1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	GEORGE CLINTON,) Case No. CV 10-9476 ODW(PLAx)	
12) SCHEDULING MEETING OF	
13	Plaintiff(s),) COUNSEL) [FRCP 16, 26(f)]	
14	V.) SCHEDULING CONFERENCE	
15	WILL ADAMS, et al.,) set for April 25, 2011 at 1:30 p.m.) [FRCP 26(f)]	
16	Defendant(s).)	
17			
18			
19			
20	This case has been assigned to the calendar of United States District Judge		
21	Otis D. Wright II. The responsibility for the progress of litigation in the federal		
22	courts falls not only upon the attorneys in the action, but upon the court as well.		
23	In order "to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every		
24	action," (Fed. R. Civ. P. 1), all counsel are hereby ordered to familiarize		
25	themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the		
26	Central District of California.		
27	Counsel please note the changes made to former Local Rule 6, now		
28	superseded by Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 and 26(f), effective December 1, 2000. A		

1	Scheduling Conference is set for the date and time set forth in the caption. ¹		
2	Counsel shall meet at least twenty-one (21) days in advance of the Scheduling		
3	Conference to prepare a jointly signed report for the court to be submitted no less		
4	than fourteen (14) days before the Scheduling Conference. The report is to contain		
5	the items set forth below. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c), the parties shall be		
6	represented by counsel with authority to enter into stipulations regarding all		
7	matters pertaining to conduct of the case.		
8	The joint report to be submitted shall contain the items listed in Fed. R. Civ.		
9	P. 26(f), the parties' recommendations and agreements, if any, about the final		
10	scheduling order as listed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(1) through (6), and those items		
11	listed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c) which counsel believe will be useful to discuss at the		
12	Scheduling Conference. Items which must be listed are the following:		
13 14	 a listing and proposed schedule of written discovery, depositions, and a proposed discovery cut-off date; 		
15 16	 a listing and proposed schedule of law and motion matters, and a proposed dispositive motion cut-off date; 		
17	(3) a statement of what efforts have been made		
18	to settle or resolve the case to date and what		
19	settlement procedure is recommended pursuant to Local Rule 16-15.4 (specifically excluding any statement of the terms		
20	discussed);		
21	 (4) an estimated length of trial and a proposed date for the Final Pretrial Conference and for Trial; 		
22	(5) a discussion of other parties likely to be		
23			
24	¹ Unless there is a likelihood that upon motion by a party the Court would order that any		
25	or all discovery is premature, it is advisable for counsel to begin to conduct discovery actively		

 ¹ Unless there is a likelihood that upon motion by a party the Court would order that any or all discovery is premature, it is advisable for counsel to begin to conduct discovery actively before the Scheduling Conference required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b). At the very least, the parties shall comply fully with the letter and spirit of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) and thereby obtain and produce most of what would be produced in the early stage of discovery, because at the Scheduling Conference the Court will impose tight deadlines to complete discovery.

1		added;	
2	(6)	whether trial will be by jury or to the court;	
3	(7)	any other issues affecting the status or management of the case; and	
4 5	(8)	proposals regarding severance, bifurcation or other ordering of proof.	
6	In addition, the Scheduling Conference Report shall contain the following:		
7	(1)	a short synopsis of the principal issues in the case;	
8 9	(2)	a statement of whether pleadings are likely to be amended;	
10	(3)	a statement as to issues which any party believes may be determined by motion. ²	
11			
12	At the Scheduling Conference, the court will set a date for discovery cut-		
13	off, ³ a final date by which dispositive motions must be set for hearing, a Final		
14	Pretrial Conference date, and a trial date.		
15	A continuance of the Scheduling Conference will be granted only for good		
16	cause. (Counsel are informed that continuance of the Scheduling Conference		
17	causes commensurate delay in the trial date.) The failure to submit a joint report		
18			
19	² Where the Plaintiff's claim is predicated in whole or in part on denial of benefits under		
20	a plan regulated by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 29 U.S.C. § 1000 <i>et seq.</i> (ERISA), the parties shall address the following additional issues in their Joint Report:		
21	(1) <u>Standard of Review</u> : What standard of review is applicable? If the parties are in disagreement, they shall propose a schedule for early briefing and decision of this issue on		
22	Motion; and (2) <u>Pre-emption</u> : Is there any contention that any state-law claim asserted by		
23	Plaintiff is pre-empted by ERISA? If so, the parties shall propose a schedule for early briefing and decision of the issue on Motion.		
24	³ This is not the date by which discovery requests must be served; but the date by which		
25	all discovery is to be completed. Any motion challenging the adequacy of discovery responses must be filed timely, served and calendared sufficiently in advance of the discovery cutoff date		

