

O  
JS-6

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **United States District Court**  
9 **Central District of California**  
10

11 HENDRICKS & LEWIS PLLC,  
12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 GEORGE CLINTON,  
15 Defendant,  
16  
17  
18  
19

Case No. 2:10-cv-09921-ODW(PLAx)

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S  
MOTION UNDER RULE 69 FOR  
RELEASE OF LEVIES, STAY OF  
ENFORCEMENT, AND FOR  
IMPLEMENTATION OF  
INSTALLMENT PAYMENT PLAN**  
[269]

20 The Court incorporates its Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law on Plaintiff  
21 Hendricks & Lewis PLLC's Assignment Motion into this Order. (ECF No. 284.) The  
22 Court **DENIES** Defendant George Clinton's Motion Under Rule 69 for Release of  
23 Levies, Stay of Enforcement, and for Implementation of Installment Payment Plan.  
24 (ECF No. 269.)

25 The Court finds that the property levied by H&L is subject to enforcement. *See*  
26 Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 699.710. Furthermore, Clinton's exemption arguments are  
27 either unpersuasive or inconsistent. For example, Clinton argues that the levies  
28 should be released against the royalty accounts for The C Kunzpyruhzy, LLC and A


1 Scoop Of Poop Productions, Inc. because they are “wholly separate and non-debtor  
2 corporate entities.” (ECF No. 269 at 7.) But later in his own Motion, Clinton seeks  
3 an installment payment plan where the apparently non-debtor entities will pay the  
4 judgment Clinton owes. (*Id.* at 8.)

5 In addition, the Court, in its discretion, declines to stay enforcement as this  
6 matter has been in flux for far too long. *See* Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 918.5. Finally,  
7 the Court is disinclined to implement Clinton’s proposed installment payment plan.  
8 The Court has always encouraged a non-judicial resolution of this matter, but those  
9 efforts have been unsuccessful. H&L is within its rights to enforce the judgment in  
10 the manner it is has chosen here.

11 For the reasons discussed above, Clinton’s Motion to Release Levies, Stay  
12 Enforcement, and Implement Installment Payment Plan is **DENIED**. The Court has  
13 now adjudicated on all pending matters in this action. The Clerk of Court shall close  
14 this case.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16  
17 December 5, 2014

18  
19 

20 **OTIS D. WRIGHT, II**  
21 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28