1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CENTRAL DISTR	Queen Trading
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	HELLS ANGELS MOTORCYCLE CORPORATION, Plaintiff, v. ALEXANDER MCQUEEN TRADING LIMITED, SAKS INCORPORATED, and ZAPPOS RETAIL, INC. Defendants.	Case No. CV-10-8029 CBM (MANx) DEFENDANTS' <i>EX PARTE</i> APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES AND DECLARATION OF SUZANNE V. WILSON IN SUPPORT THEREOF (L.R. 7-19) Date: n/a Place: Courtroom of the Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall Complaint served: October 26, 2010 Current response: February 22, 2011 Requested new response date: March 22, 2011
		Dockets.Just

TO THE HONORABLE CONSUELO B. MARSHALL AND PLAINTIFF AND ITS ATTORNEY OF RECORD:

Defendants Alexander McQueen Trading Limited, Saks Incorporated, and Zappos Retail, Inc. ("Defendants") seek *ex parte* relief to obtain an additional extension of time to respond to the Complaint in this action filed by plaintiff Hells Angels Motorcycle Corporation ("HAMC"). The parties have been engaged in settlement discussions. Defendants seek this relief because they have been unable to contact HAMC's counsel for over a week, since Tuesday, February 8, 2011. Defendants' response to the Complaint is due next Tuesday, February 22, 2011, thus Defendants cannot bring this request to the Court as a regularly-noticed motion. In light of the upcoming deadline to respond to the Complaint on February 22, 2011, Defendants submits this Application for *ex parte* relief seeking a one-month extension of time to March 22, 2011 to respond the Complaint.

Good cause exists for the requested relief. The parties have been engaged in settlement discussions since last year. During this time, they have agreed to, and stipulated to, previous extensions of time for Defendants to respond to the Complaint. As set forth herein, the parties' settlement discussions have been productive. HAMC has provided no indication that it does not wish to continue these discussions. To the contrary, the last communication from HAMC's counsel included partial comments to a draft settlement agreement. If the parties are able to resolve this matter through settlement, their resolution will avoid the expenditure of unnecessary time and resources by the Court and the parties.

Defendants do not know the reason for HAMC's counsel's lack of response over the last week. HAMC's counsel has been responsive in the past and Defendants are unaware of any decision by HAMC to withdraw from settlement discussions. HAMC's counsel has experienced health issues over the last couple of months during the parties' settlement discussions. Defendants do not know if additional issues have arisen for HAMC's counsel over the last week.

required by Local Rule 7-19.1, by leaving HAMC's counsel Fritz Clapp a telephone 2 3 message on his office number at approximately 2:48 p.m., Wednesday, February 16, 2011, and by sending an email message to Mr. Clapp at his email address at 2:45 p.m. 4 on the same day. Defendants have not received any response to either message. 5 (Declaration of Suzanne V. Wilson ("Wilson Decl.") at ¶ 9.) 6 7 HAMC's counsel's information is: Fritz Clapp Work: 888-292-5784 8 Home: 916-548-1014 mail@fritzclapp.com P.O. Box 2517 9 10 Beverly Hills, CA 90213 11 12 Defendants' *Ex Parte* Application is based upon this Application, the 13 supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and the Declaration of Suzanne 14 V. Wilson and supporting exhibits, as well as all records and pleadings on file with the Court in this action and on such further evidence and argument as may be 15 presented at or before the time of hearing. 16 17 Dated: February 16, 2011. 18 **ARNOLD & PORTER LLP** 19 20 By: /s/ Suzanne V. Wilson 21 Suzanne V. Wilson 22 Attorneys for Defendants Alexander 23 McQueen Trading Limited, Saks Incorporated, and Zappos Retail, Inc. 24 25 26 27 28

1

- 2 -

This Application is made after providing notice to counsel for HAMC, as

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Defendants' current deadline to respond to the Complaint is February 22, 2011. (Feb. 7, 2011 Order (Dkt. No. 15)). The parties, however, have been and are still engaged in active and productive settlement discussions, the resolution of which will obviate any need for Defendants to respond to the Complaint or for any expenditure of further judicial resources. Though significant progress has been made towards reaching a compromise, Defendants have not received any communication from HAMC's counsel since Tuesday, February 8, 2001. (Wilson Decl. ¶¶ 3-9.) Defendants have made numerous attempts to contact HAMC's counsel to continue the parties' discussions. HAMC's counsel has failed to respond to email and telephone messages left for him. (Wilson Decl. ¶¶ 4-9.) Accordingly, the parties will be unable to reach a final resolution of this matter by February 22, 2011.