- must be filed timely, served and calendared sufficiently in advance of the discovery cutoff date to permit the responses to be obtained before that date, if the motion is granted. The Court requires compliance with Local Rule 37-1 and 37-2 in the preparation and filing of discovery
- 27 motions. Except in the case of an extreme emergency which was not created by the lawyer bringing the motion, discovery motions may not be heard on an ex parte basis.
- 28

in advance of the Scheduling Conference or the failure to attend the 1 Scheduling Conference may result in the dismissal of the action, striking the 2 answer and entering a default, and/or the imposition of sanctions. 3 A settlement procedure appropriate to the particular case will be used in 4 every civil action pursuant to Local Rule 16-15.1. In the Scheduling Conference 5 Report, counsel are to recommend a specific settlement procedure provided for in 6 Local Rule 16-15 which will be utilized in this case. Available alternatives for 7 consideration, not to the exclusion of others, include: 8 a settlement conference before the (1)9 magistrate or district judge assigned to this case (Local Rule 16-15.4(1)); 10 appearance before an attorney selected from (2)11 the Attorney Settlement Officer Panel (Local Rule 16-15.4(2)); 12 (3) appearance before a retired judicial officer 13 or other private or non-profit dispute resolution body for non-judicial settlement 14 or mediation proceedings (Local Rule 16-15.4(3); 15 (4) such other settlement mechanism proposed 16 by the parties and approved by the court. 17 The report to the court as to the above items should be preceded by a 18 thorough and frank discussion among the attorneys for the parties. A Joint 19 Scheduling Report which does not comply with FRCP 16, 26(f) and this Order may 20 cause continuance of the Scheduling Conference and possible award of sanctions 21 under FRCP 16(f) against the party or parties responsible. A conformed courtesy 2.2 **copy** of the Joint Report shall be delivered to the courtesy box on the wall outside 23 the entrance to chambers on the Spring Street level of the U.S. Courthouse, 312 2.4 North Spring Street, by 3:00 p.m. the following business day. 25 Motions shall be filed in accordance with Local Rule 7; the next available 26 motion date can be found on the Court's motion calendar, which can be viewed on 27

4

the Court's website.⁴ This Court hears motions on Mondays, commencing at
1:30 p.m. No supplemental brief shall be filed without prior leave of Court.
Conformed courtesy copies of opposition and reply papers shall be delivered to
the courtesy box on the wall outside the entrance to chambers on the Spring Street
level of the U.S. Courthouse, 312 North Spring Street, by 4:00 p.m. on the date
due. Adherence to the timing requirements is mandatory for chambers' preparation
of motion matters.

Counsel should take note of the changes to the Local Rules affecting motion 8 practice in the Central District. Among other things, Local Rule 7-3 requires 9 counsel to engage in a pre-filing conference "to discuss thoroughly . . . the 10 substance of the contemplated motion and any potential resolution." Counsel 11 should discuss the issues sufficiently that if a motion is still necessary, the briefing 12 may be directed to those substantive issues requiring resolution by the Court. 13 Counsel should resolve minor procedural or other nonsubstantive matters during 14 the conference. 15

Memoranda of Points and Authorities in support of or in opposition to 16 motions shall not exceed 25 pages. Replies shall not exceed 12 pages. Only in 17 rare instances and for good cause shown will the Court grant an application to 18 extend these page limitations. Typeface shall comply with Local Rule 11-3.1.1. 19 NOTE: Times New Roman font must be used and the size must be no less than 20 14. Footnotes shall be in typeface no less than two sizes smaller than text size and 21 shall be used sparingly. Filings which do not conform to the Local Rules and this 2.2 Order will not be considered. 23

Each party filing or opposing a motion or seeking the determination of any matter shall serve and lodge a Proposed Order setting forth the relief or action

26

27

⁴ All law and motion matters, except for motions in limine, must be set for hearing (not filing) by the motion cutoff date.

sought and a brief statement of the rationale for the decision with appropriate
 citations.

Counsel are reminded ex parte applications are solely for extraordinary
relief. *See Mission Power Engineering Co. v. Continental Casualty Co.*, 883 F.
Supp. 488 (C.D. Cal. 1995).

6 Counsel for plaintiff shall immediately serve this Order on all parties,
7 including any new parties to the action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 DATED: March 7, 2011

i AU/ught

Otis D. Wright II United States District Judge