be, yeah.	22	A Yes.
3	Q How sure are you of that?	23	Q The next sentence says:
4	A I'm not sure of that.	24	"He then emphasized that his website has
25	Q All right. Let's look at page 4 of your	25	immunity under the law and therefore could not
	238		24
1	declaration. Paragraph 9 talks about an e-mail that	1	be sued."
2	you sent the following day. And I think that we've	2	Did Mr. Magedson say that to you?
3	already established that that was, that was	3	A Mr. Magedson brags about this issue quite
4	Exhibit 14, your e-mail of April 28th; right?	4	a bit.
5	And then paragraph 10, you say on May 5th,	5	Q Whether he brags about it or not, did he
6	2009, you again contacted the Ripoff Report office	6	say those words to you or something like that during
7	by phone. Do you remember calling the Ripoff Report	7	phone call No. 4 on May 5th, that his website has
8	on May 5th of 2009?	8	immunity and could not be sued?
9	A Yes.	9	A Yes.
. 0	Q And you say here that the person who	10	Q He said that to you on the phone?
1	answered the phone identified themselves at Ed	11	A Yes.
2	Magedson?	12	Q The next sentence, you write:
.3	A Finally, yes.	13	"He claimed to have a team of lawyers that
4	Q "And he responded that I" meaning you	14	would fight us if we chose to sue him."
. 5	"would need to enroll in the CAP program."	15	Did Mr. Magedson say that to you during
. 6	Did he tell you that?	16	phone call No. 4?
. 7	A Yes.	17	A Yes.
. 8	Q And you write here that you asked for more	18	Q What specifically did he say about that?
9	information regarding the program including the cost	19	A He says, "We have a legal team that
0	of participation.	20	will you don't have to waste your time to sue us.
1	Did you ask him that?	21	Whoever sued us in the past, they have lost, and
2	A Yes. What would be the cost of the	22	they have even paid to our attorneys. And just if
3	getting involved.	23	you want to do this, move on."
	Q Did he answer you at that time?	24	Q "Move on"?
2 4		4	
24 25	A You're talking about May 5th, 11:00 A.M.?	25	A (No audible response.)

1 0 4-142	1 A W.
Q And this was	1 A Yes. 2 O Why, why did she do that?
A "Move on towards to filling your CAP	, , ,
3 program."	3 A Well, it was
4 Q Okay. And the last sentence,	Q Did you ask her to do that?
5 Mr. Magedson you say that Mr. Magedson warned you	5 A Yes. Because after this April phone call,
6 that others had tried and failed I guess with regard	6 the first call we had, I felt he may be referring to
7 to lawsuits and therefore it was best to just,	7 some type of financial question, and I did tell her
8 quote, "go with the program." You put "go with the	8 that I think that maybe some expectations are here.
9 program" in quotes.	9 And then I asked her to be on the phone with me,
0 Is that a direct quote of something	10 which was about five, six days later.
1 Mr. Magedson said to you?	Q So that she could witness any shakedown
2 A Yes. He was saying, "Just you're better	12 that's happening?
3 off to go with the program."	A No. Just, just listen at least. If
4 Q And the notes that you took of that call	14 I'm, if I'm misunderstanding him, somebody else tell
5 that was marked as Exhibit 21 no, 20 did you	15 me "You misunderstood" or "You hear him right."
6 write those at the same time while you were on the	16 Q And I understand that Ms. Llaneras has put
7 phone, or did you, did you write them later?	in the declaration that essentially says your
8 A No, that same moment.	version of what happened is correct; is that right?
9 Q The same moment? Do your notes have	19 A Yes, uh-huh.
0 anything in them about these quotes, "going with the	Q And have you spoken to her about her
1 program"?	21 memory of that day?
2 A May the fifth, CAP program, the first call	A I haven't talked to her. I mean, what do
3 talks about lawyers, and actually they use the word	you mean I've spoken
4 of "stupid." I just abbreviated it quick. And	Q Well, after the call, did you discuss it
5 Mr. Magedson is a fast talker, he speaks very, with	25 with her? On May 5th, 2009?
242	
a quick speed. And I, whatever you see here, that's	1 A I asked her what she thinks and
what I wrote.	2 Q What did she say?
Q Well, and, again, looking at your phone	A She said, "I think this requires you to
4 bill, Exhibit 21, the call that we've just been	4 put some money out."
5 talking about which I have made a note of as being	5 Q Okay. All right. Paragraph 11 you talk
6 call No. 4, and I've put a little note to that	6 about the April 28th e-mail that you re-sent. And,
7 effect on your phone bill, call No. 4, 11:28 A.M.,	7 again, I think, I think we already covered that.
8 was only 2.6 minutes; is that right?	8 Paragraph 12, you talk about the e-mail,
9 A Correct.	9 May 5th, Mr. Magedson responding to you. I think we
O Q So he must have spoke, spoken very quickly	10 covered that.
to you?	11 And then paragraph 13, you say "Later that
2 A Two minutes is a lot of time.	12 day," which I guess you're saying on May 5th again?
	13 A Yes.
 4 that, in that two and a half 5 A Two minutes is a lot of time. 	
	15 May 5th, you responded to Mr. Magedson's e-mail by
6 Q Okay. But your testimony is that	phone, which I guess your phone bill, Exhibit 21,
7 paragraph 10 where you described the contents of	17 call number I'm marking this as call No. 5.
8 call No. 4 is, all that's accurate?	18 Excuse me.
9 A Yes.	Call No. 5, according to your phone
O Q Okay. And this is, this is interesting.	20 records, occurred at 1:05 P.M. on May 5th, calling
1 You say in paragraph 10 that your wife witnessed the	21 (602)359-4357 for a length of 2.2 minutes.
2 conversation from her office phone; is that true?	22 Is that all correct in your memory?
3 A Yes.	23 A Yes.
Q Was she listening in to the entire phone	2 4 Q The record speaks for itself. But if you
25 call?	25 remember it differently
243	3 24

1 A Yeah. 1 A He says, "Depends on the size of the 2 2 company." Q All right. So paragraph 13, then, is what 3 3 I've labeled as call No. 5. And you called, you Q Did you find that offensive? 4 4 called Mr. Magedson again. A At that time I didn't know what it is. 5 5 Did Mr. Magedson ever call you? So far Q Well, it says, the next sentence of your 6 we've talked about four prior calls, all of which 6 declaration says you asked for the reasoning behind 7 you initiated. Did he ever call you? 7 that. Why did you ask for the reasoning behind 8 8 A I truly don't remember exactly if he ever that? 9 9 called back. A I asked the same thing I just told you 10 Q Okay. And I assume we can have phone 10 naturally. I asked him, "How do you measure that?" 11 11 records that would determine whether or not that Q And he said it was based on if you earned 12 12 happened. But as you sit here, you don't remember? more, you'd have to pay more? 13 13 A No. A I don't know he said exactly the same 14 Q Okay. So paragraph 13 talks about your 14 words you are saying, but he said, "You are to 15 May 5th phone call, which I'm going to refer to as 15 pay" -- I asked, "Him how do you measure this?" 16 call No. 5. And it looks to me like this is the 16 Q And he told you the more money a company 17 17 first time that money comes up specifically. made, the more they would be charged? 18 18 You explained that you talked to A Yes. 19 Mr. Magedson about the e-mail that he sent, this 19 Q Okay. And, again, you say he asked you to 20 big, long thing, and that you were not certain what 20 fill out CAP forms again? 21 21 he wanted you to do. And then he says, "You have to A "CAP form, you have to go to our website 22 go into the website and enroll in the CAP program." 22 and fill it in." 23 Did he say that to you? 23 Q Okay. And then at the end of paragraph 13 24 24 you say that your wife was listening in on the 25 25 Q During this call No. 5 on May 5th? office phone again. 246 248 A Yes. With regard to both this call, the May 5th 1 1 2 2 Q And then you, next sentence, you asked call, which I'm referring to as call No. 5, and the 3 what it would cost to participate in the program, 3 previous one, call No. 4, the same day, did you ever 4 and Mr. Magedson told you it would cost at least, 4 tell Mr. Magedson that anyone was listening on the 5 and you put quotes again on this one, "five grand," 5 phone? 6 end quote, plus a maintenance fee of a couple 6 A I'm not sure at this time. 7 7 hundred dollars. Q You're not sure? Did Mr. Magedson say all that to you? 8 8 A I might have. 9 A few hundred dollars. 9 Q You might have mentioned that? 10 10 Q Did he only say a few hundred dollars, or A I'm not sure at this time. did he say also 5,000? 11 11 Q Have you read your wife's declaration? 12 A 5,000 and a few hundred dollars. 12 It's not before you. I will give it to you shortly. 13 Q He said that to you in call No. 5 on 13 But have you read that? 1 4 14 May 5th? A Maybe I glanced it. 15 15 A Correct. Q Well, we'll get to it in a second. But 16 16 Q And then the next sentence says, "He she never says anything about communicating, her 17 17 communicating directly with Mr. Magedson. And I'm stated these charges were based on the size of the 18 company" -- or there's a typo, "size if company," I 18 wondering if that's consistent with your memory, 19 19 assume that means "size of company" -- "and he that she never actually said anything during any of 20 20 stated that the more money a company made the more these calls? 21 they would be charged." 21 22 22 Did Mr. Magedson tell you that? Q Okay. You're saying you remember that she 23 23 A I asked him, "How do you measure the didn't say anything? 24 payment?" 24 A I don't think she talked. 25 25 Q And what did he say? Q You don't think she talked. Okay. And 247 249