HAMC's counsel failure to respond to messages over the past week is not typical of his past behavior in this matter. (Wilson Decl. ¶11.) Defendants are aware that HAMC's counsel has had some medical issues over the last several months, which has at times effected his ability to respond in this matter. (*Id.*) However, Defendants are unaware whether these issues are related to HAMC's counsel's lack of response this past week. Defendants believe that an additional extension of time to facilitate continued settlement discussions is in the interest of all parties and judicial economy. Defendants therefore file the instant Application for an extension of time to respond to the Complaint from February 22, 2011 to March 22, 2011.

A. <u>Procedural Background</u>

Plaintiff filed the instant action in this Court on October 25, 2010. Plaintiff served Defendants McQueen and Zappos with the Complaint on October 26, 2010, and served Defendant Saks with the Complaint on October 27, 2010. On November 15, 2010, the parties, by stipulation under C.D. Cal. L.R. 8-3, extended Defendants' deadline to respond to the Complaint by thirty (30) and twenty-nine (29) days time, respectively, to December 16, 2010, in order to facilitate ongoing settlement

discussions. (Nov. 15 Stipulation (Dkt. No. 9).) So that they could continue to focus 1 on settlement discussions, on December 13, 2010 the parties, by stipulation 2 3 subsequently approved by this Court, extended Defendants' deadline to respond to the Complaint by an additional thirty three (33) days time to January 18, 2011. (Dec. 4 13 Stipulation and Jan. 5 Order (Dkt. Nos. 10 and 11).) Still engaged in settlement 5 discussions, the parties stipulated to a further extension of time on January 12, 2011, 6 which was also approved by the Court. (Jan. 12 Stipulation and Jan. 14 Order (Dkt. 7 Nos. 12 and 13).) Finally, on February 3, 2011, the parties filed another stipulated to 8 9 extend Defendants' deadline to respond to the Complaint from February 8 to March 8. (Feb. 3 Stipulation (Dkt. No. 14).) On February 7, the Court approved the 10 stipulation but only granted an extension of Defendants' deadline to February 22, 11 12 2011. (Feb. 7 Order (Dkt. No. 15).) Settlement Discussions and Need For Additional Extension of Time 13 Β. 14 The parties have used each of the extensions of time granted by the Court to engage in substantive and productive settlement discussions. The parties have been 15 diligent in these discussions. This process is complicated by the number of parties 16 17

involved. The parties have exchanged draft settlement agreements. (Wilson Decl. ¶ 2.) When they last spoke on February 2, 2011, counsel for the parties agreed to speak again by February 11 regarding settlement. (Id. ¶ 3.) On February 8, 2011, HAMC's counsel provided comments to the settlement agreement by email to Defendants' counsel. Defendants' counsel responded to HAMC's February 9 email and asked to schedule a time on February 11, 2011 for a telephone conference. (Id. ¶ 5-6.) She received no response from HAMC's counsel to that email. Defendants' counsel left a telephone message for HAMC's counsel on February 11 regarding this matter. HAMC's counsel did not respond to the telephone message. (Id. \P 7.) On Tuesday, February 15, 2011, Defendants' counsel left two phone messages for HAMC's counsel and sent another email message. She has received no response.

HAMC's counsel's office phone number rings directly to an outgoing phone message, with no receptionist. (*Id.* ¶¶ 7, 8.)

Defendants do not have any information or reason to conclude that HAMC has decided to withdraw from settlement discussions. In addition, HAMC's counsel has been responsive to email and phone messages in the past. The lack of any response from HAMC's counsel over a week it is not consistent with Defendants' past experience with him. The only exception to this experience has been in connection with some personal health issues suffered by HAMC's counsel recently. (*Id.* ¶ 11.)

Unfortunately, Defendants anticipate that the parties will be unable to resolve all outstanding settlement issues before their February 22, 2011 deadline to respond to the Complaint. In the interests of judicial economy, and to enable the parties to focus on finally resolving this matter, Defendants therefore believe that an additional extension of time to facilitate continued settlement discussions is in the interest of all parties. If HAMC's counsel has experienced any personal issues, the additional time will allow time for him to participate in settlement discussions.

