1	BRYAN CAVE LLP	
2	Jonathan Pink (California Bar No. 179685) 3161 Michelson Drive, Suite 1500	
3	Irvine, California 92612-4414 Telephone: (949) 223-7000	
4	Facsimile: (949) 223-7100 E-mail: jonathan.pink@bryancave.cor	<u>n</u>
5	BRYAN CAVE LLP	
6	Kara E. F. Cenar (<i>Pro Hac Vice Pending</i>) Mariangela M. Seale (<i>Pro Hac Vice Pending</i>)	ng)
7	161 North Clark Street, Suite 4300 Chicago, Illinois 60601-3315	
8	Telephone: (312) 602-5000 Facsimile: (312) 602-5050	
9	E-mail: <u>kara.cenar@bryancave.com</u> <u>merili.seale@bryancave.com</u>	
10		(1 WHI ADAMO
11	Attorneys for Defendants WILL ADAMS (p/k/a will.i.am, individually and d/b/a WIL ALLAN PINEDA (sued as ALLAN PINEDA)	(sued as WILL ADAMS, L.I AM MUSIC PUBLISHING);
12	and d/b/a JEEPNEY MUSIC PUBLISHING	G, an individual); JAIME GOMEZ
13	NETWORKS PUBLISHING, an individua	il); STACY FERGUSON (sued as
14	and d/b/a JEEPNEY MUSIC PUBLISHING (sued as JAIME GOMEZ, p/k/a Taboo, income NETWORKS PUBLISHING, an individual STACY FERGUSON, p/k/a Fergie); WILI LANE MUSIC PUBLISHING COMPANY GEORGE PAJON, JR.; EMI BLACKWOO	L.I.AM MUSIC, INC.; CHERRY Y, INC.; TAB MAGNETIC, INC.;
15	GEORGE PAJON, JR.; EMI BLACKWOO	OD MUSIC, INC.
16	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
17	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIF	FORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION
18		
19	GEORGE CLINTON, an individual,	Case No. CV10-9476 ODW (PLAx)
20	Plaintiff,	Hon Otic D. Wright II
21	V.	Hon. Otis D. Wright, II Courtroom 11
22	WILL ADAMS, p/k/a will.i.am,	ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE
23	individually and d/b/a WILL.I AM MUSIC PUBLISHING; ALLAN	DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT GEORGE PAJON, JR. AND EL
24	PINEDA, p/k/a apl.de.ap, individually and d/b/a JEEPNEY MUSIC	CUBANO MUSIC, INC.; JURY DEMAND
25	PUBLISHING, an individual; JAIME GOMEZ, p/k/a Taboo, individually and	
26	d/b/a NAWASHA NETWORKS PUBLISHING, an individual;STACY	Complaint Filed: December 10, 2010 Trial Date: Not Assigned
27	FERGUSON, p/k/a Fergie, an individual; GEORGE PAJON, JR., an individual;	
28	JOHN CURTIS, an individual;	

	1 2 3 4 5 6	UNIVERSAL MUSIC GROUP, INC., a Delaware corporation; UMG RECORDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation; and WILL I AM MUSIC, INC., a California corporation; CHERRY LANE MUSIC PUBLISHING COMPANY, INC., a New York corporation; EL CUBANO MUSIC, INC., a California corporation; EMI BLACKWOOD MUSIC, INC., a Connecticut corporation; TAB MAGNETIC, INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,
	8	Defendants.
	9	
1500	10	
LLP E, SUITE 15C 92612-4414	11	
	12	
BRYAN CAVE LI 61 MICHELSON DRIVE, RVINE, CALIFORNIA 92	13	
BRYAN CHELSON , CALIFOI	14	
61 RVI	15	
Е _	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

IR01DOCS478670.2

Defendant George Pajon, Jr. and El Cubano Music, Inc. (collectively, "Defendant Pajon") presents the following Answer to the Complaint of Plaintiff George Clinton ("Complaint"):

