	•	
3	CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR, PC LINDA M. BURROW, State Bar No. 1946 burrow@caldwell-leslie.com ALISON MACKENZIE, State Bar No. 24 mackenzie@caldwell-leslie.com 1000 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 600 Los Angeles, California 90017-2463 Telephone: (213) 629-9040 Facsimile: (213) 629-9022	668
6 7 8	Attorneys for Defendants UMG RECORDINGS, INC. (a named defendant and erroneously sued as UNIVERSAL MUSIC GROUP, INC.)	t
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALI	IFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION
11	GEORGE CLINTON, an individual,	Case No. CV 10-9476 ODW (PLAx)
12	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT UMG RECORDINGS,
13	V.	INC.'S RESPONSE TO FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION
14	1	PROPOUNDED BY PLAINTIFF GEORGE CLINTON
15	WILL ADAMS, p/k/a will.i.am, individually and d/b/a WILL.I.AM MUSIC PUBLISHING, an individual,	Trial Date: None Set
16	et al.,	
17	Defendants.	
18		on on of DimoN
19	PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiff GEO	
20		MG RECORDINGS, INC.
21	SET NO.: One	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		nibit 9, page 57
LESLIE & PROCTOR	DEFENDANT UMG RECORDINGS, INC.'S RESPO	NSE TO FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION PROPOUNDED BY PLAINTIFF GEORGE CLINTON

1

2

8

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Each and every DOCUMENT which REFERS, RELATES, or REFLECTS directly or indirectly to sales and licensing figures (whether set forth in terms of volume, revenues, or otherwise), both domestic and international, of the songs "Shut Up Remix," "Shut the Phunk Up" and/or other USES OF "(NOT JUST) KNEE DEEP."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4

UMG incorporates its General Objections as if set forth fully herein. UMG further objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome and oppressive in seeking "each and every" document relating to the stated topic and regarding other uses of "(Not Just) Knee Deep." UMG further objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous, particularly as to the undefined term "figures." UMG construes this Request to seek DOCUMENTS sufficient to show UMG's revenues and costs relating to the exploitation of "Shut Up Remix" and "Shut the Phunk Up Remix." UMG further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that contain trade secrets or other confidential, sensitive or proprietary information. Such documents shall be produced only upon entry of a protective order governing the use and disclosure of such documents.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections, UMG responds as follows: Upon entry of an appropriate protective order, UMG will produce responsive, non-privileged documents, if any, in its possession, custody or control sufficient to show UMG's revenues and costs relating to the exploitation of "Shut Up Remix" and "Shut the Phunk Up Remix."

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5

Each and every DOCUMENT which REFERS, RELATES, or REFLECTS any and all revenue received by YOU or on YOUR behalf, directly or indirectly from sales, performances, and licensing, both domestic and international, of the

28

Exhibit 9, page 58

songs "Shut Up Remix," "Shut the Phunk Up" and/or other USES OF "(NOT JUST) KNEE DEEP."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5 UMG incorporates its General Objections as if set forth fully herein. UMG

further objects to this Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome and oppressive

in seeking "each and every" document relating to the stated topic and regarding

other uses of "(Not Just) Knee Deep." UMG further objects to this Request to the

proprietary information. Such documents shall be produced only upon entry of a

objects to this Request as duplicative of other Requests in this set.

extent it seeks documents that contain trade secrets or other confidential, sensitive or

protective order governing the use and disclosure of such documents. UMG further

UMG responds as follows: Upon entry of an appropriate protective order, UMG will

or control sufficient to show UMG's revenues relating to the exploitation of "Shut

any and all revenue received by YOU, or on YOUR behalf, directly or indirectly,

from sales, performances, and licensing, both domestic and international, of the

songs "Shut Up Remix," "Shut the Phunk Up" and/or other USES OF "(NOT JUST)

This Request is identical to Request No. 5. See UMG's Response to Request

Each and every DOCUMENT which REFERS, RELATES, or REFLECTS

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing general and specific objections,

4

11

12 13

produce responsive, non-privileged documents, if any, in their possession, custody

16

17

18

20

21

22

KNEE DEEP."

23

24

25

No. 5.

26

27

28

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7 If YOU claim deductible expenses related to USES OF "(NOT JUST) KNEE DEEP," each and every DOCUMENT which REFERS, RELATES, or REFLECTS

CALDWELL LESLIE &

DEFENDANT UMG RECORDINGS, INC.'S RESPONSE TO FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6

Up Remix" and "Shut the Phunk Up Remix."

