Jeffrey S. Whittington, Esq./SBN 23602 Email: jwhittington@kbrlaw.com Nicholas W. Sarris, Esq./SBN 242011 Email: nsarris@kbrlaw.com Vanessa K. Manolatou, Esq./SBN 2665 Email: vmanolatou@kbrlaw.com	41
KAUFMAN BORGÉEST & RYAN LI 23975 Park Sorrento, Suite 370 Calabasas, CA 91302 Telephone: (818) 880-0992 Facsimile: (818) 880-0993	LP
Attorneys for Defendant TCS EDUCATION SYSTEM	
UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF LAW, a California	Case No. CV10-8026 JAK (AJWx (Assigned to the Hon. John Kronstadt)
corporation, Plaintiff, vs.	DEFENDANT TCS EDUCATION SYSTEM'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S UNVERIFIED SECOND
TCS EDUCATION SYSTEM, an Illinois corporation; DAVID J. FIGULI, an individual; and GLOBAL EQUITIES, LLC d/b/a HIGHER EDUCATION GROUP, a Colorado limited liability company, Defendants.	AMENDED COMPLAINT Complaint Filed: October 25, 2010 Discovery Cut-Off: tbd Trial Date: tbd
TO: Clerk of the United States Dist	
LAW, and Plaintiff's attorneys of rec	
	SYSTEM (hereinafter referred to
	ISWER TO PLAINTIFF'S UNVERIFIED SECOND COMPLAINT Printed on Recycled Paper

Printed on Recycled Paper
1674843

26

27

28

Plaintiff, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF LAW (hereinafter collectively referred to "Plaintiff") as follows:

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE NATURE OF CASE

Paragraph 1 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and *1. argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS denies that any moneys are owed to Plaintiffs, that Plaintiff has sustained damages, that it breached its contract with Plaintiffs, that its contract with Plaintiff contained a covenant not to compete, that it breached a covenant not to compete, and that it misappropriated any of Plaintiff's trade secrets or proprietary or confidential information.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE ALLEGATIONS REGARDING JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Paragraph 2 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 2. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE ALLEGATIONS REGARDING THE PARTIES

Paragraph 3 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and 3. argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 3. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

- 4. Paragraph 4 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 4. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 5. As to Paragraph 5, TCS admits that it is a private, not for-profit Illinois corporation that creates affiliations with educational institutions. As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 5. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 6. Paragraph 6 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 6. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 7. Paragraph 7 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 7. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 8. As to paragraph 8, TCS admits that it retained George Haynes and Global Equities, LLC, dba Higher Education Group ("HEG") to identify potential affiliation candidates. As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 8. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

9. Paragraph 9 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 9. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

- 10. Paragraph 10 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 10. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 11. Paragraph 11 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 11. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 12. Paragraph 12 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 12. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 13. Paragraph 13 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 13. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

27°

- 14. Paragraph 14 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 14. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 15. Paragraph 15 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 15. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 16. Paragraph 16 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 16. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 17. Paragraph 17 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 17. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 18. Paragraph 18 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 18. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 19. As to Paragraph 19, TCS admits that the Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement ("NDA") contains the cited language. TCS denies that TCS, its employees, and agents were commanded "not to use, reproduce, or

directly or indirectly disclose or allow access to the [I]nformation except as required to facilitate the Relationship." As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 19. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

- 20. As to Paragraph 20, TCS denies that it promised that it would not use the Information Plaintiff provided to "pursu[e] business opportunities or other arrangements or endeavors of any kind" in violation of the NDA. TCS denies that the NDA contained a covenant not to compete. As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 20. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 21. As to Paragraph 21, TCS denies that it led Plaintiff to believe that it would be its strong ally or enable Plaintiff to compete against Ventura & Santa Barbara Colleges of Law ("COL"). As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 21. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 22. As to Paragraph 22, TCS admits that Plaintiff released information to David J. Figuli ("Figuli"). As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 22. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 23. Paragraph 23 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 23. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

- 24. Paragraph 24 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 24. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 25. Paragraph 25 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 25. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 26. Paragraph 26 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 26. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 27. Paragraph 27 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 27. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 28. Paragraph 28 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 28. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 29. As to Paragraph 29, TCS denies that it used Plaintiff's information to facilitate an affiliation with COL. As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the

allegations set forth in Paragraph 29. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