1 1 going away and the positive being there? you don't think that you told Mr. Magedson that the 2 call was being overheard by someone else? 2 A Yes. 3 3 A I may have said it. And he told you that verbally on the phone 4 4 Q But you're not sure? on May 12th? 5 5 A Yes. A Yes. 6 Q Okay. So money is asked for in that call 6 Q Okay. That was a yes? 7 7 No. 5 on May 5th, yeah? That's the first time Yes. 8 8 Mr. Magedson brought up the \$5,000 price tag? Okay. And then you say "At the conclusion 9 9 of the call, Mr. Magedson again insisted that we 10 Q Okay. Was that a yes? 10 fill out the paperwork," and he apparently repeated 11 11 the same statement that "All the negative goes away 12 12 and you see the positive." And so you already said Q Okay. All right. Moving on, paragraph 14 13 13 talks about a call on May 12th, looks like a week he said that. 14 later, and you called again and you say that you had 14 And your wife listened again to that 15 15 a phone call lasting about 17 minutes. Do you conversation? 16 16 remember that call? A Yes. 17 17 And before we do that, again, according to Q And did you tell Mr. Magedson she was 18 18 your phone bill, call No. 5 on May 5th at 1:05 was listening? 19 19 only 2.2 minutes, but that's consistent with your A As I said --20 20 memory? Q On that day? On this day, May 12th? 21 21 A Yes. A I may not said "my wife." I said "my 22 22 Q Okay. But you covered everything that was partner" or --23 in paragraph 13 of your declaration in 2.2 minutes? 23 Q Okay. 24 24 A Yes. A Whatever. 25 25 Q Okay. All right. Paragraph No. 14, then, Q All right. Paragraph 18 on page 6 of your 250 252 1 on May 12th you talked for a long time, 17 minutes 1 declaration, it looks, it looks like paragraph 14 is 2 2 this time. the last phone call that you had on May 12th. That 3 Just generally Mr. Mobrez, everything 3 was the last one that you had with Mr. Magedson; is 4 4 that's in paragraph 14, is that accurate in terms of that right? And your phone bills don't show any 5 what your conversation was with Mr. Magedson on the 5 other calls, so I don't -- I think it was just 6 12th of May? Go ahead and read it if you need to. 6 these. 7 7 A Yes. 8 That's all accurate? 8 Q Okay? 9 A Yes. 9 A Unless he may have called, but you would 10 10 Q Specifically when you talk about in the have that record. middle of the paragraph here, "When asked what we 11 11 Q Unless he called you. But you don't remember of that happening? 12 would receive if we paid the fees he demanded, 12 13 Mr. Magedson claimed" -- and again you have quotes 13 A Yeah. 14 14 here -- "'all the negative goes away and you see the O Okav. 15 15 positive.'" A If it did, it would be no more than a 16 16 couple minutes. Is that a direct quote of what 17 17 Mr. Magedson told you on May 12th? Q And these calls that we were just 18 18 referring to, these are from your office line? 19 19 Q He specifically said, "All the negative A Yes. 20 20 goes away and you see the positive"? Q And the phone bills that you gave me are 21 A It's naturally he's saying if you pay and 21 for that number, I think it's something 3000? 22 22 enroll to this CAP program, you will have some 23 23 benefits from that. Q Okay. And that's a multiple-line phone --24 Q Okay. And then you say at the 24 multiple-extension phone, so your wife got to listen 25 25 conclusion -- well, the benefits being the negative on another line -- the same line in a different 251 253

1 room? 1 brought up the topic of money" -- "not surprisingly 2 A Correct. 2 again brought up the topic of money," you're just, 3 3 Q Okay. And do you know if she took notes you're reiterating the previous discussions and 4 4 at the same time the call was happening, or was it phone calls? 5 5 A Yeah. The last phone call, yes. 6 A I think she probably takes some notes when 6 MR. GINGRAS: Okay. Very, very close to 7 7 she talks. 8 8 Can I have 21, which is going to be 22? Q I'll ask her. 9 9 MS. SPETH: Sure. Let me put a marking on A More than, more than I do. 10 O All right. Paragraph -- I'm sorry. 10 11 Paragraph 17 on page 6 of your e-mail, you're just 11 MR. GINGRAS: Twenty-two. 12 referring to the e-mail, e-mail response dated 12 MS. SPETH: Right. Thank you. I would 13 July 24th? 13 have put 21. 14 A Yeah. That's the e-mail that you gave me. 14 (Whereupon, Exhibit 22 was marked for 15 15 Q Do you have that in front -- oh, you identification and is bound under separate 16 e-mailed him on July 24th? 16 cover.) 17 A Right. 17 BY MR. GINGRAS: 18 18 Q You know what? I don't think I -- I don't Q Okay. Mr. Mobrez, this is Exhibit 22. I 19 19 think I actually have his response. think you should have seen this before. This is a 20 20 I think that was the one where he said, "I subpoena that I sent to your lawyers, I don't know, 21 21 live in California, and the Pope couldn't get a last week or something. The date's on there. 22 report removed," something like that? We don't need 22 Do you recall receiving this subpoena or 23 to get into that one because that e-mail we have, we 23 getting it through your lawyers? 24 24 know what it is. A I'm sure our lawyer received this. 25 25 But what I don't know is paragraph 18, I Q In the subpoena there's a request for you 254 256 read this, and I didn't understand if you were to produce documents. And I specifically asked you 1 1 2 talking about another phone call, another e-mail, 2 for "Notes of any and all telephone conversations 3 another in-person conversation. I didn't know what 3 with Mr. Magedson or anyone else at Xcentric 4 paragraph 18 talks about. Specifically where you 4 relating to reports posted on Ripoff Report," and 5 5 then separately "Copies of any or all long distance say: 6 6 telephone bills or cell phone bills -- and/or cell "Sadly and yet again, he was not 7 7 responsive and not surprisingly again brought phone bills, between plaintiffs or defendants in 8 8 up the topic of money." April 2009, May 2009, July 2009 and/or any other 9 That sounds like you're referring to 9 dates of alleged conversations between plaintiffs 10 10 something new that you didn't already cover. But I and defendants." 11 11 can't -- you didn't put the date or time or whether I believe you've responded to this, your 12 it was a call or what. Do you remember what that 12 lawyers responded to this by producing three pages 13 13 is, that conversation in paragraph 18? of notes, which we've covered of yours, three pages 14 14 A I think we covered this before. As I of telephone bills that have been redacted that 15 15 said, I plead to him, pleaded to him that I want him cover April 2009 and I think May 2009 but not 16 16 to know my business been ruined, my life is July 2009 because there were no phone calls in 17 17 devastated. But he was not responsive. July 2009. 18 Q But was that -- are you summarizing the 18 Is that all correct? 19 19 prior conversations? Or is paragraph 18 referring A Yes. 20 20 to a new conversation that we haven't already talked Q All right. So you've responded to this 21 21 request as best you can with what you've given me? about? 22 22 A Prior conversation. A Yes. 23 23 Q You're summarizing the prior conversation? Q So there are no other phone bills or notes 24 24 that document conversations between yourself, 25 25 Q So when you say, "Yet again, yet again he Mr. Magedson or anyone else at Xcentric? 255 257

