1	George A. Shohet SBN 112697		
2	LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE A. SHOHET, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION		
3	245 Main Street, Suite 310	•	
4	Venice, CA 90291-5216		
5	Tel.: (310) 452-3176		
	Fax: (310) 452-2270		
6 7	Gretchen M. Nelson SBN 112566 KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP		
8	707 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 4100		
9	Los Angeles, CA 90017		
10	Tel.: (213) 622-6469 Fax: (213) 622-6019		
11	1 ax. (213) 622 6613		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff Southern California Institute of Law		
13			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
16			
17	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	CASE NO.: CV10-8026 JAK (AJWx)	
18	INSTITUTE OF LAW, a California corporation,	[Assigned to Hon. John A. Kronstadt]	
19	Corporation,	DECLARATION OF GEORGE A.	
20	Plaintiff,	SHOHET REGARDING SHORT DELAY IN FILING PLAINTIFF'S	
21	VS.	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND	
22	TCS EDUCATION SYSTEM, an	AUTHORITIES IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO	
23	Illinois corporation; DAVID J.	DISMISS	
24	FIGULI, an individual; and GLOBAL		
25	EQUITIES, LTD. d/b/a HIGHER EDUCATION GROUP, a Colorado	Action Filed: Oct. 25, 2010	
26	limited liability company,	Hearing Date: August 8, 2011	
27	Defendants.	Time: 1:30 p.m. Courtroom: 750	
28		Courticom. 150	

## I, George A. Shohet, declare:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the courts of California and a member of the Bar of this Court. I make this declaration for the purpose of explaining the short delay in filing Plaintiff's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendants' Motions to Dismiss ("Opposition Brief"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and, if called as a witness, could and would competently testify about them.
- 2. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-9, the Opposition Brief was due to be filed on or before July 18, 2011. I worked on the Opposition Brief diligently, but was unable to complete the brief until the following day. It was filed at approximately1:30 p.m. on July 19, 2011, thirteen and one half hours late. The filing delay was largely due to formating problems which developed late in the day on July 18 as I tried to integrete the portion of the brief prepared by my co-counsel into the main brief. At the time, my assistant who may have been able to resolve the problem was visiting her family on the east coast. On the morning of July 19, 2011, through e-mail correspondence with my assistant, I was able to resolve the issues and convert the Opposition Brief into a PDF document. The table of contents was then manually prepared rather than electronically generated because the software would still not allow us to do so. The brief was promptly filed thereafter.
- 3. The defendants raised the late filing issue in their reply memoranda even though it is clear that they were not prejudiced and the late filing was not "wilful, grossly negligent or reckless," which is the basis for a sanctions award. Local Rules 7-13 and 83-7. Defendants timely filed thier reply memoranda. I contacted counsel for the defendants to apologize for the delay and inquired if they needed any additional time or wanted to supplement the replies. I was told by their respective counsel that such accommodations were unnecessary.

4. Although there is no prejudice to the defendants due to the short delay in filing the Opposition Brief, plaintiff's counsel regret any inconvenience the delay may have caused the Court or the parties.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed July 27, 2011 at Los Angeles, California.

George A. Shohet

Jonge Sakes

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	The undersigned hereby certifies that all counsel of record who have	
3	consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of the foregoing	
4	document via Central District of California CM/ECF system on July 27, 2011.	
5		
6	/s/ George A. Shohet	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
	CENTIFICATE OF DENTICE	