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Answering Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits that Plaintiff is asking for certain relief, but denies that Plaintiff is entitled to such relief. Further, Defendant Pajon admits the accuracy of the release dates set forth in Paragraph 1. As the remaining allegations of Paragraph 1, Defendant Pajon denies all such allegations.
- 2. Answering Paragraph 2 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 2, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 3. Answering Paragraph 3 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits that Plaintiff is asking for certain relief, but denies that Plaintiff is entitled to such relief, and further denies the remaining allegations of the paragraph.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Answering Paragraph 4 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits, on information and belief, that this action appears to rise under the Copyright Act and that this Court would appear to have subject matter jurisdiction. Defendant Pajon denies that the Complaint states any claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 5 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits that he may be found in this district. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny them, and on that basis, denies such allegations.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 6 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits that he resides in Los Angeles County, but as to the remaining allegations of Paragraph 6, he lacks sufficient information to admit or deny them, and on that basis, denies each and every such remaining allegation.

- 7. Answering Paragraph 7 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 7, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 8. Answering Paragraph 8 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits that El Cubano Music, Inc. is subject to jurisdiction by this court. Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 8, and on that basis denies each and every such remaining allegation.

PARTIES

- 9. Answering Paragraph 9 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 9, and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 10. Answering Paragraph 10 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 10, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 11. Answering Paragraph 11 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 12. Answering Paragraph 12 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 13. Answering Paragraph 13 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 13, and on that basis, denies each and every such allegation.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 14 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits that he is a resident of California and is credited as a songwriter on "Shut Up Remix" and "Shut the Phunk Up Remix." Except as otherwise admitted in this Answer, Defendant Pajon is without sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 14, and on that basis denies such allegations.

- 15. Answering Paragraph 15 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 16. Answering Paragraph 16 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 16, and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 17, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 18, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 19. Answering Paragraph 19 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 19, and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 20. Answering Paragraph 20 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 20, and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 21. Answering Paragraph 21 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits that Cherry Lane Music Publishing, Inc. is a music publisher, but denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph.
- 22. Answering Paragraph 22 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits that El Cubano Music, Inc. is a California corporation and its current status is suspended. Defendant Pajon also admits that El Cubano Music, Inc. is a publisher the musical compositions of various songs performed by the Black Eyed Peas. Defendant Pajon denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 22.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits that it is a Connecticut corporation, and is a publisher of the musical compositions

- 24. Answering Paragraph 24 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 24, and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 25. Answering Paragraph 25 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 25, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 26. Answering Paragraph 26 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 27. Answering Paragraph 27 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.

SAMPLING "(NOT JUST) KNEE DEEP"

- 28. Answering Paragraph 28 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 29. Answering Paragraph 29 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 30. Answering Paragraph 30 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 31. Answering Paragraph 31 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 32. Answering Paragraph 32 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 32, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.

- 33. Answering Paragraph 33 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 33, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 34. Answering Paragraph 34 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 34, and on that basis, denies each and every such allegation.
- 35. Answering Paragraph 35 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 35, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 36. Answering Paragraph 36 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of Paragraph 36, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 37. Answering Paragraph 37 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 38. Answering Paragraph 38 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.

RELEASE OF SHUT UP REMIX

- 39. Answering Paragraph 39 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits The Black Eyed Peas released the album *Elephunk* in 2003. Except as admitted herein, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis, denies the same.
- 40. Answering Paragraph 40 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 41. Answering Paragraph 41 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis, denies the same.

- 42. Answering Paragraph 42 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny these allegations, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 43. Answering Paragraph 43 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis, denies the same.
- 44. Answering Paragraph 44 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis, denies the same.
- 45. Answering Paragraph 45 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis, denies the same.
- 46. Answering Paragraph 46 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis, denies the same.
- 47. Answering Paragraph 47 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis, denies the same.
- 48. Answering Paragraph 48 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph, and on that basis denies the same.

RELEASE OF "SHUT THE PHUNK UP REMIX"

- 49. Answering Paragraph 49 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 49, and on that basis, denies each and every allegation.
- 50. Answering Paragraph 50 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 50 of the Complaint, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.

BRYAN CAVE LLP 3161 MICHELSON DRIVE, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612-4414

- 51. Answering Paragraph 51 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits that The Black Eyed Peas album, "The E.N.D." was released on or about June 3, 2009, and that the deluxe edition of the album contains a track entitled "Shut the Funk Up." Defendant Pajon denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph.
- 52. Answering Paragraph 52 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 53. Answering Paragraph 53 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 54. Answering Paragraph 54 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis, denies the same.
- 55. Answering Paragraph 55 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 55, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 56. Answering Paragraph 56 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph, and on that basis, denies the same.
- 57. Answering Paragraph 57 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 57, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 58. Answering Paragraph 58 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 58, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 59. Answering Paragraph 59 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 59, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 60. Answering Paragraph 60 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 60,

and on that basis, denies each and every such allegation

- 61. Answering Paragraph 61 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 61, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 62. Answering Paragraph 62 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 62, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.

LEGAL REQUIREMENTS OF SAMPLING

- 63. Answering Paragraph 63 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.
- 64. Answering Paragraph 64 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in this paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation.

LIABILITY OF EACH DEFENDANT AND DAMAGES TO PLAINTIFF

- 65. Answering Paragraph 65 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 66. Answering Paragraph 66 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 67. Answering Paragraph 67 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits that exploitations of sound recordings that embody performances by The Black Eyed Peas generate income, and further, admits that "(Not Just) Knee Deep" was sampled pursuant to an express, written license agreement ostensibly executed by Plaintiff or his authorized representatives.
- 68. Answering Paragraph 68 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 68, and on that basis, denies each and every such allegation.
 - 69. Answering Paragraph 69 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

allegations in this paragraph.

- 70. Answering Paragraph 70 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 71. Answering Paragraph 71 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 72. Answering Paragraph 72 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Copyright infringement (sound recording) relating to "(Not Just) Knee Deep";

against all named defendants and DOES 1 through 10)

- 73. Answering Paragraph 73 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon incorporates his answers and responses to Paragraphs 1-72 above, as if fully restated herein.
- 74. Answering Paragraph 74 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 75. Answering Paragraph 75 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 76. Answering Paragraph 76 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 77. Answering Paragraph 77 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 78. Answering Paragraph 78 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 79. Answering Paragraph 79 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 80. Answering Paragraph 80 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

81. Answering Paragraph 81 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph. Answering Paragraph 82 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the 82. allegations in this paragraph. Answering Paragraph 83 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the 83. allegations in this paragraph. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Declaratory Judgment; against all named defendants and DOES 1 through 10) Answering Paragraph 84 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon 84. incorporates his answers and responses to Paragraphs 1-83 above, as if fully restated herein. 85. Answering Paragraph 85 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 85, and on that basis denies each and every such allegation. Answering Paragraph 86 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits 86. that, upon information and belief, Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief, but denies that he is entitled to such relief. As to the remaining allegations of Paragraph 86, Defendant denies each and every such allegation. 87. Answering Paragraph 87 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits that, upon information and belief, Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief, but denies that he is entitled to such relief. As to the remaining allegations of Paragraph 87, Defendant denies each and every such allegation. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Permanent injunction; (against all named defendants and DOES 1 through 10) 88. Answering Paragraph 88 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon

incorporates his answers and responses to Paragraphs 1-87 above, as if fully restated

herein.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

- 89. Answering Paragraph 89 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 90. Answering Paragraph 90 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 91. Answering Paragraph 91 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon admits that, upon information and belief, Plaintiff seeks an injunction, but denies that Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction of any sort, and further, notes that an injunction is a species of relief, not a discrete claim for relief.
- 92. Plaintiff's Complaint does not include a Pararaph 92, and therefore, no response is given for that numbered paragraph.
- 93. Plaintiff's Complaint does not include a Pararaph 93, and therefore, no response is given for that numbered paragraph.

