Ronald R Sh	ea v. Unum Provident et al		Doc. 16
1			
2		JS - 6	
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	RONALD R. SHEA,) CASE NO. CV 10-02563-MMM(Ex)	
10	Plaintiffs,))) ODDED DICMICOING ON /IL ACTION	
11	VS.	ORDER DISMISSING CIVIL ACTION	
12	UNUM PROVIDENT,		
13	Defendants.		
14			
15)	
16	THE COURT IS NOT A SECOND TO SECOND		
17	THE COURT having been advised by counsel that the above-entitled action has been		
18	settled;		
19	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is hereby dismissed without costs and		
20	without prejudice to the right, upon good cause shown within 30 days, to re-open the action		
21	if settlement is not consummated. This Court retains full jurisdiction over this action and this		
22	Order shall not prejudice any party to this action.		
23			
24	DATED: May 6, 2010	MARGARET M. MORROW	
25		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
26			
27			
28			
l		Dockets II	ictio com