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6

1	UMG responds as follows: See UM	IG's Response to Request No. 1.
2		
3	DATED: August 8, 2011	CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR, PC LINDA M. BURROW
4		ALISON MACKENZIE
5		
6		m_0
7		ALISON MACKENZIE
8		Attorneys for Defendants UMG
9		RECORDINGS, INC. (a named defendant and erroneously sued as UNIVERSAL MUSIC
10		GROUP, INC.)
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 CALDWELL		-14-
LESLIE & PROCTOR	Exhibit 9, page 6	DEFENDANT UMG RECORDINGS, INC.'S RESPONSE TO

Exhibit 9, page 60

-14-DEFENDANT UMG RECORDINGS, INC.'S RESPONSE TO FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

1	ALLEN B. GRODSKY (SBN 111064) GRODSKY & OLECKI LLP	
2	2001 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 210 Santa Monica, California 90403	
3	310.315.3009 (phone)	
4	310.315.1557 (fax) allen@grodsky-olecki.com (e-mail)	
5	Attorneys for Defendants	
6	WILL ADAMS, et al.	
7		
8	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	GEORGE CLINTON, an individual,)	Case No. CV 10-9476 ODW (PLAx)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	Honorable Otis D. Wright II, Ctrm 11
14	WILL ADAMS, p/k/a will.i.am,	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR
15	individually and d/b/a WILL.I.AM MUSIC) PUBLISHING, an individual; ALLAN	PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED ON DEFENDANT
16	PINEDA, p/k/a apl.de.ap, individually and) d/b/a JEEPNEY MUSIC PUBLISHING,) an individual; JAIME GOMEZ, p/k/a	WILL ADAMS (SET ONE)
17	[laboo, individually and d/b/a NAWASHA]	Complaint Filed: 12/10/10
18	NETWORKS PUBLISHING, an) individual; STACY FERGUSON, p/k/a)	T.:.1 D-4 5/1/10
19	Fergie, an individual; GEORGE PAJON,) JR., an individual; JOHN CURTIS, an	Trial Date: 5/1/12
20	individual; UNIVÉRSAL MUSIC () GROUP, INC., a Delaware corporation;	
21	UMG RECORDINGS, INC., a Delaware) corporation; WILL I AM MUSIC, INC., a	
22	California corporation; CHERRY LANE) MUSIC PUBLISHING COMPANY, INC.,)	
23	a New York corporation; EL CUBANO MUSIC, INC., a California corporation;	
24	EMI BLACKWOOD MUSIC INC., a Connecticut corporation; TAB	
25	MAGNETIC, INC., a California) corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,	
26	Defendants.	
27		
28		
-~		

REQUEST NO. 3:

Each and every agreement YOU and/or the BEPs, have made with PLAINTIFF REGARDING USES OF "(NOT JUST) KNEE DEEP."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 3:

Responding Party objects to Request No. 3 as vague and ambiguous.

Without waiving any of the foregoing objections and limited to the allegations of the Complaint, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party will produce all responsive documents, if any, in his possession, custody, or control.

REQUEST NO. 4:

Each and every DOCUMENT which constitutes, REFERS, RELATES, or REFLECTS, directly or indirectly to sales figures (whether set forth in terms of volume, revenues, or otherwise), both domestic and international, of the songs "Shut Up Remix", "Shut the Phunk Up" and/or other USES OF "(NOT JUST) KNEE DEEP." This Request includes but is not limited to Soundscan reports within YOUR possession, custody, or control.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 4:

Responding Party objects to Request No. 4 as vague, ambiguous, overly broad as to time and scope, and violative of the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, and the constitutional right to privacy.

Without waiving any of the foregoing objections and limited to the allegations of the Complaint, Responding Party responds as follows:

Subject to entry of a mutually acceptable protective order, Responding Party will produce non-privileged, responsive documents sufficient to reflect gross sales revenues of "Shut Up Remix" (also referred to as the "Shut Up (Knee Deep) Remix,"

"Shut the Phunk Up," and/or other USES OF "(NOT JUST) KNEE DEEP" from December 2007 to the present.

REQUEST NO. 5:

Each and every DOCUMENT which REFERS, RELATES, or REFLECTS, directly or indirectly, any and all gross revenue figures, both domestic and international, of the songs "Shut Up Remix," "Shut the Phunk Up" and/or other USES OF "(NOT JUST) KNEE DEEP."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 5:

Responding Party objects to Request No. 5 as vague, ambiguous, overly broad as to time and scope, and violative of the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, and the constitutional right to privacy.

Without waiving any of the foregoing objections and limited to the allegations of the Complaint, Responding Party responds as follows:

Subject to entry of a mutually acceptable protective order, Responding Party will produce non-privileged, responsive documents sufficient to reflect gross revenues from sales of the songs "Shut Up Remix" (also known as "Shut Up (Knee Deep) Remix"), "Shut the Phunk Up," and/or other USES OF "(NOT JUST) KNEE DEEP" from December 2007 to the present.

REQUEST NO. 6:

Each and every DOCUMENT which REFERS, RELATES, or REFLECTS, directly or indirectly, any and all gross revenues YOU received arising from any and all USES OF "(NOT JUST) KNEE DEEP."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 6:

Responding Party objects to Request No. 6 as vague, ambiguous, overly broad as to time and scope, and violative of the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work

product doctrine, and the constitutional right to privacy.