C. <u>Conclusion</u>

For all the reasons set forth above, Defendants respectfully request that the Court enter an order granting them an extension of time to respond to the Complaint, extending the deadline from February 22, 2011 to March 22, 2011.

Dated: Februarv 16. 2011.

ARNOLD & PORTER LLP

By: <u>/s/ Suzanne V. Wilson</u>

Suzanne V. Wilson Attorneys for Defendants Alexander McQueen Trading Limited, Saks Incorporated, and Zappos Retail, Inc.

DECLARATION OF SUZANNE V. WILSON

I, Suzanne V. Wilson, hereby state and declare:

1. I am a partner in the law firm Arnold & Porter LLP, and I represent defendants Alexander McQueen Trading Limited, Saks Incorporated, and Zappos Retail, Inc. ("Defendants") in the above-captioned matter. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated within this Declaration, except as otherwise noted. I could and would testify competently to the facts contained in this declaration. I am presenting this declaration in support of Defendants' *Ex Parte* Application for Additional Time to Respond to the Complaint ("Application").

2. I have been engaged in settlement discussions with Fritz Clapp, counsel for plaintiff Hells Angels Motorcycle Corporation ("HAMC") over the last several months. Mr. Clapp is the only attorney for HAMC in connection with this matter to my knowledge. The discussions have been productive and have continued to move forward. As a result of these discussions, the parties have exchanged and discussed draft settlement agreements.

3. While the parties have engaged in settlement discussions, they have agreed to, and stipulated to, several extensions of time to facilitate their ongoing discussions. The last stipulation was signed by the parties on February 2, 2011 and requested an extension of time from February 8 to March 8, 2011 of Defendants' date to respond to the Complaint. A copy of the Stipulation as filed as attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference. At the same time, the parties discussed the draft settlement agreement. During a telephone discussion with Mr. Clapp on February 2, 2011, Mr. Clapp and I assumed that Defendants would have until March 8 to respond to the Complaint. Mr. Clapp informed me that he would be in touch with me again by the end of last week, or by Friday, February 11, regarding settlement.

4. On February 7, 2011, the Court issued its Order on the February 2 Stipulation and set Defendants' date to respond to the Complaint on February 22, 2011, not March 8, 2011. I sent an email to Mr. Clapp informing him of the Court's order and asking about a time to talk last week to continue our settlement discussions.

5. On Tuesday, February 8, 2011, I received an email from Mr. Clapp providing some comments on the draft settlement agreement. This is the last communication I received from Mr. Clapp. I have not attached Mr. Clapp's email because it contains settlement communications.

6. On Wednesday, February 9, 2011, I sent an email to Mr. Clapp thanking him for his email from the day before and I requested a time for us to talk about the agreement. I did not receive any response to my email. I have not attached my February 9 email because the email consists of settlement communications.

7. On Friday, February 11, 2011, I called Mr. Clapp and left a voicemail message for him asking him to call me regarding our settlement discussions.Mr. Clapp's phone number forwards to an outgoing voicemail message and there is no receptionist available to speak to. I did not receive any response to my phone message.

8. On Tuesday, February 16, 2011, at 7:12 a.m., I emailed Mr. Clapp again requesting that he contact me regarding our settlement discussions. I did not receive any response. I also telephoned Mr. Clapp twice yesterday, February 16, and left voicemail messages for him. I have not received any response.

9. In connection with this Application, I emailed and telephone Mr. Clapp this afternoon to provide him notice. I have not received any response to either message. My email notice to Mr. Clapp, sent at approximately 2:45 p.m., is attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by reference. My telephone message for Mr. Clapp was left at approximately 2:48 p.m.

10. I have no reason to believe that Mr. Clapp or HAMC do not intend to continue the parties' settlement communications.

11. During the course of my discussions with Mr. Clapp over the last several months, he has been responsive to email and phone messages. The lack of any

response from him over the last week it is not consistent with my past experience with him. The only exception to this experience has been in connection with some personal health issues suffered by Mr. Clapp recently. He has sometimes been unavailable for a short period of time due to these issues. To protect Mr. Clapp's privacy, I have not included more detail in this declaration on this issue. I am happy to discuss this issue further with the Court.

12. I believe that an additional extension of one month will permit the parties the time necessary to communicate with each other and, hopefully reach a final resolution in this matter, which was save the Court and the parties unnecessary time and resources.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed February 16, 2011

úzanne V. Wilson