NATURE OF LIABILITY

94. Answering Paragraph 94 of the Complaint, Defendant Pajon denies the allegations of this paragraph.

GENERAL RESPONSE

Answering generally to all paragraphs of the Complaint, unless specifically and expressly admitted, all allegations are denied.

Answering generally to Plaintiff's Prayer for Relief, Defendant Pajon denies that the Complaint states a claim upon which relief should be granted, and Defendant Pajon denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested. Defendant Pajon respectfully requests that the Complaint be dismissed with prejudice, and that Plaintiff's request for relief be denied, and for an award of attorneys' fees and costs.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Claim)

1. The Complaint and all claims for relief alleged therein fail to state a

1	claim upon which relief can be granted.		
2	SECOND DEFENSE		
3	(License)		
4	2.	Plaintiff's claims and the relief requested are barred based on the	
5	existence of a valid license that authorized each of the Defendants to engage in the		
6	allegedly infringing conduct.		
7		THIRD DEFENSE	
8		(Statute of Limitations)	
9	3.	The Complaint is barred by the applicable statute of limitations.	
10		FOURTH DEFENSE	
11		(Consent)	
12	4.	Plaintiffs claims and the relief requested because he consented to	
13	allegedly wrongful conduct stated in the Complaint.		
14		FIFTH DEFENSE	
15		(Waiver)	
16	5.	Plaintiff's claims and relief requested are barred by the equitable	
17	doctrine of waiver.		
18		SIXTH DEFENSE	
19		(Acquiescence)	
20	6.	Plaintiff's claims and relief requested are barred by the equitable	
21	doctrine of acquiescence.		
22		SEVENTH DEFENSE	
23		(Estoppel)	
24	7.	Plaintiff's claims and relief requested are barred by the equitable	
25	doctrine of estoppel.		
26		EIGHTH DEFENSE	
27		(Laches)	
28	8.	This Complaint is barred in whole or in part by the equitable doctrine	

of laches. NINTH DEFENSE 2 (Unclean Hands) 3 9. Plaintiff's claims and requested relief are barred by the equitable 4 doctrine of unclean hands. 6 **RESERVATION OF RIGHTS** 7 Defendant Pajon reserves the right, upon completion of his investigation and 8 discovery, to advance such additional defenses and/or counterclaims as they may be appropriate. 10 WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant 11 Pajon prays for judgment against Plaintiff and awarding Defendant Pajon his costs, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, together with such other and further relief as the 13 Court may deem proper. 14 15 Respectfully submitted, 16 BRYAN CAVE LLP Dated: March 4, 2011 17 Jonathan S. Pink Kara E. F. Cenar 18 Mariangela M. Seale 19 By: /s/ Jonathan S. Pink 20 Jonathan S. Pink Attorneys for Defendants 21 WILL ÁDAMS; ALLAN PINEDA; JAIME GOMEZ; STACY FERGUSON; 22 WILL.I.AM MUSIC, INC.; CHERRY LANE MUSIC PUBLISHING COMPANY, 23 INC.; TAB MAGNETIC, INC.; GEORGE PAJON, JR.; EMI BLACKWOOD MUSIC, 24 INC. 25 26 27 28

JURY TRIAL DEMAND Defendant Pajon hereby demands a jury trial. Respectfully submitted, **BRYAN CAVE LLP** Dated: March 4, 2011 Jonathan S. Pink Kara E. F. Cenar Mariangela M. Seale By: <u>/s/Jonathan S. Pink</u> Jonathan S. Pink Attorneys for Defendants
WILL ADAMS; ALLAN PINEDA; JAIME
GOMEZ; STACY FERGUSON;
WILL.I.AM MUSIC, INC.; CHERRY
LANE MUSIC PUBLISHING COMPANY, 3161 MICHELSON DRIVE, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612-4414 INC.; TAB MAGNETIC, INC.; GEORGE PAJON, JR.; EMI BLACKWOOD MUSIC, INC.