Without waiving any of the foregoing objections and limited to the allegations of the Complaint, Responding Party responds as follows:

Subject to entry of a mutually acceptable protective order, Responding Party will produce non-privileged, responsive documents sufficient to reflect gross revenues received by Adams from use of the songs "Shut Up Remix" (also known as "Shut Up (Knee Deep Remix), "Shut the Phunk Up," and/or other USES OF "(NOT JUST) KNEE DEEP" from December 2007 to the present.

REQUEST NO. 7:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to identify the UPC and ISRC registration numbers for each USE OF "(NOT JUST) KNEE DEEP."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 7:

Responding Party objects to Request No. 7 as vague, ambiguous, overly broad, burdensome, oppressive, and not calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant and admissible evidence.

Without waiving the foregoing objections and limited to the allegations of the Complaint, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party will produce responsive documents relating to "Shut Up Remix" (also known as "Shut Up (Knee Deep Remix)"), and "Shut the Phunk Up," to the extent Responsive Party has such documents in his possession, custody, or control.

REQUEST NO. 8:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to establish all deductible expenses related to USES OF "(NOT JUST) KNEE DEEP."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 8:

Responding Party objects to Request No. 8 as vague, ambiguous, overly broad,

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 10:

Responding Party objects to Request No. 10 as vague, ambiguous, overly broad, burdensome, oppressive, and violative of the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, and the constitutional right to privacy.

Without waiving the foregoing objections and limited to the allegations of the Complaint, Responding Party responds as follows:

Responding Party will produce non-privileged, responsive documents, if any, in his possession, custody, or control.

Dated: November 7, 2011

GRODSKY & OLECKI LLP

By Allen B Grodsky

Attorneys for Defendants

2001 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 210 Santa Monica CA 90403-5627

P: 310 315-3009 F: 310 315-1557

7179-002

November 14, 2011

BY E-MAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Jeffrey P. Thennisch Dobrusin Thennisch PC 29 West Lawrence Street, Suite 210 Pontiac, MI 48342

Re: Clinton v. Adams, et al.

Dear Jeff:

I have not heard back from you in response to my November 8 letter asking you to meet and confer in connection with motions for summary judgment that certain of the defendants plan to file. Please call me today or tomorrow so that we can undertake this meet and confer process.

In addition, please find enclosed documents bates-stamped nos. D0001-23 which are non-confidential documents produced in connection with your client's requests for production of documents.

Finally, there are a number of confidential documents responsive to your requests for production that we have agreed to produce subject to entry of a confidentiality order. I have enclosed a draft confidentiality order for your review. The sooner we can get this executed and entered by the Court, the sooner we can produce the confidential documents. Please let me know if you have any changes or comments.

Very truly yours,

Allen B. Grodsk

ABG:mdw

Encl.

Kara Cenar, Esq. (by e-mail)(w/encl.) Linda Burrow, Esq. (by e-mail)(w/encl.)

Alison MacKenzie, Esq. (by e-mail)(w/encl.)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ALLEN B. GRODSKY (SBN 111064) GRODSKY & OLECKI LLP 2001 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 210 Santa Monica, California 90403 310.315.3009 (phone) 310.315.1557 (fax) allen@grodsky-olecki.com (e-mail) Attorneys for Defendants WILL ADAMS, et al. UNITED STATES DECENTRAL DISTRICT	
11	GEORGE CLINTON, an individual,)	Case No. CV 10-9476 ODW (PLAx)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.)	Honorable Otis D. Wright II, Ctrm 11
14	WILL ADAMS, p/k/a will.i.am,	STIPULATION FOR CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER
15	WILL ADAMS, p/k/a will.i.am,) individually and d/b/a WILL.I.AM MUSIC) PUBLISHING, an individual; et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19	·	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	Exhibit -1-	11, page 67

STIPULATION

- 1. In the course of discovery and other proceedings in this action, the parties may need to produce or receive certain information that they contend constitutes confidential, financial, or otherwise protected information.
- 2. For the purposes of this Confidentiality Order, "Confidential Information" is testimony, written or recorded materials, and information in any form, produced or disclosed by any party in connection with discovery or other proceedings in this case, which a party contends in good faith contains confidential information, including but not limited to (i) trade secrets, sales, accounting or financial information and/or confidential proprietary business information not readily available to the general public; (ii) information subject to a right of privacy; and (iii) information that the disclosing party has verified is subject to a pre-existing duty of confidentiality.
- 3. For purposes of this Confidentiality Order, "Highly Confidential Information Attorney's Eyes Only" is Confidential Information, the disclosure of which to a party in this litigation or a non-party would create a substantial risk of serious and/or competitive advantage or injury that could not be avoided by less restrictive means, and which is therefore entitled to a higher level of protection.
- 4. Confidential Information shall be designated as such by the person producing it as follows:
 - (a) In the case of documents, discovery requests, discovery responses and other things, the producing party shall indicate at or before the time of disclosure that the materials or information is confidential by marking it "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY" or putting some other similar designation thereon.
 - (b) In the case of deposition transcripts and exhibits to those depositions, counsel for the party designating the testimony or exhibits as confidential shall make a statement on the record to

-2-

designate the portions of the record (including exhibits) containing Confidential Information. If such a designation is made, the original and each copy of the deposition transcript (including exhibits) shall bear the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY" on the cover page. A party shall have up to fifteen (15) business days after receipt of a deposition transcript to designate it "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY" on the cover page.