1 A Yes. 1 described in paragraph 13 that took place on May 5th 2 2 around 1:05 P.M. There are no other calls where MR. GINGRAS: Okay. Next, 22? 3 MS. SPETH: What are you looking for? 3 Mr. Magedson asked you for money; is that right? 4 MR. GINGRAS: Twenty-two, which is going 4 A If there is any other call, I don't, I 5 to be 23. Oh, I'm sorry, I have it. 5 don't have a note, nor I have a phone record. If 6 MS. SPETH: And did you want to cover 6 Mr. Magedson has called me, which you would have his 7 7 these? phone record, could be a one- or two-minutes call. 8 8 Otherwise I don't have --BY MR. GINGRAS: 9 9 Q Yeah, let's, before, before I get away Q I want to know what your memory is as we 10 10 from your declaration, Exhibit 19, flip back to sit here today. You've already testified that on 11 11 paragraph 13 on page 5 of your declaration. May 5th, 2009, as described in paragraph 13 of your 12 12 Do you see that? declaration, that there was a request for \$5,000. 13 13 A Yes. And there are no other -- your declaration doesn't 14 Q Paragraph 13 is the one, my notes call it 14 say that there was ever money asked for on a 15 15 call No. 5, where the topic of \$5,000 came up. different day. 16 16 A Yes. And what I'm asking you is that you recall 17 Q It seems to me that is pretty much what 17 that that request for \$5,000 only happened on 18 your extortion claim is based on, not, maybe not 18 May 5th? 19 19 completely but to a large degree. A I can say yes. 20 20 When Mr. Magedson asked you for \$5,000 or Q I don't understand. You're saying yes 21 21 said it would cost you \$5,000, did you feel extorted there were other dates that money was requested on 22 22 by that statement? the phone? 23 23 A I think that's the only day maybe. MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a 24 24 Q Well, your declaration doesn't say there legal conclusion. 25 You can answer. 25 are other days. So I'm asking you as we now have 258 260 THE WITNESS: I can't make a -- what do I sat here and talked about a lot of things today, are 1 1 2 say? What do I feel that that day or what are 2 there any other days that you're alleging that money 3 the legal avenues? I don't know. I cannot 3 was demanded by Mr. Magedson? 4 speak of that. But I think it's clearly his 4 You've covered one day. I'm asking are 5 communication with us speaks for itself. 5 there any other days. Your declaration doesn't say 6 BY MR. GINGRAS: 6 anything about this. 7 7 Q Okay. But I'm asking you how interpreted A I know our first and second and the third 8 that. Did you feel that you were being extorted 8 call did not have much of a money involved. But the 9 when he asked you for \$5,000 on the fifth of May 9 fourth and the fifth and the third call was in a 10 10 around 1:05 P.M.? way, it became apparent that he is looking for this MR. BLACKERT: Same objections. 11 11 CAP program as a price tag for it. 12 12 Q But the only time the 5,000, the specific He can answer it. 13 13 number of 5,000 came up was in the May 5th phone BY MR. GINGRAS: 14 14 Q Did you feel you were being extorted? call around 1:05 P.M.? 15 15 A Did I feel at that day or do I feel that A I could say yes. 16 16 today? Whether you could say yes, I'd like a yes Q 17 17 Q Both. or no. 18 A Today is different than that day. That 18 A Yes. 19 19 day I felt he's asking for the money to enroll the Q Yes, that was the only time that came up? 20 20 program and that today I see that as a extortion. (Whereupon, Exhibit 23 was marked for 21 Q Because you've learned more through the 21 identification and is bound under separate 22 22 course of this lawsuit or over the past few months? cover.) 23 23 A Yes. BY MR. GINGRAS: 24 Q Okay. And according to your declaration, 24 Q Okay. Mr. Mobrez, Exhibit 23 is a copy of 25 a declaration that you filed in this case. This one 25 there are no other calls other than the one 259 261

1	has a data. Um samme is this this is	1	nogo 2 of how dealeration, the cover
2	has a date I'm sorry, is this this is	2	page 3 of her declaration, she says: ''I witnessed a conversation that took
3	Iliana's. Is that right?	3	
	MR. BLACKERT: No, this is Raymond's.	1	place on May 5th, 2009, at approximately 1:00
4	MS. SPETH: No, it's his.	4	P.M., during which Mr. Magedson requested
5	THE WITNESS: This is mine.	5	\$5,000 plus an additional monthly fee to enroll
6	MR. GINGRAS: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm off by	6	in what Mr. Magedson referred to as CAP, as the
7	one. That's what it is. That's okay.	7	CAP."
8	THE WITNESS: Twenty-three.	8	A Yes.
9	BY MR. GINGRAS:	9	Q Is her declaration accurate insofar as it
10	2	10	describes that conversation on May 5th?
11		11	A Yes.
12	•	12	Q Okay. Mr. Mobrez, can you turn back to
13		13	your, the declaration just before. I think it's
14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14	Exhibit 23. This is the one that you did several
15	9	15	months ago.
16	· ·	16	Do you remember this one, Exhibit 23?
17	A Yes.	17	A I have 23.
18	e ,	18	Q Do you remember this one?
19	· ·	19	A Yes.
20	A So what's the question?	20	Q Look at the footnote on page 3. Do you
21	Q The question is do you remember this	21	see where there's a footnote No. 1 there?
22	declaration.	22	A Yes.
23	A Yes, I do.	23	Q And it's a little long. If you can just
24		24	skim it for me.
25	to the best of your memory?	25	A Yes.
	262		264
1	A Yes.	1	Q Do you specifically, you understand we
2	(Whereupon, Exhibit 24 was marked for	2	talked a little bit before about this, this deal
3	identification and is bound under separate	3	where Mr. Magedson told you that he lived in
4	cover.)	4	California. Do you remember that?
5	BY MR. GINGRAS:	5	A Yes.
6	Q And let me just mark one, 24. This is,	6	Q And you understand that Mr. Magedson has
7	I'm sorry, this is your wife's declaration. That is	7	now filed at least two declarations with the court
8	dated May 3rd, 2010. This is what was filed on	8	that I'm aware of where he has testified under oath
9	Monday along with yours that had the wrong date, but	9	that he actually lives in Arizona and that he was
10		10	just lying to you when he said he was living in
11		11	California? Are you aware of that?
12		12	A At this time, yes, I do.
13		13	Q Okay. Well, in the footnote that I just
14		14	had you look at, the line immediately above the
15		15	bottom states:
16		16	"Plaintiffs are considering whether to
17		17	
			pursue perjury charges against Magedson for
18		18	such a blatant lie."
19	•	19	What was that a reference to? How do you
20		20	believe that Mr. Magedson has perjured himself?
21		21	A I'm not a lawyer. I can't speak of that.
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	22	This is footnote from a, what you call, the legal
23	· · ·	23	documents.
24	A To my best of knowledge, yes.	24	Q Well, this is, this is a declaration that
25		25	you signed under penalty of perjury that the
	263		265