As to Paragraph 30, TCS admits that on November 17, 2009, Dean Pulle met with Figuli, Haynes, and Jeff Keith ("Keith"). As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 30. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

- Paragraph 31 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and 31. argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 31. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- As to Paragraph 32, TCS admits that Dean Pulle emailed Figuli on 32. November 17, 2009, and November 18, 2009. As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 32. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- Paragraph 33 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and 33. argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 33. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- Paragraph 34 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and 34. argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 34. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

25

26

- 35. As to Paragraph 35, TCS admits that TCS did not make an offer to Plaintiff. As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 35. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 36. As to Paragraph 36, TCS admits that Dean Pulle emailed Figuli on January 21, 2010, and that Figuli emailed Dean Pulle with the stated language. As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 36. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 37. As to Paragraph 37, TCS admits that TCS did not make an offer to Plaintiff and that the NDA contains the stated language. As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 37. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 38. As to Paragraph 38, TCS denies that it misused any of Plaintiff's information, that it used any of Plaintiff's information to acquire COL, and that TCS was obligated to use Plaintiff's information only for the purpose of facilitating a transaction with Plaintiff. As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 38. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 39. As to Paragraph 39, TCS denies that the NDA restricted TCS from using Plaintiff's information other than to facilitate a transaction with Plaintiff, and denies that the NDA barred TCS from becoming Plaintiff's competitor. TCS denies that the NDA states that TCS shall not "pursu[e] business opportunities or other arrangements or endeavors of any kind" in violation of the NDA. As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or

- 40. Paragraph 40 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 40. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 41. Paragraph 41 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 41. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 42. As to Paragraph 42, TCS denies that it misused any of Plaintiff's information, misappropriated any trade secrets, or is using Plaintiff's information to compete with Plaintiff. As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 42. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 43. Paragraph 43 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 43. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 44. Paragraph 44 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 44. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

- 45. Paragraph 45 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 45. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 46. Paragraph 46 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 46. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 47. As to Paragraph 47, TCS denies that it has unfairly competed with Plaintiff or breached any covenant not to compete with Plaintiff. As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 47. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 48. Paragraph 48 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 48. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE AGENCY ALLEGATIONS

49. Paragraph 49 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 49. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

Paragraph 50 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and

50.

51.

required, TCS, TCS denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 50.

51. Paragraph 51 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS, TCS denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph

argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is

52. Paragraph 52 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS, TCS denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 52.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE ALLEGATIONS OF THE FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 53. Paragraph 53 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 53 of this Answer.
- 54. Paragraph 54 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS admits that the NDA is a valid and enforceable contract. As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 54. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

25

26

27

28

- 55. Paragraph 55 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 55. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis. 56. TCS denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 56
- of the SAC.
- 57. Paragraph 57 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 57. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- Paragraph 58 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and 58. argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS denies that it has been unjustly enriched, that it is profiting unfairly, that it is misusing or misappropriating Plaintiff's information or trade secrets, and that it has violated or is violating the NDA. As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 58. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE ALLEGATIONS OF THE SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF FOR MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS

59. Paragraph 59 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is

- 60. Paragraph 59 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS denies that it ever possessed Plaintiff's trade secrets. As to the remainder of the allegations, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 60. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 61. Paragraph 61 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 61. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 62. Paragraph 62 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 62. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 63. Paragraph 63 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 63. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 64. Paragraph 64 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 64. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.

- 65. Paragraph 65 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 65. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 66. Paragraph 65 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS admits that it is in a competitive relationship with Plaintiff. TCS each and every remaining allegation contained in Paragraph 65.
- 67. Paragraph 67 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 67. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 68. Paragraph 68 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 68. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 69. Paragraph 69 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS lacks knowledge or information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 69. Accordingly, TCS denies those allegations on that basis.
- 70. TCS denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 70 of the SAC.
- 71. TCS denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 71 of the SAC.