- 5. All discovery marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY" shall be used solely for the purpose of conducting this litigation between the parties and not for any business or other purpose.
- 6. For purposes of conducting this litigation, documents marked "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY," or any summary or abstract thereof, may be disclosed only to the following persons:
 - (a) The United States District Court, Central District of California and all other clerks and other personnel in the United States District Court, Central District of California, before which this action is pending pursuant to paragraph 12 below;
 - (b) The counsel of record for the parties in this matter, and any office personnel employed by the counsel of record working under the direct supervision of said counsel;
 - (c) Experts or other persons necessarily retained by counsel to assist in the preparation of this litigation for trial, provided that said experts and consultants comply with this agreement in full and read, sign and agree to be bound by all of its terms including in-house

- counsel who are actively involved in the prosecution or defense of this action by executing the agreement marked as Exhibit A.
- (d) The author of the document or the original source of the information.
- 7. For purposes of conducting this litigation, documents marked "CONFIDENTIAL," or any summary or abstract thereof, may be disclosed only to the following persons:
 - (a) All persons to whom documents marked "HIGHLY
 CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY" may be
 disclosed;
 - (b) Any party to this action, including officers, directors, and employees;
 - (c) Third-party witnesses who already have access to the Confidential Information.
- 8. If any party deems it necessary to disclose Confidential Information to persons other than those designated above, such party must obtain written permission from the designating party prior to any disclosure of Confidential Information. If a request for such permission is denied by the designating party, and after the parties have met and conferred, then the party seeking disclosure may apply to the Court for permission to do so. If the person is ultimately permitted to review Confidential Information, he/she must execute a copy of Exhibit A attached hereto.
- 9. If corrected within a reasonable period of time, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY" does not, standing alone, waive the producing party's right to secure protection under this Stipulation and Confidentiality Order for such material. If material is appropriately designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY" after the material was initially produced, the receiving party, on timely notification of

10

8

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the designation, must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Stipulation and Confidentiality Order.

- If a party to this Stipulation and Confidentiality Order (or its counsel) 10. becomes aware that disclosure of Confidential Information has been made to someone other than the persons identified in Paragraphs 5 and 6 above, that party shall immediately inform counsel for the party whose Confidential Information has been disclosed about the nature and circumstances of such disclosure, and shall promptly take all reasonable measures to prevent further unauthorized disclosure of the Confidential Information.
- All Confidential Information produced shall be maintained in a secure 11. facility with all reasonable measures being taken by the party in possession of such information and to ensure the protection of such information in accordance with the terms of this Order. Attorneys for the parties shall exercise reasonable care to ensure that when Confidential Information is used for the purposes permitted under this Order, the circumstances of such use do not reveal the content to the Confidential Information to any unauthorized person.
- All parties in possession of materials designated by another party as 12. Confidential Information shall take necessary steps to ensure that any copies of the Confidential Information are properly labeled as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY."
- Any party to this Stipulation and Confidentiality Order may, at any time, object that information designated as Confidential Information is not entitled to such protection under the terms of this Confidentiality Order by notifying the other parties in writing or so stating on the record during a deposition. Pursuant to C.D. California Local Rules 37-1, the parties shall first attempt, in good faith, to resolve such dispute by means of informal negotiation. If such negotiation fails to resolve the dispute, then, within ten (10) court days following the failure of such negotiations, or in the case of a deposition, within ten (10) court days after receiving a copy of the transcript

 excerpt wherein the objection was made, the party challenging the designation of the information as Confidential Information shall have the burden of moving the Court for an order removing the designation pursuant to the discovery dispute procedures set forth in C.D. California Local Rules 37-1 through 37-3. The designating party shall then bear the burden of proving that the challenged designation is proper pursuant to the terms of this Confidentiality Order and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Information designated as Confidential Information shall retain its status until either the parties expressly agree otherwise in writing or the Court orders otherwise.

14. When any Confidential Information is filed with the United States District Court, Central District of California, which is designated "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - FOR ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY," it shall be filed in accordance with Central District Local Rule 79-5 as follows:

No case or document shall be filed under seal without prior approval by the Court. Where approval is required, a written application and a proposed order shall be presented to the judge along with the document submitted for filing under seal. The proposed order shall address both the sealing of the application and order itself, if appropriate. The original and judge's copy of the document shall be sealed in separate envelopes with a copy of the title page attached to the front of each envelope. Conformed copies need not be placed in sealed envelopes. Where underseal filings are authorized by statute or rule, the authority therefor shall appear on the title page of the proposed filing. Applications and Orders to Seal, along with the material to be placed under seal, shall not be electronically filed but shall be filed manually in the manner prescribed by Local Rule 79-5. A Notice of Manual Filing shall also be electronically filed identifying materials being manually filed.