1 foregoing is true and correct. Are you saying that 1 That's the bad news. 2 you did not read this before you signed it? 2 The good news for you is that the perjury 3 3 A I read it. In fact, you know, for statute has one "Get out of jail free" card. And 4 4 example, I invited him for lunch. In here it says I'm about to offer it to you. Okay? And I'm going 5 dinner. Makes not much difference. Just I wanted 5 to read it to you. This is from title 18, United 6 him to come into our office and meet with me. 6 States Code Section 1623, False Declarations Before 7 7 Q I understand that. But I think my Grand Jury or Court. Obviously it makes it illegal 8 8 question to you was do you believe that Mr. Magedson to make a materially false statement of fact before 9 9 has perjured himself in this case? any federal court, which is where we are. 10 10 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Subsection D of section 1623, and I'll 11 BY MR. GINGRAS: 11 read it to you in its entirety: 12 Q Do you believe that as we sit here now? 12 "Wherein the same continuous court or 13 13 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Calls for a grand jury proceeding in which such declaration 14 legal conclusion. 14 is made, the person making the declaration 15 15 THE WITNESS: I don't know. In respect he admits such declaration to be false, such 16 16 said he lives in California, you mean? admission shall bar prosecution under this 17 17 BY MR. GINGRAS: section if" -- there's a contingency here. 18 18 Q With respect to anything at all, do you Your safe "Get out of jail free" card 19 19 believe that Mr. Magedson has given any false expires upon either of two things happening, and 20 20 statements under penalty of perjury in this case? I'll read to you what those two things are. 21 21 A If he said he lives in California and he Number one: 22 22 didn't, so he perjured himself, isn't it? "At the time the admission is made, the 23 23 Q Well, did you ever consider -- you said declaration has not substantially affected the 24 24 you were considering pursuing perjury charges proceeding." 25 25 against Mr. Magedson for such a blatant lie. Did That's number one. Or number two: 266 268 1 you ever actually do that, pursue perjury charges? 1 "It has not become manifest that such 2 2 A I don't think so. falsity has been or will be exposed." 3 Q All right. I think I've got only just one 3 So if you made any false statements of 4 more exhibit. Okay. Mr. Mobrez, I have some very 4 material fact in this case and if they materially 5 good news for you, and I have some very, very bad 5 altered the case or affect it in any significant 6 6 way, your "Get out of jail free" card is gone. news for you. Okay? 7 7 The final exhibit that I have in my hand On the other hand, if you made any false 8 8 statements of material fact and it has become is a copy of recordings, audio recordings, several 9 of them. I'm about to play these recordings into 9 manifest that they are exposed or likely to be 10 10 the record. And whatever they show is whatever they exposed, your "Get out of jail free" card goes away. 11 11 will show. Having said that, and understanding that 12 12 But before I do that, I'd like to give you I've not told you what these recordings are, I'm 13 13 an opportunity that I am under no obligation going to hand a copy of the statute to your lawyer. 14 14 whatsoever to offer you. And that is as follows: I'm going to give you five minutes to walk outside 15 15 Under the federal perjury statute -- and this is a and consult with your lawyer. 16 16 federal case, and your documents that you filed in The time is now 4:31 P.M. At 4:36 P.M., 17 17 this case were signed under penalty of perjury under whether you are sitting in this chair or not, I will 18 federal law -- under that statute, any false 18 begin playing these recordings into the record. And 19 19 statements of material fact that you make could if they are consistent with what you've testified 20 20 expose you to five years in federal prison per to, you've got nothing to worry about. If they are 21 offense. 21 not consistent with what you testified to, I think 22 22 You filed two declarations in this case you have a hell of a lot to worry about. 23 23 and you testified today, which is three separate And therefore I'm going to offer you one

24

25

267

chance to recant your testimony, be honest in this

proceeding and admit the truth. And if you do not

24

25

counts, 15 years in federal prison if I establish

that you lied about any material fact at all.

1 do so, you will not have the opportunity to do so 1 "SPEAKER 2: This is Raymond, I am at the 2 2 Asia Economic Institute. again. 3 Do you understand me? 3 "SPEAKER 1: The what? 4 4 A Yes, I do. "SPEAKER 2: Asia Economic Institute. 5 5 "SPEAKER 1: I can't understand a word Q Let's take a five-minute break. Actually, the time is now 4:32. I will begin playing these 6 6 you're saying. What are you saying? 7 tapes at 4:37. So you better make your decision 7 "SPEAKER 2: I apologize. Asia Economic 8 8 quickly. Okay? Institute. 9 9 A Okay. "SPEAKER 1: Asia Economic Institute? 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4:32, and 10 "SPEAKER 2: Yes, sir. 11 11 we're going off the record. "SPEAKER 1: Okay. Are you calling from 12 12 (Off the record.) Asia? "SPEAKER 2: No, I'm calling from Los 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4:35, and 13 14 we're back on the record. 14 Angeles office. 15 15 BY MR. GINGRAS: "SPEAKER 1: Okay. How can I help you? 16 Q Mr. Mobrez, just a couple of minutes ago 16 "SPEAKER 2: Well, the issue is just we 17 17 been reported with your website, and then I'm we took a break. I asked you to go and meet and 18 18 confer with your lawyer, and I wanted to offer you trying to find out how we resolve this issue, 19 19 an opportunity to change any of your testimony how can we -- what can I do here? 20 before this proceeding is over and before I play 20 "SPEAKER 1: You need to send us an 21 21 e-mail, and we can send you the options. We these recordings here. 22 22 What have you decided to do? can't discuss that by phone. 23 23 "SPEAKER 2: Oh, I see. Where do I send A Go ahead and play. 2.4 24 You want me to play them? it? 25 25 Yes. "SPEAKER 1: You click on 'Contact Us' 270 272 MR. GINGRAS: All right. Let's mark, what 1 1 down at the bottom of the page. "SPEAKER 2: Bottom of the page. Okay. 2 2 is this? Twenty-four or 25? 3 (Whereupon, Exhibit 25 was marked for 3 "SPEAKER 1: And you tell us of the 4 identification and is bound under separate situation. Just try to make it short and 4 5 5 brief. Okay? cover.) 6 6 "SPEAKER 2: Say it again. BY MR. GINGRAS: 7 7 "SPEAKER 1: Other than that, thank you Q All right. Mr. Mobrez, Exhibit 25 is an 8 8 audio CD which contains seven files. One of them is for calling. 9 a -- on the top of the index here, there's a listing 9 "SPEAKER 2: Hello? Oh.") 10 10 BY MR. GINGRAS: of the files that are on this disk. The second one 11 11 Q Mr. Mobrez, the recording that I just is a, just a place-holding file that indicates 12 there's no recording for that day. 12 played for you is the telephone call that we've 13 13 The other six are audio recording files, identified as call No. 1, which you described in 14 14 and they are of the phone calls that you had with paragraph 6 of your declaration, dated May 3rd. 15 15 Mr. Magedson that you just testified falsely about. Can you explain to me why that phone call 16 16 So let me begin with the first one, shall recording bears no resemblance whatsoever to the 17 17 we? And I'm sorry, for the record, according to testimony that you gave in paragraph 6 of your 18 the, according to the records of Xcentric Ventures, 18 declaration? 19 19 who recorded this call, this is a telephone call A It is. 20 20 that was made on the 27th of April 2009 with an Q Do you want me to play it again? 21 ending time of 3:27 P.M. The call was made from 21 You can. 22 22 area code (310)806-3000, and it has a total running Q Did you hear, did you hear on that 23 23 time of one minute, 35 seconds. recording that the speaker immediately inquired into 24 (The recording was played as follows: 24 the size and profitability of your business? Did 25 25 "SPEAKER 1: What's the -you hear any words to that effect? 271 273

1 A He said first, he asked me, I remember 1 there is no phone call recording of that specific 2 this, and that if it's international company. And 2 3 3 I, I don't know this recording is genuine or it's he But luckily for you, call No. 3, which 4 4 put on mute or whatever. I have no idea what he was occurred on April 27th, 2009, at 3:22 P.M. was 5 5 doing. recorded. And according to our records, this was a 6 Q But he never asked you anything about the 6 caller ID (310)806-3000, total running time, a 7 7 profitability of your company or how you were making minute, twenty. And if you'd like to compare and 8 8 money; isn't that true? follow along, this is paragraph 8 of your 9 9 A Play the next one. declaration. Okay? 10 10 Q No, I'm asking you with respect to the (The recording was played as follows: 11 11 phone call that ended at three -- I'm sorry, 3:25 "SPEAKER: Hello, my name is Raymond. I 12 P.M. on April 27, 2009, which is the audio I just 12 called about ten minutes ago. And someone 13 13 played for you. said, talked to me and said I should go and get 14 At no time on that recording were you 14 an e-mail, send it to Editor at Contact Us. I 15 15 asked anything about money, were you? need to know how do I repair this and how do I 16 A I, I don't know exactly that particular 16 do it. 17 phone call or any other call. But I think he was 17 "I wonder if someone can call me back. I 18 18 insinuating in other calls. Do you have -can give you my cell number that I can be 19 Q I have every one of them. We're going to 19 reached anytime. My number is (310)801-5161, 20 20 play every, single one of them. (310)801-5161. And I appreciate someone 21 21 A Okay. Let's play all of them one time. calling me back. 22 22 MR. BLACKERT: I just want to put "This is about the report on Asia Economic 23 something on the record real quick if I can. 23 Institute. And I think the gentleman I spoke 24 24 It looks like the length of, just I'm having a to, he was wondering what was the name, and I 25 25 little discrepancy with it. said a couple of times, and finally he 274 1 MR. GINGRAS: I'll explain it all to you, 1 understood exactly what the name of the company 2 2 Dan. 3 MR. BLACKERT: Okay. 3 "I would appreciate someone to call me 4 MR. GINGRAS: Okay? 4 back and tell me what I can do. And if this is 5 Q Mr. Mobrez, also isn't it true that 5 a mediation program or I don't know what it is. 6 Mr. Magedson never boasted that Ripoff Report was at 6 Someone reach back me. Thank you.") 7 7 the top of the search engines? He never said that, BY MR. GINGRAS: 8 8 did he? On that recording. Did you hear that? Q Mr. Mobrez, the recording that I just 9 A He e-mailed it to me I guess. 9 played to you is indicated on the envelope index as 10 10 Q Okay. So you're now changing your call No. 3, again, April 27th, 2009, ending at 11 11 3:32 P.M. And this is call No. 3, described in testimony; is that right? 12 12 A I don't know -paragraph No. 8 of your declaration. 13 13 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Harassing. You never spoke to Mr. Magedson a third 14 14 BY MR. GINGRAS: time or even a second time on April 27th; isn't, 15 15 Q All right. I will tell you that the isn't that true? 16 second phone call, which you described in 16 A I --17 17 paragraph 7 of your declaration, we have no Q Paragraph 7 and 8 of your declaration talk 18 recording for that call because you never got 18 about a second and third phone call that you had 19 19 through the voice mail system, which takes a lot where you said Mr. Magedson asked about whether you 20 20 longer than ten seconds to get through to a live knew about the Corporate Advocacy Program and then 21 21 person. he told you to read about it online. 22 22 That's why I believe there's no audio Those statements are false in your 23 23 recording for that day. And we'll put an affidavit declaration; isn't that true? 24 into the record if you need us to that our diligent 24 A I don't know -- can I see my declaration 25 25 search of our business records have confirmed that for a second? 275 277

