J5-6 1 ROSMAN & GERMAIN LLP Daniel L. Germain (State Bar No. 143334) 16311 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1200 Encino, CA 91436-2152 Telephone: (818) 788-0877 Facsimile: (818) 788-0885 5 Email: germain@lalawyer.com Liaison Counsel for Named Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class 7 [Additional counsel listed on signature page] 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 THOMAS YOUNG and GREGORY 12 FILZEN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Case No.: 10-cv-8914-ODW-MAN 13 14 Plaintiffs, 15 ٧. 16 BABETTE E. HEIMBUCH, JAMES 17 P. GIRALDIN, GISSELLE 18 ACEVEDO, BRIAN E. ARGRETT. JESSE CASSO, JR., CHRISTOPHER ) 19 M. HARDING, WILLIAM P. 20 RUTLEDGE, STEVEN L. SOBOROFF, ADMINISTRATIVE 21 COMMITTEE OF THE FIRST 22 FEDERAL BANK OF CALIFORNIA) EMPLOYEE STOCK OWNERSHIP 23 PLAN and DOES 1-10, 24 Defendants. 25 26 ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT 27 28 - 1 -ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT

1 This Action came on for hearing on to determine the 2 fairness of the proposed settlement (the "Settlement") presented to the Court on 3 [date] and the subject of this Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Preliminarily Certifying a Class for Settlement Purposes, 5 Approving Form and Manner of Class Notice, and Setting Date for Hearing on Final 6 Approval of Settlement (Court File No. ). The issues having been duly heard and a 7 decision having been duly reached, 8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED: 9 Except as otherwise defined herein, all capitalized and/or italicized terms used in this Order and Final Judgment shall have the same meanings as ascribed to them in 10 П the Settlement Agreement between Named Plaintiffs and Defendants. 12 1. The District Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action 13 and over all parties to the Action, including all members of the Settlement Class. 14 For the sole purpose of settling and resolving the Action, the District 2. 15 Court certifies this action as a Class Action under FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(1). The Settlement Class is defined as: 16 17 All Persons, excluding the Defendants and their Immediate Family Members, who were participants in or beneficiaries 18 (including alternate payees) of the Plan at any time 19 between January 26, 2007 and August 5, 2011 and whose accounts included investment in Company Stock at any 20 point during that time period. 21 3. Thomas Young and Gregory Filzen (the "Named Plaintiffs") are 22 appointed as class representatives, Kessler Topaz Meltzer & Check, LLP, is appointed 23 as Class Counsel and Rosman & Germain LLP is appointed as Liaison Counsel 24 pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 23(g). 25 The Court finds for the sole purpose of settling and resolving the Action 26 that:

27

- (a) The numerosity requirement of FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(1) is satisfied because the *Settlement Class* is so numerous that it is impractical to bring all *Settlement Class* members before the *District Court* individually.
- (b) The commonality requirement of FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(2) is satisfied because the allegations of the Settlement Class present common questions of law or fact, including:
- (i) Whether the *Defendants* were fiduciaries and breached fiduciary obligations to the *Plan* and participants by causing the *Plan* to offer *Company Stock* as an investment option for the *Plan*;
- (ii) Whether the *Defendants* breached fiduciary obligations to the *Plan* and its participants by providing incomplete and inaccurate information to participants regarding the propriety of investing in *Company Stock*;
- (iii) Whether certain *Defendants* breached fiduciary obligations to the *Plan* and its participants by failing to monitor other *Defendants*; and
- (iv) Whether the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty by the *Defendants* caused the *Plan* and its participants and beneficiaries to suffer losses.
- because the claims of the Named Plaintiffs arise from the same alleged course of conduct that gives rise to the claims of the Settlement Class, and the Named Plaintiffs' claims are based on the same legal theory as those of the Settlement Class. The Named Plaintiffs allege that they were Plan participants during the Class Period with a Plan accounts that included investments in Company Stock, that the Plan's fiduciaries treated them and all other Plan participants alike, and that Plan-wide relief is necessary and appropriate under ERISA. Under these circumstances, for purposes of the Settlement only, and subject to the foregoing, the claims asserted by the Named Plaintiffs are sufficiently typical of the claims asserted by the Settlement Class as a whole to satisfy FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(3).

