1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	Asia Economic Institute et al,) CASE NO:) 2:10-cv-01360-SVW-PJW
12	Plaintiff)) ORDER RE: STATUS CONFERENCE
13	vs.)
14	Xcentric Ventlures LLC et	
15	al,	
16	Defendant(s).	
17		
18	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a Status Conference be	
19	set for Monday, April 12, 2010 at 3:00 p.m. before the	
20	Honorable Stephen V. Wilson. The attorneys attending this	
21	conference <u>MUST</u> be those who are in charge of the conduct of	
22	the trial. Attendance is mandatory.	
23	This Court views this status conference as critical	
24	to its case management responsibilities. Counsel are	
25	required to approach it with the same seriousness.	
26	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel meet and confer	
27	prior to conference in accordance with Local Rule 26,	
28	///	

Case 2:10-cv-01360-SVW-PJW Document 7 Filed 03/04/10 Page 2 of 3

however, counsel are not required to file any documents,
 such as an Early Meeting Report or the Status Conference
 Report.

Failure on the part of counsel to participate
<u>knowledgeably in the conference may result in sanctions</u>.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, at the Status
Conference, counsel <u>must</u> be fully prepared to inform the
Court of the following:

9

16

17

- (1) the theory of the case, both factual and legal,
- 10 (2) settlement potential and approaches including
 11 an examination of whether a limited trial on
 12 certain bifurcated issues could lead to an
 13 overall disposition of the case,
- (3) length of trial, jury vs non-jury, unusualtrial problems, etc.,
 - (4) motions to be filed and an explanation for their necessity.

This Court <u>will not</u> continue this status conference except upon a showing of good cause.

(Rule 26f) Counsel should view the discovery period 20 as a time for the orderly and cooperative exchange of 21 information essential to a resolution of the case. 22 Sanctions will be imposed if counsel impede the discovery 23 process through contentiousness and over-litigiousness. 24 Τn short, the Court does not view the pre-trial process as an 25 arena or a series of hostile skirmishes, but rather as a 26 structure through which legal and factual positions are 27 openly and candidly exchanged. The goal must be the early 28

2

Case 2:10-cv-01360-SVW-PJW Document 7 Filed 03/04/10 Page 3 of 3

and inexpensive settlement of the cases or the refinement of 1 issues for trial. 2

All discovery matters have been referred to a 3 United States Magistrate Judge as provided in this Court's 4 <u>Standing Order</u>. Discovery disputes of a significant nature 5 should be brought promptly before the Magistrate Judge. The 6 Court does not look favorably upon delay resulting from 7 unnecessarily unresolved discovery disputes. Any discovery 8 disputes that are not resolved three (3) weeks prior to the 9 scheduled trial date should be brought promptly and directly 10 to the attention of this Court. 11

Plaintiff's counsel, or counsel for defendant if 12 plaintiff is pro se, is to provide written notice of this 13 conference and the meeting requirements to any parties 14 appearing, who are known and not yet formally appeared, on 15 or after the date of this order. 16

If this case was removed from state court and if 17 plaintiff wants to seek a remand, plaintiff's counsel should 18 file an Ex Parte Application for Remand not later than 19 fourteen (14) days prior to the conference. 20

Defendants should file any opposition to the Ex Parte 21 Application for Remand not later than seven (7) days prior 22 to the conference. 23

DATED: March 4, 2010 24

25

26

27

28

STEPHEN V. WILSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

3