1 Q It's Exhibit 19. 1 MR. BLACKERT: Objection. Argumentative. 2 A Exhibit 19. 2 BY MR. GINGRAS: 3 3 There could be a mix-up on the -- my phone O -- that's not for me to decide. 4 4 calls. But I do know I did talk to him. I know I Mr. Mobrez, would you like me to play call 5 5 have spoken to him. I know what he said, and I know No. 5? And I marked this on green in the exhibit there. I marked this in green because this is the 6 6 what I said. 7 7 Q Okay. So you're sticking to your story? phone call where you claim that Mr. Magedson asked 8 8 A I am. But maybe, as I said, these phone you for \$5,000. 9 9 calls are -- just keep going, next one. Do you want me to play that one? 10 O You want to hear the next one? 10 11 11 A Next one. Q Okay. Let's have a listen to that one. 12 O The next one is call No. 4. This took 12 (The recording was played as follows: 13 "SPEAKER 1: Raymond --13 place on May 5th, 2009, ending at 11:33 A.M. The 14 caller ID information was area code (310)806-3000. 14 "SPEAKER 2: I spoke to you earlier. 15 15 Thank you for sending me that e-mail. I tried The total length of this one is 51 seconds. 16 16 (The recording was played as follows: to understand it, but there's nothing says what 17 "SPEAKER 1: Raymond, how can I help you? 17 I can do there. Hello? Hello? Hello?") 18 "SPEAKER 2: Hi. I called about almost 18 THE WITNESS: Call number what? 19 19 two weeks ago, and I have sent an e-mail, as I MR. BLACKERT: It's five. 20 20 was instructed to do so, to Editor at the BY MR. GINGRAS: 21 21 Ripoff --O All right. What we just heard was call 22 22 "SPEAKER 1: Regarding what, sir? No. 5. According to our records, this call was 23 23 recorded on May 5th, 2009, ending at 1:10 P.M. The **Regarding what?** 24 24 "SPEAKER 2: Well, our name appeared on caller ID information was area code (310)806-3000. 25 25 your publication. And we are in Los Angeles. The total running time of that recording was 35 278 280 I'm calling from Los Angeles. And I'm with 1 seconds. 1 2 2 Asia Economic Institute. I sent an e-mail. I And, again, I'll note that according to 3 was instructed to do so. And --3 your own phone bills, call No. 5 lasted for 2.2 "SPEAKER 1: Okay. I'm not sure why we 4 4 minutes, which I'm quite sure is the time that it 5 have e-mails that are bouncing. But there's 5 took you to get through the phone message system and 6 nothing we can respond to here talking to you 6 speak to Mr. Magedson. And then apparently he hung 7 by phone. You just got to try and send an 7 8 8 e-mail again. Mr. Mobrez, in your declaration, again, 9 "SPEAKER 2: I did. I did it two days 9 this is paragraph 13 where you talk about call No. 5 10 10 later, last Thursday.") where you say that you were asked for five -- I'm 11 11 BY MR. GINGRAS: sorry, five grand, in quotes, plus a monthly 12 12 Q And that call cut off for whatever reason. maintenance fee of at least a couple hundred 13 13 But, again, that was call No. 4. That is paragraph dollars. 1 4 14 No. 10 of your declaration. You lied about that, didn't you? 15 15 And according to your phone bills, call A No. 16 16 Q Mr. Magedson never asked you for money No. 4 was 2.6 minutes long, which I think the 17 17 evidence will show is consistent with getting that day or any other day; isn't that true? 18 through the phone message system and then having a 18 A He has asked me for \$5,000. I don't know 19 19 exactly which day. 51-second conversation. 20 20 A I think that conversation was a little bit Q Come on, man. I mean, seriously. 21 21 A I'm serious. longer, but it could be --22 22 Q I understand that as the credible witness Q You want to sit in front of a federal 23 23 judge and a federal jury and lie through your teeth that you are, you think that. I don't think anyone 24 else on the face of the planet is going to believe 24 like this? Is that what you're going to do? 25 25 A I'm telling you -that, but --279 281

1 1 Q Let's keep going. Let's keep going. your voice; right? 2 2 You've already dug your grave. A I assume, yes. 3 3 Call No. 6. This was recorded on May 9th, Q Okay. You want to hear the last call? 4 2009, at 1:38 P.M. The caller ID information was 4 And by the way, I've marked this as call No. 7. 5 area code (310)801-5161. The running time of this 5 According to your phone bill, this call was made on 6 6 one is one minute, thirty-six. May 12th, 2009. You began the call at 2:46 P.M. 7 7 And by the way, on --You called (602)359-4357. Your phone bill indicates 8 8 A I'm sorry, one more time? What's that 16.5 minutes. The actual amount of audio captured 9 9 call number? was again 14:45. There's that little difference 10 O This is call No. 6. You didn't have this 10 when you were working your way through the voice 11 one in your phone bill list because that was -- this 11 mail. 12 12 is a call from your cell phone. You only gave us And this is paragraph 14 of your 13 your office records. You did not give us your cell 13 declaration, which is Exhibit 19, is where you 14 phone records even though my subpoena to you asked 14 described this phone call. 15 15 for that. And I'll be taking that up with the What do you think the chances are that 16 16 court. anything on this phone call is going to be 17 17 But for your purposes, this is call No. 6. consistent with what you said in your declaration? 18 18 I didn't mark that in our notes because you didn't Is there any chance that any of this phone call is 19 19 disclose it to us. But I'm disclosing it to you. going to match what your declaration says? 20 20 This is call No. 6. A Well, all I can tell you at this time, I (The recording was played as follows: 21 21 have made numerous calls. I spoke to him. He and 22 22 "SPEAKER: Hello. My name is Raymond, I, we talked, as you can see it. And I may be mixed 23 Raymond Mobrez, with the Asia Economic 23 up on a phone call. I can, I can agree to that. 24 24 **Institute.** I've made numerous calls. I've But I know for sure what we talked about. 25 25 been talking to an individual who's just Q Okay. Let's listen to this one. You said 282 284 directed me to Advocacy Program and e-mailed me it was 17 minutes. It's not. It's shorter than 1 1 2 2 back finally I guess kind of pieces from the that. 3 website. I really don't know what I can do 3 But we're going to go ahead and listen to 4 4 with that. I need somebody to help me out. it, and I'm going to keep my ears peeled because you 5 5 "And my phone number, as you can see the put it in quotes where you claimed that Mr. Magedson 6 caller ID, it's my cell number is 6 said "All the negative goes away and you see the 7 7 (310)801-5161, (310)801-5161. And, and you can positive," which your wife also testified under 8 8 call me anytime on the weekend. But my work penalty of perjury in her declaration was said. So 9 number that I will be in Monday anytime after 9 let's keep our ears peeled on call No. 7 for that. 10 10 9:30 A.M. or 10:00 A.M., it's (310)806-3000, Again, according to our records, this call 11 11 and my extension is 223. Again, (310)806-3000, was recorded at -- I'm sorry, on May 12th, 2009. 12 extension 223. Asia Economic Institute. And 12 The ending time was 3:05 P.M. The caller ID 13 13 my first name is Raymond. information was area code (310)806-3000. The total 1 4 14 "I spoken about three times with the same running time of this one is 14 minutes, 45 minutes. 15 15 gentleman, but it seemed to me he does not want A Remember what we said on this is, on these 16 to stay on the phone or something. He just 16 calls --17 17 hangs up very quickly. So I'm trying to have Q There's no question pending. 18 someone to help me out with this Advocacy 18 A I apologize. 19 19 Q Thank you. Program. 20 20 Thank you.") (The recording was played as follows: 21 BY MR. GINGRAS: 21 "SPEAKER 1: Hi. I didn't quite hear, who 22 22 is this calling from what company? Q Mr. Mobrez, was that your voice that we 23 just heard? 23 "SPEAKER 2: This is Raymond. I spoke to 24 A Yes. 24 you in the past couple of times with the Asia 25 25 Q And in fact all of these recordings are Economic Institute. You send me an e-mail 283 285

