1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED S	TATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL [DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	JORGE GAXIOLA, <u>et al.,</u>) NO. CV 10-6632 AHM (FMO)
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	V.	JUDGMENT
14	CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,	
15	Defendante	
16	Defendants.	
17)
18	IT IS ADJUDGED that plaintiffs'	Complaint is dismissed without prejudice as to plaintiff
19	Michael Frost; Claim Three of the Com	plaint and plaintiffs' claims against the Federal Transit
20	Authority, the Federal Highway Administ	ration, Victor Mendez and Peter M. Rogoff are dismissed
21	for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; Cla	ims One, Two and Nine against Malcolm Dougherty, and
22	Claims One, Seven, Eight, Nine and Thir	rteen, and the § 1983 claim set forth in Claim Two against
23	State of California, Department of Transp	ortation are dismissed on Eleventh Amendment grounds;
24	plaintiffs' state law claims are dismissed	without prejudice; and all other claims are dismissed with
25	prejudice.	a Russella
26	DATED: September 30, 2011	N. Jamparamos
27	JS-6	A 11014/A DD 14477
28		A. HOWARD MATZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE