

1 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
ROBERT A. VAN NEST - #84065
2 rvannest@kvn.com
R. JAMES SLAUGHTER - #192813
3 rslaughter@kvn.com
MICHAEL S. KWUN - #198945
4 mkwun@kvn.com
ANDREW F. DAWSON - #264421
5 adawson@kvn.com
710 Sansome Street
6 San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
T: 415-391-5400/F: 415-397-7188

7 JONATHAN BAND - #122369
8 jband@policybandwidth.com
21 Dupont Circle NW, 8th Floor
9 Washington, DC 20036
T: 202-296-5675/F: 202-872-0884

CHARLES F. ROBINSON - #113197
charles.robinson@ucop.edu
KAREN J. PETRULAKIS - #168732
karen.petrulakis@ucop.edu
ERIC K. BEHRENS - #79440
eric.behrens@ucop.edu
University of California
Office of the General Counsel
1111 Franklin Street, 8th Floor
Oakland, CA 94607-5200
T: 510-987-9800/F: 510-987-9757

13 Attorneys for Defendants

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION

16 ASSOCIATION FOR INFORMATION
17 MEDIA AND EQUIPMENT, an
18 Illinois nonprofit membership
19 organization; and AMBROSE VIDEO
PUBLISHING, INC., a New York
corporation,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 THE REGENTS OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, a
California corporation; MARK G.
YUDOF, an individual; DR. GENE
23 BLOCK, CHANCELLOR OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,
24 LOS ANGELES, an individual; DR.
SHARON FARB, an individual;
25 LARRY LOEHER, an individual;
PATRICIA O'DONNELL, an
26 individual; and John Does 1-50,

27 Defendants.

Case No. 10-cv-09378 CBM (MANx)

**JOINT STIPULATION
REGARDING DEFENDANTS'
TIME TO RESPOND TO
AMENDED COMPLAINT AND
SUBSEQUENT BRIEFING
SCHEDULE**

Dept: Courtroom 2
Judge: Hon. Consuelo B. Marshall

Date Comp. Filed: December 7, 2010

Trial Date: Not Yet Set

1 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed the original Complaint in this action on
2 December 7, 2010; and

3 WHEREAS, Defendants moved to dismiss the Complaint on January 24,
4 2011; and

5 WHEREAS, rather than oppose Defendants' motion to dismiss, Plaintiffs
6 filed an Amended Complaint on February 14, 2011; and

7 WHEREAS, the Amended Complaint adds four new defendants who were
8 not named in the initial complaint; and

9 WHEREAS, the Amended Complaint contains new factual allegations and
10 pursues three causes of action not previously sued upon; and

11 WHEREAS, the new Defendants have not yet been served with the
12 Amended Complaint, but the parties expect service to be completed shortly; and

13 WHEREAS, the parties enter into this stipulation for the purposes of
14 establishing a single response date to the Amended Complaint for all Defendants
15 and further to establish a briefing schedule on Defendants' anticipated motion to
16 dismiss the Amended Complaint;

17 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that
18 the deadline for Defendants' response to the Amended Complaint and the briefing
19 schedule for any motion to dismiss be as follows:

20 1. Defendants' response to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint will be
21 due March 14, 2011.

22 2. In the event that Defendants choose to file a motion to dismiss,
23 Plaintiffs' opposition brief will be due April 4, 2011.

24 3. Defendants' reply brief will be due April 18, 2011.

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

4. The hearing on any such motion to dismiss will take place on Monday, May 2, 2011, at 11:00 A.M.

Dated: February 22, 2011

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

By: 

R. JAMES SLAUGHTER
Attorneys for Defendants
THE REGENTS OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, a
California corporation; DR. GENE
BLOCK, CHANCELLOR OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,
LOS ANGELES, an individual,

Dated: February 22, 2011

LUTZKER & LUTZKER LLP

By: 

ARNOLD P. LUTZKER
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
ASSOCIATION FOR
INFORMATION, MEDIA, AND
EQUIPMENT, and AMBROSE
VIDEO PUBLISHING, INC.