2 3 4

- (d) The adequacy requirement of FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(4) is satisfied. For the purposes of this Settlement, the District Court finds that the Named Plaintiffs have no conflicting interests with absent members of the Settlement Class. Further, the District Court is satisfied that Class Counsel are qualified, experienced, and are further prepared to represent the Settlement Class to the best of their abilities.
- (e) The requirements of FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(1) are also satisfied. Given the *Plan*-representative nature of *Named Plaintiffs'* breach of fiduciary duty claims, there is a risk that failure to certify the *Settlement Class* would leave future plaintiffs without relief and there is also a risk of inconsistent dispositions that might prejudice the *Defendants*. This case is appropriate for class certification, for the purposes of this *Settlement*, under FED. R. CIV. P. 23 (b)(1).
- (f) The District Court has also considered each of the elements required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(g) in order to ensure that Class Counsel will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Settlement Class. Class Counsel have done the work necessary to identify or investigate potential claims in the Action, to investigate the allegations made in the Complaint, including, reviewing publicly available information, reviewing documents and materials uncovered in their investigation, and representing the interests of the Settlement Class during the litigation. Class Counsel have substantial experience in handling class actions and claims of the type asserted in this Action. Class Counsel have also demonstrated extensive knowledge of the applicable law. The District Court concludes that Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented the interests of the Settlement Class.
- (g) The Settlement Class has received proper and adequate notice of the Settlement Agreement, the Fairness Hearing, Class Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and expenses and for Case Contribution Awards to the Named Plaintiffs, and the Plan of Allocation, such notice having been given in accordance with the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement,

9

12 13

14 15

16

17

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

1 Preliminarily Certifying a Class for Settlement Purposes, Approving Form and Manner of Class Notice, and Setting Date for Hearing on Final Approval of Settlement (Court File No. \_\_\_). Such notice included individual notice to all members of the Settlement Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts. as well as notice through a dedicated website on the internet, and provided valid, due, and sufficient notice of these proceedings and of the matters set forth in this Order, and included sufficient information regarding the procedure for the making of objections. Such notice fully satisfied the requirements of FED. R. CIV. P. 23 and the requirements of due process.

- 5. The Court hereby approves the Settlement Agreement and orders that the Settlement Agreement shall be consummated and implemented in accordance with its terms and conditions.
- 6. Pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 23(e), the Court finds that the Settlement embodied in the Settlement Agreement is a fair, reasonable, and adequate settlement and compromise of the claims asserted in this Action. Specifically, the Court finds that:
- (a) The Settlement was negotiated vigorously and at arm's-length by counsel for the Defendants, on the one hand, and the Named Plaintiffs and Class Counsel on behalf of the Settlement Class, on the other hand;
- This Action settled after Defendants had answered the operative complaint in this matter;
- Named Plaintiffs and Defendants had sufficient information to evaluate the settlement value of the Action;
- (d) If the Settlement had not been achieved, the Parties faced the expense, risk, and uncertainty of extended litigation;
- The amount of the Settlement eight hundred thousand dollars (\$800,000) – is fair, reasonable, and adequate;

- (f) At all times, the Named Plaintiffs acted independently of Defendants and in the interest of the Settlement Class; and
- (g) The Court has duly considered and rejected any objections to the Settlement that were filed.
- 7. The *Plan of Allocation* is approved as a fair and reasonable plan to restore losses to *Settlement Class* members on account of investments in *Company Stock* during the *Class Period*, and *Class Counsel* and the designated *Settlement Administrator* are directed to administer the *Plan of Allocation* in accordance with its terms and provisions.
- 8. The *Action* is hereby dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear his, her, or its own costs, except as expressly provided herein.
- 9. Upon the Effective Date, the following claims are released by operation of this Order and Final Judgment, as set forth in Section 3 of the Settlement Agreement:
- (a) Named Plaintiffs' the Settlement Class', and the Plan's Releases. The Named Plaintiffs, The Settlement Class, and the Plan shall and hereby do conclusively, absolutely, unconditionally, irrevocably, and forever release and discharge the Plaintiffs' Released Persons from any and all Plaintiffs' Released Claims. The Settlement Agreement defines Plaintiffs' Released Claims as "any and all Claims of any nature whatsoever, whether individual, representative, or derivative, known or unknown, accrued or unaccrued (including Unknown Claims and Claims for any and all losses, damages, unjust enrichment, attorney's fees, litigation costs, injunction, declaration, contribution, indemnification or any other type of legal or equitable relief whether known, unknown, unsuspected), by or on behalf of the Plan, the Named Plaintiffs, and each and every member of the Settlement Class, including their respective heirs, beneficiaries, executors, administrators, past and present partners, agents, attorneys, Successors, and assigns, which are based upon, arise out