,			
1	and	1	"SPEAKER 2: I went there, and it was a
2	"SPEAKER 1: With what institute? Say	2	little bit confusing to me. Is it also it
3	that again. What institute?	3	doesn't say, what's the, the, what do you call,
4	"SPEAKER 2: Asia Economic Institute.	4	the cost or something. It doesn't give me
5	"SPEAKER 1: Okay. Refresh my memory.	5	"SPEAKER 1: That, you're going to get
6	What were we talking about?	6	that once we get information from you.
7	"SPEAKER 2: We talked, and you sent me	7	"SPEAKER 2: Such as? I gave you my
8	also an e-mail that I sent to you and I resend	8	information. I called I e-mailed it to you
9	it again and you replied back to me with a	9	about a month ago.
10	cover page from your website. And on that one	10	"SPEAKER 1: Hold on.
11	you said I need somebody to help me how to	11	"SPEAKER 2: Look, do me a small favor.
12	do this advocacy, whatever it is.	12	Why don't you take my name and number down.
13	"SPEAKER 1: Okay. And what are you	13	Anytime I call you it's my work number. As you
14	looking for?	14	see the caller ID, my extension is 223
15	"SPEAKER 2: I e-mailed it to you. You	15	"SPEAKER 1: Hold on, hold on, hold on a
16	and I, we spoke at least	16	minute. Hold on. Okay? I need I'm going
17	"SPEAKER 1: I didn't listen, I deal	17	to try and search this. What's the name
18	with, you know, thousands of e-mails a week,	18	give me what's the name of your company
19	and I have people here assisting me, so I'm	19	what is a unique word in the name of your
20	just trying to understand what, what you're,	20	company? Did you say 'Economic'?
21	what you're, what you're asking. What are you	21	"SPEAKER 2: I can give you the full
22	asking about?	22	report. I can give you report numbers.
23	"SPEAKER 2: Well, you know, this Advocacy	23	"SPEAKER 1: No, I don't want what's
24		24	
24 25	Program, I need somebody to help me with that.	25	the name of your company again?
23	I don't know how it works and I don't know how 286		"SPEAKER 2: Asia Economic Institute. 288
1	do I do it, you know, that kind of stuff.	1	"SPEAKER 1: Okay, A-s-i-a?
2	"SPEAKER 1: Well, did you fill out an	2	"SPEAKER 2: Yeah.
3	application, sir?	3	"SPEAKER 1: Okay. Hold on.
4	"SPEAKER 2: No, because, you know, I need	4	"SPEAKER 2: You're the editor; right?
5	somebody to help me. Application doesn't tell	5	What's your name?
6	me exactly what's going to happen to it.	6	"SPEAKER 1: This is Ed.
7	"SPEAKER 1: Once you fill out the	7	"SPEAKER 2: Okay.
8		8	"SPEAKER 1: I have other things with Asia
9	application, then we can tell you more	9	
10	information about it.	10	in it, but it's not your company. Let me try one more thing. What's your e-mail address?
	"SPEAKER 2: It's		
11	"SPEAKER 1: See what I'm saying? What	11	"SPEAKER 2: Raymond, R-a-y-m-o-n-d.
12	kind of business do you have?	12	"SPEAKER 1: R-a-y-m-o-n-d.
13	"SPEAKER 2: We are a publication,	13	"SPEAKER 2: You know what, I can reply to
14	basically, Asia Economic Institute.	14	the last e-mail you sent it to me.
15	"SPEAKER 1: Okay. How many reports were	15	"SPEAKER 1: What is Raymond?
16	about your company?	16	"SPEAKER 2: Raymond@AsiaEcon.org.
17	"SPEAKER 2: Two. It's the same guy I	17	"SPEAKER 1: Asia at
18	guess.	18	"SPEAKER 2: I just sent it back to you
19	"SPEAKER 1: Okay. What you need to do is	19	again. Look, it's coming
20	click on where it says 'Corporate Advocacy' on	20	"SPEAKER 1: No, no, sir. It will take a
21	that page, and on the left-hand side it says	21	second. Spell it.
22	'Application.' Fill that out, and then we'll	22	"SPEAKER 2: Raymond, R-a-y-m-o-n-d.
23	send you more information. There's only a	23	"SPEAKER 1: At?
24	little bit, there's only a few questions we're	24	"SPEAKER 2: Asia, A-s-i-a E-c-o-n, .org.
25	asking you there.	25	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n?
	287		289