72. TCS denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 72 of the SAC.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE ALLEGATIONS OF THE THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF FOR VIOLATION OF THE UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW

- 73. Paragraph 73 of the SAC consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, TCS realleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 72 of this Answer.
- 74. TCS denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 74 of the SAC.
 - 75. TCS admits that Plaintiff seeks restitution and/or injunctive relief.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE PRAYER FOR RELIEF

76. Plaintiff's prayer for relief consists of legal conclusions and argument to which no response is required. To the extent that any answer is required, St. Paul denies each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 10 of Plaintiff's prayer for relief.

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

77. The SAC fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

78. All claims made by Plaintiff are barred in whole or in part by the applicable statute of limitations.

AS AND FOR AN THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

79. In the event that liability is entered against TCS, TCS is entitled to set off for any uncovered claims.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

80. TCS reserves the right to plead additional affirmative defenses which may arise upon receiving additional information regarding the matters alleged in the SAC, through discovery or otherwise.

WHEREFORE, Defendant TCS respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment as follows:

- 1. Defendant TCS respectfully requests that this Court dismiss the SAC in its entirety;
- 2. Defendant TCS respectfully requests that this Court grant to TCS all costs, expenses, and disbursements resulting from this litigation, including attorneys' fees; and
- 3. Defendant TCS respectfully requests that this Court award such other and further relief as this Court deems just, necessary, and proper.

DATED: October 31, 2011

KAUFMAN BORGEEST & RYAN LLP

By:

/s/ Jeffrey S. Whittington
JEFFREY S. WHITTINGTON, ESQ.
NICHOLAS W. SARRIS, ESQ.
VANESSA K. MANOLATOU, ESQ.
Attorneys for Defendant
TCS EDUCATION SYSTEM

PROOF OF SERVICE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CASE NAME: Southern California Institute of Law v. TCS Education System, et al. **CASE NO.: CV10-8026 PSG**

I declare as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, California. I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is 23975 Park Sorrento, Suite 370, Calabasas, California 91302. I am readily familiar with my employer's business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing affidavit.

On October 31, 2011, I served a true and correct copy, with all exhibits, of the following document(s) described as follows:

DEFENDANT TCS EDUCATION SYSTEM'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S UNVERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

- on the interested parties in the within action by placing the above documents in the United []States mail for Express Mail delivery at 23975 Park Sorrento, Suite 370, Calabasas, California 91302 in a sealed envelope, with Express Mail postage thereon fully prepaid; by depositing copies of the above documents in a box or other facility regularly maintained by Federal Express, with delivery fees paid by the sender's account. (Code of Civil Procedure § 1013(c).) (Overnight Delivery Service)
- on the interested parties in the within action by faxing a true and correct copy of the [] above documents to the facsimile number listed below. (Fax Service)
- on the party or parties named below, by following ordinary business practice, placing a true [X] copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, for collection and mailing with a United States Postal Service, where it would be deposited for first class delivery, postage fully prepaid, in the United States Postal Service, that same day in the ordinary course of business, addressed as set forth below. (Regular Office Deposit)

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on October 31, 2011, at Calabasas, California.

By:	/s/ Rina Howard	By:	Rina Howard	_
	Signature of Declarant		Name of Declarant	

DEFENDANT TCS EDUCATION SYSTEM'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S UNVERIFIED SECOND **AMENDED COMPLAINT**

1	<u>SERVICE LIST</u>
2	GEORGE A. SHOHET (SBN 112697) LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE A. SHOHET, PC
3	245 Main Street, Suite 310 Venice, CA 90291-5216
4	Tel.: (310) 452-3176 Fax: (310) 452-2270
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF LAW
6	GRETCHEN M. NELSON (SBN 112566)
7	KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP 707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 4100
8	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel.: (213) 622-6469
9	Fax: (213) 622-6019
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF LAW
11	CODY JAFFE STRAZULO FITZGERALD
12	3 Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111
13	Tel.: (415) 394-9500 Fax: (415) 689-1206
14	Attorneys for Defendants DAVID J. FIGULI and GLOBAL EQUITIES, LLC, DBA HIGHEI EDUCATION GROUP
15	EDUCATION GROUP
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	260
23	
24	
25	*
26	
27	
28	-

DEFENDANT TCS EDUCATION SYSTEM'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S UNVERIFIED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

1674843-1

19

Printed on Recycled Paper 1674843