15. Other than the Court (including its clerks, reporters and staff) and counsel, who have appeared of record in this case, including partners, associates, and

employees of such counsel, each person to whom any Confidential Information is disclosed pursuant to this Stipulation and Confidentiality Order shall be advised that such information is being disclosed pursuant to and subject to the terms of this Confidentiality Order of the Court. Each person to whom any Confidential Information is disclosed pursuant to this Stipulation and Confidentiality Order shall be further advised that he/she shall not disclose the Confidential Information to any other person not bound by this Confidentiality Order.

- 16. Nothing in the parties' Stipulation and this Confidentiality Order
 (a) affects, in any way, the admissibility of any documents, testimony, or other
 evidence at trial; or (b) restricts the use of information obtained from sources other
 than discovery, motion practice, or voluntary disclosure of information by any party
 conducted under the terms of the parties' Stipulation and this Order.
- 17. Within sixty (60) days after the termination of this litigation and the expiration of the time for appeal, all originals and copies of any documents containing Confidential Information, and all extracts of such documents shall be destroyed or returned to the party who produced such documents unless that party otherwise agrees in writing.
- 18. Except as specifically provided herein, the terms, conditions and limitations of this Stipulation and Confidentiality Order shall survive the termination of this litigation, and the Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to this Confidentiality Order following termination of this litigation.
- 19. The parties' Stipulation and this Confidentiality Order may only be modified by written agreement of the parties, subject to the approval of the Court, or by the Court upon good cause shown.

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN the parties that the Court		
2	issue a Confidentiality Order in the form submitted herewith.		
3	10000 00		
4	D ()	Name of 14, 2011	GRODSKY & OLECKI LLP
5	Dated:	November 14, 2011	OKODOKI & OLDOKI DDI
6			
7			ByAllen B. Grodsky
8			•
9			Attorneys for Defendants Will Adams, Jaime Gomez, Allan Pineda, Stacy Ferguson, will.i.am music, inc., Tab Magnetic, Inc., and Cherry Lane Music
11			Publishing Company, Inc.
12	Dated:	November 14, 2011	DOBRUSIN & THENNISCH PC
13			
14			By
15			Attorneys for Plaintiff George Clinton
16			·
17	Dated:	November 14, 2011	CALDWELL LESLIE & PROCTOR, PC
18			
19			Ву
20			Linda M. Burrow
21			Attorneys for Defendant UMG Recordings, Inc.
22			
23	Dated:	November 14, 2011	BRYAN CAVE LLP
24			Dvi
25			By Kara E.F. Cenar
2627			Attorneys for Defendants George Pajon, Jr., El Cubano Music, Inc., EMI Blackwood Music, Inc.
28			DIMORNI COM ALAMOREY AMET
			Exhibit 11, page 74 -8-

}		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JEFFREY P. THENNISCH (Michigan Bar (appearing Pro Hac Vice) jeff@patentco.com DOBRUSIN THENNISCH PC 29 West Lawrence Street, Suite 210 Pontiac, Michigan 48342 Telephone: (248) 292-2920 Facsimile: (248) 292-2910 Attorneys for Plaintiff GEORGE CLINTOR	N
10		DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
11	CENTRAL DISTRIC	OF OF CAPILOIGNA
12	GEORGE CLINTON, an individual,	G N GV 10 00474 ODNV DV 4
13	Plaintiff,	Case No. CV 10-09476-ODW-PLA
14		The Honorable Otis D. Wright II
15	v.	GEORGE CLINTON'S RESPONSES
16	WILL ADAMS, p/k/a will,I,am	TO DEFENDANTS' FIRST SET OF
17	individually and d/b/a will.i.am music	REQUESTS TO ADMIT
18	publishing, et al.,	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		•
25		
26		
27		
28	Exhibi	t 12, page 75
	-	1 -

1	RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 10:
2	Deny.
3 4	REQUEST NO.11:
5	Admit that the song "Shut Up Remix" contains a licensed sample of the
6	sound recording "(Not Just) Knee Deep."
7 8	RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 11:
9	
10	Deny.
11	REQUEST NO. 12:
12	Admit that YOU are a member of C Kunspyruhzy, LLC.
13	RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 12:
15	Admit.
16	REQUEST NO. 13:
17 18	Admit that YOU have an ownership interest in C Kunspyruhzy, LLC.
19	RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 13:
20	Admit.
21 22	REQUEST NO. 14:
23	Admit that, in 2009, YOU authorized your son to sign contracts on YOUR
24	
25	behalf.
26	
27	RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 14:
28	

