

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

PROGRESO PRODUCE LTD 1 LP.)	Case No.: 2:10-cv-08762-AHM (AJWx)
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	AGREED JUDGMENT
)	
PACIFIC WEST MARKETING, INC.;)	
DAVID YOUNG, an individual, and SARA)	
FRANCES, an individual.)	
)	
Defendants.)	

On this day, came on to be heard and considered the above-styled and number cause.

The parties informed the Court that they have agreed that the Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this lawsuit and have agreed to settle this matter and stipulate to the entry of this Agreed Final Judgment. The Court has considered the parties’ motion, the representation of counsel, the pleadings, and official records on file in this matter, as well as the applicable law, and is of the opinion and finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this lawsuit, that judgment should be rendered and entered in favor of Plaintiff, Progreso Produce Ltd 1 LP (“Progreso”) and against Defendants Pacific West

1 Marketing, Inc. (“PacWest”) and Individual Defendants David Young and Sara Frances Young
2 (PacWest, Mr. Young and Ms. Young collectively referred to as “Defendants”). It is therefore,

3 **ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that Individual Defendants Mr. Young
4 and Ms. Young are persons in control of the of assets held byPacWest and that Defendants Sara
5 Frances Young and David Young knowingly dissipated the *trust res* held by PacWest for
6 purposes other than payment to PacWest’s suppliers of perishable agricultural commodities,
7 including Plaintiff Progreso;


9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that Plaintiff Progreso have
10 and recover from Defendants the sum of \$150,000.00, for which let execution issue;

11 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that the parties are to
12 pay their respective attorney’s fees and costs.

14 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** Plaintiff Progreso
15 shall have such writs and processes as may be necessary in the enforcement and collection of
16 this Judgment; and

17 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that all relief not
18 expressly granted herein is denied. This Judgment disposes of all parties and all claims and is
19 final for the purposes of appeal.

21 **SIGNED** this 4th day of October, 2011.

22
23 

24 **THE HONORABLE A. HOWARD MATZ**
25 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
26 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

1 **ACCEPTED AND AGREED AS TO FORM AND SUBSTANCE:**

2
3
4
5 _____
6 Craig A. Stokes (SBN 200848)
7 Stokes Law Office LLP
8 3330 Oakwell Court, Suite 225
9 San Antonio, TX 78218
10 Telephone (210) 804-0011
11 Facsimile (210) 822-2595
12 cstokes@stokeslawoffice.com

5 _____
6 Alan L. Rosen (SBN 67328)
7 Rosen and Loeb
8 2659 Townsgate Road, Suite 136
9 Westlake Village, CA 91361
10 Telephone (805) 777-0066
11 Facsimile (805) 777-7654
12 alanlrosen@hotmail.com

9 And

10 Merl Ledford III (SBN 082253)
11 Ledford Law Corporation
12 805 West Oak Avenue
13 Visalia CA 93291-6033
14 Telephone (559) 627-2710
15 Facsimile (559) 627-0717
16 m.ledford3ledfordlaw.net

*Attorneys for Defendants
Pacific West Marketing, Inc.;
David Young and Sara Frances Young*

*Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Progreso Produce Ltd 1 LP*