DAN SIEGEL (SBN 56400) DEAN ROYER (SBN 233292) deanrover@sigedlyee.com SIEGEL & YEE 499 44h Street, Suite 220 Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 839-1200 Facsimile: (510) 444-6698 Attomeys for Plaintiff RAJESH GAUR ISSUE CA C. KRONSTADT (SBN 267959) iessica.kronstadt@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 891-8763 Attomeys for Defendants CITY OF HOPE and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, vs. ORDER CONCERNING CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY OF HOPE, Defendants. ORDER CONCERNING CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY DISCOVERY OF HOPE, Defendants. OTHER CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT DOCUMENT PROPOGRED PROTECTIVE ORDER CONCERNING CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY DI			
deanroyer@siegelyee.com SIEGEL & YEE 499 14th Street, Suite 220 Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 839-1200 Facsimile: (510) 444-6698 Attorneys for Planntiff RAJESH GAUR JOEL E. KRISCHER (SBN 066489) ioel.krischer@lw.com JOSEPH B. FARRELL (SBN 137435) ioe.farrell@lw.com JESSICA C. KRONSTADT (SBN 267959) jessica.kronstadt@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 485-1234 Facsimile: (213) 891-8763 Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF HOPE and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, Vs. CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, ORDER CONCERNING ORDER	1	DAN SIEGEL (SBN 56400)	
3	2	deanroyer@siegelyee.com	
Telephone: (510) 839-1200 Fassimile: (510) 444-6698 Attorneys for Plaintiff RAJESH GAUR JOEL E. KRISCHER (SBN 066489) joel.krischer@lw.com JOSEPH B. FARRELL (SBN 137435) joe farrell@lw.com JESSICA C. KRONSTADT (SBN 267959) jessica.kronstadt@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles. California 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 485-1234 Facsimile: (213) 485-1234 Facsimile: (213) 485-1234 Facsimile: (213) 485-1234 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, Vs. Plaintiff, Vs. Plaintiff, Vs. DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DEfendants. NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	3	499 14th Street, Suite 220	
Attorneys for Plaintiff RAJESH GAUR JOEL E. KRISCHER (SBN 066489) joel.krischer@lw.com JOSEPH B. FARRELL (SBN 137435) joel.grerell@lw.com JESSICA C. KRONSTADT (SBN 267959) jessica.kronstadt@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 485-1234 Facsimile: (213) 891-8763 Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF HOPE and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, Vs. Case No. 2:11-cv-00651-SJO-RZ Plaintiff, Vs. CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	4	Telephone: (510) 839-1200 Facsimile: (510) 444-6698	
JOEL E. KRISCHER (SBN 066489) joel.krischer@lw.com JOSEPH B. FARRELL (SBN 137435) joe.farrell@lw.com JESSICA C. KRONSTADT (SBN 267959) jessica.kronstadt@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 485-1234 Facsimile: (213) 891-8763 Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF HOPE and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, Vs. CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	5	Attorneys for Plaintiff RAJESH GAUR	
joel.krischer@lw.com JOSEPH B. FARRELL (SBN 137435) joe.farrell@lw.com JESSICA C. KRONSTADT (SBN 267959) jessica.kronstadt@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 498-1234 Facsimile: (213) 891-8763 Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF HOPE and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, Vs. CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	6		
joe.farrell@lw.com JESSICA C. KRONSTADT (SBN 267959) jessica.kronstadt@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 485-1234 Facsimile: (213) 891-8763 Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF HOPE and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, PROPOSEDI PROTECTIVE ORDER CONCERNING CONFIDENTIAL OCONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY DEFENDANCE DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY DEFENDANCE DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY DOCUMENT	7	ioel.krischer@lw.com	
piessica kronstadt@lw.com LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 485-1234 Facsimile: (213) 891-8763 Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF HOPE and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, Vs. CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	8	joe.farrell@lw.com	
10 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 485-1234 Facsimile: (213) 891-8763 Attorneys for Defendants CTTY OF HOPE and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE 14 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION 17 RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, Proposed Protective ORDER CONCERNING CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY 20 CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, 21 Defendants. 22 NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	9	jessica.kronstadt@lw.com	
Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF HOPE and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, Vs. CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	10	355 South Grand Avenue	
Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF HOPE and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, Vs. CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	11	Telephone: (213) 485-1234	
BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, Vs. CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	12	Attorneys for Defendants	
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, Vs. CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	13	BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF T	THE CITY OF HOPE
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	14	IN THE UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DIVISION RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT WESTERN DIVISION Case No. 2:11-cv-00651-SJO-RZ PROPOSED! PROTECTIVE ORDER CONCERNING CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	15		
RAJESH GAUR, Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT Case No. 2:11-cv-00651-SJO-RZ IPROPOSEDI PROTECTIVE ORDER CONCERNING CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	16		
Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT PROPOSED! PROTECTIVE ORDER CONCERNING CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	17		
Vs. CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT ORDER CONCERNING CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	18	,	
CITY OF HOPE, and BECKMAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, Defendants. NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	19		ORDER CONCERNING
21 RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY OF HOPE, 22 Defendants. 23 NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	20		DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN
Defendants. Defendants. NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	21	RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE CITY) DISCOVERT
23 24 25 26 NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT 27	22	, in the second of the second	
NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	23	Defendants.	
NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	24)
MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT 27	25	NOTE: CHANCES H	AVE DEEN
27	26		
28	27		
	28		