DATED: February 1	0,	201	2
-------------------	----	-----	---

/s/Jeffrey P. Thennisch Dobrusin & Thennisch PC 29 W. Lawrence Street Suite 210 Pontiac, Michigan 48342 (248) 292-2920 (248) 292-2910

	FOR COURT USE ONLY
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Jeffrey P. Thennisch P51499	FOR COURT USE ONLY
29 W. Lawrence Street, Suite 210	
Pontiac, Michigan 48342	
TELEPHONE NO.: 248-292-2920 FAX NO. (Optional): 248-292-2910	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): jeff@patentco.com	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): George Clinton SUPERIOR COURT OF CAUFORNIA COUNTY OF Los Angeles	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS Angeles STREET ADDRESS:	
MAILING ADDRESS:	
CITY AND ZIP CODE:	
BRANCH NAME:	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: George Clinton	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Will Adams, et al.,	CASE NUMBER:
PROOF OF SERVICE—CIVIL	CV 10-9476 ODW (PLAx)
Check method of service (only one):	JUDGE: Honorable Otis D. Wright II
By Personal Service	DEPT.:
(Do not use this proof of service to show service of a Summon	s and complaint.)
1. At the time of service I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action.	
2. My residence or business address is:	
29 W. Lawrence Street, Suite 210 Pontiac Michigan 48342	
3. The fax number or electronic service address from which I served the documents is electronic service):	(complete if service was by fax or
4. On (date): February 10, 2012 served the following documents (specify):	
Plaintiff, George Clinton's responses to Defendants Requests to Ac	lmit
r laintini, deorge dinterro responses to personaume mequette to the	
The documents are listed in the Attachment to Proof of Service-Civil (Documents S	Served) (form POS-040(D)).
5. I served the documents on the person or persons below, as follows:	
a. Name of person served: see attached service list	
b. (Complete if service was by personal service, mail, overnight delivery, or messer	ger service.)
Business or residential address where person was served:	,
see attached service list	
c. (Complete if service was by fax or electronic service.)	
(1) Fax number or electronic service address where person was served:	
(2) Time of service:	
The names, addresses, and other applicable information about persons served is o Service—Civil (Persons Served) (form POS-040(P)).	n the Attachment to Proof of
6. The documents were served by the following means (specify):	
a. By personal service. I personally delivered the documents to the persons at the	e addresses listed in item 5. (1) For a
party represented by an attorney, delivery was made to the attorney or at the att in an envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served, v	orney's office by leaving the documents, with a receptionist or an individual in
charge of the office, between the hours of nine in the morning and five in the eve	ening. (2) For a party, delivery was made
to the party or by leaving the documents at the party's residence with some pers	on not younger than 18 years of age
between the hours of eight in the morning and six in the evening.	

Page 2 of 3

George Clinton v. Will Adams, et al. 6. b. By United States mail. I enclosed the documents in a sealed envel addresses in item 5 and (specify one): (1) deposited the sealed envelope with the United States Post	CV 10-9476 ODW (PLAx)		
addresses in item 5 and (specify one): (1) deposited the sealed envelope with the United States Post			
	ope or package addressed to the persons at the		
and the state of t	al Service, with the postage fully prepaid.		
with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is d United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with po	eposited in the ordinary course of business with the ostage fully prepaid.		
I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurre (city and state): Pontiac, Michigan			
c. By overnight delivery. I enclosed the documents in an envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed to the persons at the addresses in item 5. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight delivery carrier.			
d. By messenger service. I served the documents by placing them in at the addresses listed in item 5 and providing them to a profession the messenger must accompany this Proof of Service or be contain	al messenger service for service. (A declaration by		
e. By fax transmission. Based on an agreement of the parties to acc to the persons at the fax numbers listed in item 5. No error was represented of the fax transmission, which I printed out, is attached.	ept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents orted by the fax machine that I used. A copy of the		
f. By electronic service. Based on a court order or an agreement of documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addre	the parties to accept electronic service, I caused the sses listed in item 5.		
Date: February 10, 2012			
Leffers D. Theopsipals			
Jeffrey P. Thennisch (TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)	(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)		
Jeffrey P. Thennisch (TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT) (If item 6d above is checked, the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration below.)	•		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)	slaration from a messenger must be attached.)		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT) (If item 6d above is checked, the declaration below must be completed or a separate dec	ENGER received from the declarant above to the persons at the elivery was made to the attorney or at the attorney's clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served, lours of nine in the morning and five in the evening. (2) at the party's residence with some person not younger		
(If item 6d above is checked, the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the separate declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the s	ENGER received from the declarant above to the persons at the elivery was made to the attorney or at the attorney's clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served, ours of nine in the morning and five in the evening. (2) at the party's residence with some person not younger in the evening.		
(If item 6d above is checked, the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the completed or a separate declaration of the completed or a separate declaration of the complete or package is addresses listed in item 5. (1) For a party represented by an attorney, do office by leaving the documents in an envelope or package, which was with a receptionist or an individual in charge of the office, between the For a party, delivery was made to the party or by leaving the documents than 18 years of age between the hours of eight in the morning and six the complete of the complete	ENGER received from the declarant above to the persons at the elivery was made to the attorney or at the attorney's clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served, ours of nine in the morning and five in the evening. (2) at the party's residence with some person not younger in the evening.		
(If item 6d above is checked, the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the declaration of the completed or a separate declaration of the declaration of the completed or a separate declaration of the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the declaration of the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the declaration of the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the declaration of the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the declar	ENGER received from the declarant above to the persons at the elivery was made to the attorney or at the attorney's clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served, ours of nine in the morning and five in the evening. (2) at the party's residence with some person not younger in the evening.		
(If item 6d above is checked, the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the most of a separate declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the most of	ENGER received from the declarant above to the persons at the elivery was made to the attorney or at the attorney's clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served, ours of nine in the morning and five in the evening. (2) at the party's residence with some person not younger in the evening.		
(If item 6d above is checked, the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the declaration of the declaration below must be completed or a separate declaration of the de	ENGER received from the declarant above to the persons at the elivery was made to the attorney or at the attorney's clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served, ours of nine in the morning and five in the evening. (2) at the party's residence with some person not younger in the evening.		