of, relate in any way to, directly or indirectly, the alleged conduct, omissions, breaches, duties, actions, transactions, occurrences, statements, representations, misrepresentations, omissions, allegations, facts, events, or any other matters that were, could have been, or in the future can or might be alleged or asserted: (a) in the *Action* and (b) under *ERISA* based on or relating to investment in *FirstFedStock* by or through the *Plan* during the *Class Period*."

(b) <u>Defendants'</u> Releases. Defendants shall and hereby do conclusively, absolutely, unconditionally, irrevocably, and forever release and discharge the <u>Defendants'</u> Released Persons from any and all <u>Defendants'</u> Released Claims. The <u>Settlement Agreement</u> defines <u>Defendants'</u> Released Claims as "any and all <u>Claims</u> relating to the institution or prosecution of the <u>Action</u> or relating to the settlement of any of <u>Plaintiffs'</u> Released Claims."

## (c) Scope of Releases.

- (i) Nothing in the Settlement Agreement shall release or discharge any Claim that (a) has been or could be asserted directly or derivatively by any member of the Settlement Class or the Plan under the federal securities laws or the securities laws of any state regarding the purchase or sale of any FirstFed security or debt instrument.
- (ii) The release and discharge set forth in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of the Settlement Agreement shall not include the release of any rights or duties of the Parties arising out of the Settlement Agreement, including the express warranties and covenants contained therein.
- (iii) Named Plaintiff, on her own behalf and on behalf of all members of the Settlement Class and the Plan, and Defendants, hereby expressly waive any and all rights and benefits respectively conferred upon her and them by the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code and all similar provisions of

the statutory or common laws of any other state, territory, or other jurisdiction. Section 1542 reads in pertinent part:

A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

Named Plaintiffs, on their own behalf and on behalf of all members of the Settlement Class and the Plan, and Defendants each hereby acknowledge that the foregoing waiver of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code and all similar provisions of the statutory or common law of any other state, territory, or other jurisdiction was bargained for.

## (d) Covenants Not to Sue.

- (i) From and after the Effective Date, Named Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class are, without limitation, precluded, estopped, and forever barred from bringing or prosecuting any Claim, individual or derivative, released under Paragraph 9(a) above against any of Plaintiffs' Released Persons or the Plan. The foregoing covenant and agreement shall be a complete defense to any such Claims against any of Plaintiffs' Released Persons or the Plan.
- (ii) From and after the Effective Date, Defendants are, without limitation, precluded, estopped, and forever barred from bringing or prosecuting any Claim, individual or derivative, released under Paragraph 9(b) above against any of Defendants' Released Persons, the Plan, or any Defendant.
- 10. The District Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction to resolve any disputes or challenges that may arise as to the performance of the Settlement Agreement or any challenges as to the performance, validity, interpretation, administration, enforcement, or enforceability of the Class Notice, this Final Judgment, or the Settlement Agreement or the termination of the Settlement

13 14 15 17 18 19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

20

21

Agreement. The District Court shall also retain exclusive jurisdiction and rule by separate Order with respect to all applications for awards of attorneys' fees and payment of Case Contribution Awards to the Named Plaintiffs, and reimbursements of expenses, submitted pursuant to the Settlement Agreement.

In the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated in accordance with its terms, this Final Judgment shall be rendered null and void, ab initio, and shall be vacated nunc pro tunc, and this Action shall for all purposes with respect to the Parties revert to its status as of the day immediately before the Agreement Execution Date. The Parties shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity to negotiate a new case management schedule.

12. The District Court recognizes that Defendants have denied and continue to deny the claims of the Named Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class. Neither the Settlement Agreement, this Order and Final Judgment, any act performed or document executed in furtherance of the Settlement, nor the fact of the Settlement shall be used be used or construed as an admission, concession, or declaration of the Defendants, or any other person, of any finding of fiduciary status, fault, omission, mistake, or liability, nor shall be offered as evidence of any liability in this Action or any other proceeding.

HON. OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

-9-