1	"SPEAKER 2: Yeah, like 'Econ."	1	from me with information, e-mail me, call me
2	"SPEAKER 1: Okay. That's all I need.	2	back.
3	That's all I need.	3	"SPEAKER 2: Well, I have information. I
4	"SPEAKER 2: Okay. You just got an e-mail	4	need to know a few things. Number one, it's
5	from me.	5	very complicated for me, and number two, I just
6	"SPEAKER 1: Okay. There's nothing in	6	wanted to know, so it doesn't say anything and
7	there, so hold on. Let me go into here.	7	I'm just trying to figure out certain things.
8	"SPEAKER 2: I just sent it to you. You	8	Can you at least check now before you leave and
9	might have it by now.	9	see if you got it or not.
0	"SPEAKER 1: Yeah, that's all the way	10	"SPEAKER 1: I am. I'm doing I just
1	around. You said you just sent it to me again?	11	did while I'm here. I'm not what's Asia,
2	"SPEAKER 2: Yeah, I did. I replied back	12	is it Asia Economic?
3	to E-d-i-t-o-r at	13	"SPEAKER 2: Yeah.
<i>3</i>	"SPEAKER 1: Okay. Right, right, right.	14	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c; correct?
5	Okay. You don't have to spell it. I know.	15	
6		16	"SPEAKER 2: No. Raymond,
	Okay. Hold on. What state do you live in?		R-a-y-m-o-n-d
7	"SPEAKER 2: I live in Los Angeles,	17	"SPEAKER 1: No, no. The name of the
8	California.	18	company, Asia Economic?
9	"SPEAKER 1: Okay. Hold on one second.	19	"SPEAKER 2: Yes.
0	(Discussion held away from the phone.)	20	"SPEAKER 1: Economic, is it spelled
1	"SPEAKER 1: Okay. I didn't get your	21	E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c?
2	e-mail yet, but let me see I'll	22	"SPEAKER 2: Yeah. Well, let me spell it
3	"SPEAKER 2: I did. You got it.	23	for you. E-c are you
4	"SPEAKER 1: How would you know I got it?	24	"SPEAKER 1: Come on, come on.
5	I mean, just because you sent it, that doesn't	25	"SPEAKER 2: E-c-o-n, Economic, you know,
_			
	mean I got it. People try to send us e-mails	1	Economics, Asia Economics.
2	over time, there's problems sometimes.	2	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c?
2	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it	2 3	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this
2 3 4	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it.	2 3 4	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time.
2 3 4 5	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay.	2 3 4 5	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see
2 3 4 5	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now?	2 3 4 5 6	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't
2 3 4 5	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay.	2 3 4 5	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why.
2 3 4 5 6 7	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now?	2 3 4 5 6	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't
2 3 4 5 5 7 8	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam	2 3 4 5 6 7	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir. "SPEAKER 2: Raymond.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct, Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: Hold on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir. "SPEAKER 2: Raymond. "SPEAKER 1: I didn't even have you in my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 1: Okay. I'm just not getting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir. "SPEAKER 2: Raymond. "SPEAKER 1: I didn't even have you in my system. You said I lied to you, but I don't even have you in my system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 1: Okay. I'm just not getting it now. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 2: Wait a second. I re-did it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir. "SPEAKER 2: Raymond. "SPEAKER 1: I didn't even have you in my system. You said I lied to you, but I don't even have you in my system. "SPEAKER 2: I replied back to you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 1: Okay. I'm just not getting it now. I don't know why.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir. "SPEAKER 2: Raymond. "SPEAKER 1: I didn't even have you in my system. You said I lied to you, but I don't even have you in my system. "SPEAKER 2: I replied back to you whatever you sent it to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct, Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 1: Okay. I'm just not getting it now. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 2: Wait a second. I re-did it. You got it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir. "SPEAKER 2: Raymond. "SPEAKER 1: I didn't even have you in my system. You said I lied to you, but I don't even have you in my system. "SPEAKER 2: I replied back to you whatever you sent it to me. "SPEAKER 1: I know, but this could be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 1: Okay. I'm just not getting it now. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 2: Wait a second. I re-did it. You got it? "SPEAKER 1: I kind of got to go. I don't know what to tell you. I don't know why I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir. "SPEAKER 2: Raymond. "SPEAKER 1: I didn't even have you in my system. You said I lied to you, but I don't even have you in my system. "SPEAKER 2: I replied back to you whatever you sent it to me. "SPEAKER 1: I know, but this could be taking some time because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 1: Okay. I'm just not getting it now. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 2: Wait a second. I re-did it. You got it? "SPEAKER 1: I kind of got to go. I don't know what to tell you. I don't know why I'm not getting it. But I'm going to send an
2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 0 0 1 1 1 2 1 5 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 8 7 8 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir. "SPEAKER 2: Raymond. "SPEAKER 1: I didn't even have you in my system. You said I lied to you, but I don't even have you in my system. "SPEAKER 2: I replied back to you whatever you sent it to me. "SPEAKER 1: I know, but this could be taking some time because "SPEAKER 2: Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 1: Okay. I'm just not getting it now. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 2: Wait a second. I re-did it. You got it? "SPEAKER 1: I kind of got to go. I don't know what to tell you. I don't know why I'm not getting it. But I'm going to send an e-mail here to see
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 7 7 8 8 8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir. "SPEAKER 2: Raymond. "SPEAKER 1: I didn't even have you in my system. You said I lied to you, but I don't even have you in my system. "SPEAKER 2: I replied back to you whatever you sent it to me. "SPEAKER 1: I know, but this could be taking some time because "SPEAKER 2: Go ahead. "SPEAKER 1: You know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 1: Okay. I'm just not getting it now. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 2: Wait a second. I re-did it. You got it? "SPEAKER 1: I kind of got to go. I don't know what to tell you. I don't know why I'm not getting it. But I'm going to send an e-mail here to see "SPEAKER 2: Okay. Look. You are the Ed,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 2 8 9 9 8 9 9 8 9 8 9 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir. "SPEAKER 2: Raymond. "SPEAKER 1: I didn't even have you in my system. You said I lied to you, but I don't even have you in my system. "SPEAKER 2: I replied back to you whatever you sent it to me. "SPEAKER 1: I know, but this could be taking some time because "SPEAKER 2: Go ahead. "SPEAKER 1: You know "SPEAKER 2: Take your time. Look, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 1: Okay. I'm just not getting it now. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 2: Wait a second. I re-did it. You got it? "SPEAKER 1: I kind of got to go. I don't know what to tell you. I don't know why I'm not getting it. But I'm going to send an e-mail here to see "SPEAKER 2: Okay. Look. You are the Ed, the big shot; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir. "SPEAKER 2: Raymond. "SPEAKER 1: I didn't even have you in my system. You said I lied to you, but I don't even have you in my system. "SPEAKER 2: I replied back to you whatever you sent it to me. "SPEAKER 1: I know, but this could be taking some time because "SPEAKER 1: You know "SPEAKER 2: Take your time. Look, it takes only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 1: Okay. I'm just not getting it now. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 2: Wait a second. I re-did it. You got it? "SPEAKER 1: I kind of got to go. I don't know what to tell you. I don't know why I'm not getting it. But I'm going to send an e-mail here to see "SPEAKER 2: Okay. Look. You are the Ed, the big shot; correct? "SPEAKER 1: Yeah, but I need your e-mail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir. "SPEAKER 2: Raymond. "SPEAKER 1: I didn't even have you in my system. You said I lied to you, but I don't even have you in my system. "SPEAKER 2: I replied back to you whatever you sent it to me. "SPEAKER 1: I know, but this could be taking some time because "SPEAKER 2: Go ahead. "SPEAKER 2: Take your time. Look, it takes only "SPEAKER 1: No, why don't you just let	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 1: Okay. I'm just not getting it now. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 2: Wait a second. I re-did it. You got it? "SPEAKER 1: I kind of got to go. I don't know what to tell you. I don't know why I'm not getting it. But I'm going to send an e-mail here to see "SPEAKER 2: Okay. Look. You are the Ed, the big shot; correct? "SPEAKER 1: Yeah, but I need your e-mail address. Hold on one second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir. "SPEAKER 2: Raymond. "SPEAKER 1: I didn't even have you in my system. You said I lied to you, but I don't even have you in my system. "SPEAKER 2: I replied back to you whatever you sent it to me. "SPEAKER 1: I know, but this could be taking some time because "SPEAKER 1: You know "SPEAKER 2: Take your time. Look, it takes only "SPEAKER 1: No, why don't you just let me send it to me. Give me there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct, Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 1: Okay. I'm just not getting it now. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 2: Wait a second. I re-did it. You got it? "SPEAKER 1: I kind of got to go. I don't know what to tell you. I don't know why I'm not getting it. But I'm going to send an e-mail here to see "SPEAKER 2: Okay. Look. You are the Ed, the big shot; correct? "SPEAKER 1: Yeah, but I need your e-mail address. Hold on one second. "SPEAKER 2: Okay. My e-mail address is
2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 3 1 4 1 2 3 3 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir. "SPEAKER 2: Raymond. "SPEAKER 1: I didn't even have you in my system. You said I lied to you, but I don't even have you in my system. "SPEAKER 2: I replied back to you whatever you sent it to me. "SPEAKER 1: I know, but this could be taking some time because "SPEAKER 1: I know, but this could be taking some time because "SPEAKER 2: Go ahead. "SPEAKER 2: Take your time. Look, it takes only "SPEAKER 1: No, why don't you just let me send it to me. Give me there's a bunch of phone numbers there at the top. If I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 1: Okay. I'm just not getting it now. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 2: Wait a second. I re-did it. You got it? "SPEAKER 1: I kind of got to go. I don't know what to tell you. I don't know why I'm not getting it. But I'm going to send an e-mail here to see "SPEAKER 2: Okay. Look. You are the Ed, the big shot; correct? "SPEAKER 1: Yeah, but I need your e-mail address. Hold on one second. "SPEAKER 2: Okay. My e-mail address is Raymond, R-a-y-m-o-n-d
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	over time, there's problems sometimes. "SPEAKER 2: I said you must have got it by now. I'm not saying you got it. "SPEAKER 1: Oh, oh, okay. "SPEAKER 2: You got it now? "SPEAKER 1: No. I want to try my spam file. No, sir. "SPEAKER 2: Raymond. "SPEAKER 1: I didn't even have you in my system. You said I lied to you, but I don't even have you in my system. "SPEAKER 2: I replied back to you whatever you sent it to me. "SPEAKER 1: I know, but this could be taking some time because "SPEAKER 1: You know "SPEAKER 2: Take your time. Look, it takes only "SPEAKER 1: No, why don't you just let me send it to me. Give me there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"SPEAKER 1: E-c-o-n-o-m-i-c? "SPEAKER 2: Correct. Now you got it this time. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: I replied to it. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 1: I don't see "SPEAKER 2: Hold on. "SPEAKER 1: Okay. I'm just not getting it now. I don't know why. "SPEAKER 2: Wait a second. I re-did it. You got it? "SPEAKER 1: I kind of got to go. I don't know what to tell you. I don't know why I'm not getting it. But I'm going to send an e-mail here to see "SPEAKER 2: Okay. Look. You are the Ed, the big shot; correct? "SPEAKER 1: Yeah, but I need your e-mail address. Hold on one second. "SPEAKER 2: Okay. My e-mail address is