March 18, 2010

Via Email Rachel <u>Rachel@ghlh.com</u> Original Via Certified Mail RRR

Goldring Hertz & Lichtenstein LLP 450 North Roxbury Drive, 8th Floor Beverly Hills, CA 90210

Attention: Rachel Rosoff, Esq.

Re: Our Client: George ClintonJr. p/k/a Funkadelic ("George Clinton")

Your Client: Black Eyed Peas

Dear Rachel:

As discussed in our telephone conversation on March 16, 2010 subsequent to my telephone conversation with you on March 12, 2010, I forwarded the documents attached to your two (2) emails to me to our client, George Clinton Jr. for his review. Mr. Clinton denied signing both the license agreement and the W-9 attached to your emails.

We have been instructed by Mr. Clinton to advise you of the following:

- Mr. Clinton is and has been since 1993 the sole copyright owner of the master recording of the musical composition: "Not Just Knee Deep" ("Knee Deep");
- Mr. Clinton has not entered in to an agreement with DMG Clearances, Inc., or any other person or entity, for a license for the use of Knee Deep in any other musical composition including your client's musical composition "Shut Up" also known as "Shut The Phunk Up" and "Shut Up (Remix)" ("Shut Up");
- 3. Mr. Clinton does not know Ian Allen or Now Or Later Inc. and has never discussed the licensing of Knee Deep with him;



Rachel Rosoff, Esq.
Goldring Hertz & Lichtenstein LLP
March 18, 2010
Page 2 of 3

- 4. Mr. Clinton knows Eban R. Kelly doing business as Eban Multi-Media Group, Inc.. On August 4, 2009 Mr. Kelly emailed the proposed agreement from DMG Clearances, Inc. to Mr. Clinton's daughter, Barbarella Bishop. That same day Barbarella Bishop told Mr. Kelly George had been discussing the terms of a licensing agreement with Will I Am, did not agree with the advance or royalty rate proposed by DMG and would not sign the agreement. At no time did George Clinton enter into any agreement with Eban Kelly for the licensing of Knee Deep nor did he authorize Mr. Kelly to offer to license or enter into an agreement to license Knee Deep;
- 5. Mr. Clinton did not receive the check for fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000) attached to your email. The first time he saw it, or learned about it, was when we emailed a copy of it to him; and
- 6. Mr. Clinton is prepared to license Knee Deep for use in Shut Up for a commercially reasonable fee based on a significant monetary advance against a per unit penny rate royalty plus interest at a commercially reasonable rate on all royalties accrued, or accruing due, and payable from the date of the first unauthorized commercial use of the work to date of payment.

Your client has been and is intentionally infringing on Mr. Clinton's exclusive copyright ownership of Knee Deep since at least 2003 when A&M Records released "Shut Up" on the Elephunk album.

If we have not entered into a license for the use of Knee Deep within ten (10) days of the date of this letter, this letter constitutes our demand that your client and any other person or entity involved in any way with the distribution and sale of Shut Up and any derivative or related products in any and all media for either audio or audio visual uses ("Products") cease and desist any and all activities related to the Products, recall the Products from the marketplace and immediately pay to Mr. Clinton any and all profits derived from the sale of the Products.

Your client's failure to comply with this demand will result in our client pursuing any and all remedies available to him by statute and common law, including but not limited to, injunctive relief.

This letter is written without prejudice to Mr. Clinton's legal and equitable rights and remedies all of which are expressly reserved herein.



Rachel Rosoff, Esq. Goldring Hertz & Lichtenstein LLP March 18, 2010 Page 3 of 3

If you have any questions regarding this letter or our representation of our client in general in this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me at any time.

Yours truly,

Allan Law-Group, P.C

Robert J. Allan, Esq.

RJA:jz

Via Certified Mail RRR Interscope Records, Inc. 2220 Colorado Avenue Santa Monica, CA 90404-3506

1	JEFFREY P. THENNISCH (Michigan Bar (appearing Pro Hac Vice)	Number P51499)	
2	jeff@patentco.com DOBRUSIN THENNISCH PC 29 West Lawrence Street, Suite 210 Pontiac, Michigan 48342		
3			
4	Telephone: (248) 292-2920		
5	Facsimile: (248) 292-2910		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff GEORGE CLINTO	N	
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	GEORGE CLINTON, an individual,	Case No. CV 10-09476-ODW-PLA	
11	Plaintiff,	The Honorable Otis D. Wright II	
12	V.	PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO	
13	WILL ADAMS, p/k/a will,I,am individually and d/b/a will.i.am music publishing, et al.,	FIRST DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY DEFENDANT	
14	Defendants.	WILL ADAMS TO PLAINTIFF GEORGE CLINTON	
15	Defendants.	GEORGE CERTION	
16		Action Filed: December 10, 2010	
17		Action Filed. December 10, 2010	
18			
19	Pursuant to Rule 26 and Rule 34 of t	he Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,	
20	Plaintiff, George Clinton (hereinafter "CLINTON") hereby responds and objects		
21	to the First Demand For Production Of Documents Propounded By Defendant		
22	Will Adams To Plaintiff George Clinton as	follows.	
23	PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO FIRST DEMAN FOR PRODUCTI DOCUMENTS NO. 1-31 – PAGE 1 CASE NO. 10-CV-09476-ODW-PLA	ION OF	
		14 02	

attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, or any other legally cognizable privilege.

REQUEST NO. 21:

All DOCUMENTS which YOU contend support the allegation in Paragraph 71 of the COMPLAINT that "[a]s a direct and proximate result of defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages including lost profits, lost opportunities, loss of goodwill, lost publicity, attorney's fees and interest."

RESPONSE:

CLINTON objects to this request on the basis of the General Objections set forth above as well as on the grounds that it is premature, and Clinton has not yet completed his own discovery, investigation of the facts, calculation of damages, or preparation for trial. CLINTON further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks legal analysis and conclusions, a process which is ongoing, and therefore calls for the disclosure of information protected by attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, or any other legally cognizable privilege.

REQUEST NO. 22:

All DOCUMENTS evidencing, reflecting, or referring to damages allegedly suffered by YOU as a result of the actions of the Defendants in this case.

RESPONSE:

CLINTON objects to this request on the basis of the General Objections

PLAINTIPF'S RESPONSE TO FIRST DEMAN FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 1-31 – PAGE 17 CASE NO. 10-CV-09476-ODW-PLA

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

All DOCUMENTS which YOU contend support the allegation in Paragraph 76 of the COMPLAINT that "each of the named defendants in this paragraph knew that authorization had not been obtained from the legal and equitable owner of the sound recording copyright of '(Not Just) Knee Deep' prior to the occurrence of the infringing acts alleged in this claim."

RESPONSE:

CLINTON objects to this request on the basis of the General Objections set forth above as well as on the grounds that it is premature, and Clinton has not yet completed his own discovery, investigation of the facts, calculation of damages, or preparation for trial. CLINTON further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks legal analysis and conclusions, a process which is ongoing, and therefore calls for the disclosure of information protected by attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, or any other legally cognizable privilege.

REQUEST NO. 25:

All DOCUMENTS which YOU contend support the allegation in Paragraph 81 of the COMPLAINT that "[a]s a result of the defendants' infringements, Plaintiff has suffered damages, and will continue to suffer damages."

RESPONSE:

CLINTON objects to this request on the basis of the General Objections set forth above as well as on the grounds that it is premature, and Clinton has not yet completed his own discovery, investigation of the facts, calculation of damages, or preparation for trial. CLINTON further objects to this request to

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO FIRST DEMAN FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 1-31 - PAGE 19 CASE NO. 10-CV-09476-ODW-PLA

REQUEST NO. 31:

All contracts executed by George Clinton from January 1, 2008 to the present. (YOU may redact any financial information from the contracts.) **RESPONSE:**

CLINTON objects to this request on the basis of the General Objections set forth above as well as on the grounds that it is premature, and Clinton has not yet completed his own discovery, investigation of the facts, calculation of damages, or preparation for trial. CLINTON further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks legal analysis and conclusions, a process which is ongoing, and therefore calls for the disclosure of information protected by attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, or any other legally cognizable privilege.

RESPONSES dated this 29th day of February, 2012.

As to objections:

Dated: February 29, 2012

Jeffrey P. Thennisch Attorney for Plaintiff Dobrusin Thennisch PC 29 West Lawrence Street, Suite 210 Pontiac, Michigan 48342 (248) 292-2920

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO FIRST DEMAN FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NO. 1-31 - PAGE 23 CASE NO. 10-CV-09476-ODW-PLA