1	"SPEAKER 2: Uh-huh, at a-s-i-a-e-c-o-n,	1	your existing e-mail. It's nowhere in my
2	dot, o-r-g.	2	system. And I'm not sure why. So I got to
3	"SPEAKER 1: (E-c-o-n?)	3	go
4	"SPEAKER 2: Yeah, 'E' as in 'Edward.'	4	"SPEAKER 2: I replied back to your own
5	"SPEAKER 1: C?	5	e-mail. I replied back to your own e-mail. Is
6	"SPEAKER 2: Yeah, 'C' as in 'Charlie.'	6	that your")
7	"SPEAKER 1: 'O' as in 'Ohio'?	7	BY MR. GINGRAS:
8	"SPEAKER 2: Yeah. 'N' as in 'Nancy,'	8	Q That's the end of the recording.
9	dot, o-r-g.		Mr. Mobrez, did you hear during that
10	"SPEAKER 1: 'N' as in 'Nancy,' dot	10	14-minute, 45-second conversation Mr. Magedson ever
11	"SPEAKER 2: I just send an e-mail. Are	11	say that if you paid the fees he demanded, all the
12	you able to see my caller ID? Look, my number	12	negatives would go away and you'd see the positive?
13	is (310)806-3000. (310)806-3000.	13	Did you ever hear him say that?
1 4	"SPEAKER 1: (Uh-huh.	14	A No. But on his e-mail I may be mixed up.
15	"SPEAKER 2: And then my extension is 223,	15	As you heard it, I said, "My boss is in here," on
16	223. Again, (310)806-3000, extension 223.	16	the phone, I was referring to Iliana. And it's not
17		17	something I didn't disclose to him.
18	Look, if you want to fix this, we can fix it	18	Q But he never said during that phone call
I	right away, okay?	19	
19 20	"SPEAKER 1: Okay. Let me see here if I	20	that all the negative goes away if you pay him. He never said that, did he?
20 21	got your e-mail. Let me see here.	21	You don't need to answer that. We know
21 22	"SPEAKER 2: You received two e-mails from	22	
23	me.	23	what the tape says.
23 24	"SPEAKER 1: Do you ever do mailings, like	24	Mr. Mobrez, were you paid anything by anyone in order to bring this case against Ripoff
24 25	e-mailings? Do you ever do mailing to people?	25	Report?
23	"SPEAKER 2: No.		Report: 296
1	"SPEAKER 1: Never?	1	A Did I pay anybody?
2	"SPEAKER 2: No.	2	Q Have you been paid by anyone to bring this
3	"SPEAKER 1: Does your company mail out to	3	lawsuit against Ripoff Report?
4	people, like do a mailing?	4	A No, sir.
5	"SPEAKER 2: No.	5	Q Do you have any agreements, oral or
6	"SPEAKER 1: Okay. All right. Okey-doke.	6	written, with anyone other than your attorneys
7	I will, I will investigate. Okay? If you	7	related to the outcome of this case?
8	don't hear from me by this afternoon, call me	8	A No one.
9	back. I must run immediately.	9	Q And has anyone offered you money of any
10	"SPEAKER 2: Well, so my boss is here	10	kind, compensation of any kind for bringing this
11	also. I need to find out what you want to do.	11	case?
12	"SPEAKER 1: Yeah, I can't, I'm going to	12	A Not to my best recollection, no.
13	try and find out why I'm not getting your	13	MR. GINGRAS: All right. I think we can
14	e-mail. That's the first thing. If I can't	14	cut this. There's some cleanup issues. It's
15	correspond with you, we can't do anything.	15	late in the day. I think if we need to, we can
16	"SPEAKER 2: Yeah, well, you know what?	16	do this through written discovery or otherwise.
17	Let me do this. Hold on just a second. Give	17	I would like to state if there's something
18	me just a second.	18	urgent, speak now.
19	"SPEAKER 1: No, no, no, no, no. I can't,	19	Q Yeah, when you said to Mr. Magedson during
20	sir. Sir, hello? I can't	20	the last call, which I have as call No. 7, on
21	"SPEAKER 2: Yeah.	21	May 12th, when you said, "If you want to fix this,
22	"SPEAKER 1: I've got to get off the	22	we can take care of it right now," what were you
23	phone. Look, I've got to get the e-mails.	23	offering to Mr. Magedson when you said that?
24	There's nothing we can talk about by phone. I	24	A I didn't offer anything.
25	can't find your e-mails. I can't even find 295	25	Q What did you mean when you said, "If you
	295	·	297

		_		
1	want to fix this, we can take care of this right	1	MR. BLACKERT: No, that's okay.	
2	now"?	2	THE DEPOSITION OFFICER: Do you want	· a
3	A It's that's what I'm saying. I have, I	3	•	. а
		1	copy of the transcript?	
4	believe there has to be one more phone call is	4	MR. BLACKERT: Yes, yes, yes.	
5	missing in this whole picture.	5	(Deposition session concluded at 5:12 P.M.)	
6	Q Your phone bills would show it, and they	6	-000-	
7	sure don't.	7	\\\\	
8	A Let me explain to you. Because if I	8	\\\	
9	didn't know there is exchange of money, I would have	9		
10	not said, "We have to do this differently."	10		
11	Q Mr. Mobrez, you testified under oath	11		
12	repeatedly that on May 5th Mr. Magedson asked you	12		
13	for \$5,000. Why did you ask him in the recorded	13		
14	phone call that you didn't know was being recorded	14		
15	on May 12th, why did you say to him, "I don't know	15		
16	what the cost of the program is"? Why did you say	16		
17	that?	17		
18	If Mr. Magedson asked you for money on	18		
19	May 5th, which we know he didn't, why would you ask	19		
20	him on May 12th that you don't know what the cost of	20		
21	the program is? You didn't know on May 12th because	21		
22	he never asked you; isn't that true?	22		
23	A No, I can't say that because I don't know	23		
24	what the cost is.	24		
25	MR. GINGRAS: I know you don't.	25		
	298			300
1	All right. I think I'm going to call this	1		
2	a day. I know we scheduled the deposition of	2		
3	your wife today. I'd like to go on the record	3	***	
4	as saying that I am suspending that deposition	4		
5	in light of what has happened here today.	5		
6	I want your lawyer has certain	6		
7	obligations under his duties to the State Bar	7	I do solemnly declare under penalty of perjury	
8	and to our court, and I do not want to put him	8	that the foregoing is my deposition under oath; that these	
9	in a position, assuming, as I hope, that he is	9	are the questions asked of me and my answers thereto; that I	
10	an innocent victim of your conduct and your	10	have read same and have made the necessary corrections,	
11	crimes, I do not want to put him in a position	11	additions, or changes to my answers that I deem necessary.	
12	where he will lose his license if he continues	12		
13	to represent you knowing, as he knows now, that	13	In witness thereof, I hereby subscribe my name	
14	you have committed perjury in this case.	14	this day of, 20	
15	For that reason and only that reason, I am	15	•	
16	suspending your wife's deposition. I will	16		
17	retake it at a later time if this case	17		
18	continues past today, which I certainly expect	18		
19	it will not. Okay? And I'll give your lawyer	19		
20	notice of that when and if we decide to. Okay?	20		
21	That's it. We're off the record.	21		
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 5:12, and	22	Witness Signature	
23	we're going off the record.	23		
24	THE DEPOSITION OFFICER: Mr. Blackert, are	24		
25	you requesting review of the transcript?	25		
2	you requesting review of the transcript:			301
1	200	1		

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)	
_		
) ss.	
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)	
)	
3		
4	I, Yaelle Daneshrad, Certified Shorthand	
5	Reporter, Certificate No. 11754, for the State of	
6	California, hereby certify:	
7	I am the deposition officer that	
	Taili tile deposition officer tilat	
8	stenographically recorded the testimony in the	
9	foregoing matter;	
	D' 1 1 1 1	
10	Prior to being examined, the witness was	
11	by me first duly sworn;	
12	The foregoing transcript is a true record	
13	of the testimony given.	
1.4	- -	
1 -		
ТЭ		
16	Dated	
15 16 17		
<u> </u>		
18		
19		
bΩ	Janeshie C	
20 21) i mistra	
21		
22		
2		
23		
23 24		
25		
	302	
	502	