Pursuant to the Stipulation of counsel and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

3

4

DEFINITIONS

5 6

1. The term "Party" refers to any party to this action, including all of its officers, directors, employees, and those acting on its behalf, including consultants, retained experts, and counsel.

of the medium or manner generated, stored, or maintained, including but not

limited to: documents or portions of documents; things; written discovery requests

and responses; deposition and trial testimony, transcripts of trial testimony and

depositions; data, and summaries and compilations derived from data; and any

portion of any documents, including court papers filed in this action, that quotes or

"CONFIDENTIAL" or "ATTORNEYS EYES

this action, and other attorneys, paralegals, secretaries, and other support staff

The term "Material" shall include all items or information, regardless

The term "Designated Material" shall mean any Material that has been

The term "Outside Counsel" shall means outside counsel of record in

ONLY"

7

2.

3.

4.

designated

summarizes any of these items.

accordance with Paragraphs 6 or 7 of this Order.

employed in the law firms identified below:

(a) SIEGEL & YEE

as

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22 (b) LATHAM & WATKINS LLP **DESIGNATION OF MATERIAL**

23

24

25

26

27

28

5.

Any party to this litigation that produces or discloses any Material that the party believes in good faith should be subject to this Protective Order may designate it as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY" in accordance with Paragraphs 6 or 7 of this Order.

A party may designate as "CONFIDENTIAL" under the terms of this Protective Order any Material containing information that is of a sensitive and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 7. A party may designate as "ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY" any Material that qualifies as "CONFIDENTIAL" under paragraph 6 above and also contains, or alludes to, highly competitive information for which disclosure to another party (other than that party's attorneys) would create a substantial risk of serious injury that could not be avoided by less restrictive means.
- 8. A non-party subject to discovery obligations in this case may designate Material in the same manner as a party.

MANNER OF DESIGNATING MATERIALS AND TESTIMONY

- 9. The producing party may designate Material as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY" by affixing in a conspicuous place the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY." Such designation shall, to the extent possible, be made at the time the Materials are produced, and shall be affixed to each and every page containing such information.
- 10. Deposition testimony or testimony obtained from a pretrial evidentiary hearing may be designated as "CONFIDENTIAL," or "ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY" either on the record at the deposition or hearing or by letter within 7 days after receipt of the final (i.e. not "draft" or "rough") transcript. The reporter for any deposition or hearing shall affix a mark of "CONFIDENTIAL" or

- 11. In the event the producing party elects to produce Materials for inspection, no marking need be made by the producing party in advance of the initial inspection. For purposes of the initial inspection, all Materials shall be considered "CONFIDENTIAL," pursuant to the terms of this Order. After the inspecting party selects Materials for copying, the producing party shall, prior to producing those Materials, designate the Materials as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY," as appropriate.
- 12. If a party discovers that it has inadvertently produced Material containing confidential information without designating it as such in accordance with this Order, the producing party may provide properly designated copies of the Materials to the other party and request in writing that the non-designated Materials be returned or destroyed. The receiving party must treat the information as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY" once the designating party so notifies the receiving party. If the receiving party has disclosed the Materials before receiving the designation, the receiving party must notify the designating party in writing of each such disclosure.
- 13. No party shall be responsible to another party for disclosure of confidential information under this Order if the Material containing the information in question is not labeled or otherwise identified in accordance with this Order.

ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

14. Material designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY" shall not be disclosed by the receiving party to anyone other than those persons specified in paragraphs 15 through 17, as applicable, and shall not be used

and

d) Court reporters, their staffs, and professional vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this matter.

17. Any person indicated on the face of the Designated Material to be its originator, author or recipient of a copy thereof, may be shown the same.

- 18. "Independent Experts" means experts or consultants (together with their clerical staff who have a legitimate need to access the confidential information for the purposes of this action) who are not officers, directors, or employees of any party and who are retained to assist in the prosecution, defense, or settlement of this action.
- 19. Any party seeking to provide any Independent Expert with any Designated Material shall provide the producing party with the name of the proposed Independent Expert, and an executed copy of the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, prior to providing any confidential information of the producing party to that expert.

FILING CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

20. Any Material, including answers to interrogatories, responses to requests for admissions, deposition transcripts, or other documents, which is designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY" shall not be filed with the Court or included in whole or in part in pleadings, motions, briefs, etc., unless the party first complies with the procedures set forth in Local Rule 79-5. Defendants will give plaintiff one (1) additional day of notice beyond the minimum notice period required by Local Rule 6–1 for any motion defendants file. If the Court denies the filing party's application to file the Designated Material under seal, the filing party shall not file the Designated Material as a publicly available document without first giving the designating party a reasonable opportunity to seek relief from the Court.

OBJECTIONS TO DESIGNATIONS

- 21. At any stage of these proceedings, any party may object to a designation of Material, including deposition transcripts and portions therefore, as "CONFIDENTIAL or "ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY." The party objecting to the confidentiality designation shall notify, in writing, counsel for the designating party of the objected-to materials and the grounds for the objection. If the dispute is not resolved, the objecting party may move the Court for a ruling on the objection. The Materials at issue shall be treated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY" until the Court rules on the objection or the matter has been otherwise resolved.
- 22. No party shall be obligated to challenge the propriety of a designation at the time the designation is made, and a failure to make such challenge shall not preclude a subsequent challenge to such designation.
- 23. Nothing contained in this Order, or any failure to object to the designation of Material shall be construed in any manner as an admission by any party that Designated Material actually contains proprietary, trade secret, or confidential information.

INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF MATERIAL SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE, WORK PRODUCT IMMUNITY, OR OTHER PRIVILEGE OR IMMUNITY

- 24. Inadvertent production of documents or information subject to the attorney-client privilege, work product immunity, or any other applicable privilege or immunity shall not constitute a waiver of such privilege(s).
- 25. Upon receiving written notice from the producing party that Material subject to a privilege or immunity has been inadvertently produced and a supplemental privilege log identifying the Material and the basis for the claims of privilege or immunity, the receiving party shall not copy or disseminate such Material. The receiving party shall return or destroy all such Material (including, without limitation, all originals and copies of any documents containing or

comprising such Material) promptly. The Material (including, without limitation, all originals and copies of any documents containing or comprising such Material) shall continue to be privileged, protected, and/or immune from discovery; and no use shall be made of such Material (including, without limitation, all originals and copies of any documents containing or comprising such Material) by the receiving party, nor shall such Material be disclosed to anyone by the receiving party. The receiving party shall promptly provide to the producing person a written certification of the complete return or destruction of such Material (including, without limitation, all originals and copies of any documents containing or comprising such Material); provided that, to the extent any receiving party has incorporated any such Material in its own work product, it may (instead of providing such work product to the producing person) destroy such Material incorporated in that work product and promptly certify to such destruction. Nothing in this Order shall preclude the receiving party from subsequently challenging that such Material is privileged, or that any such privilege has not been waived.

26. Once inadvertently produced material has been used during a deposition, used as an exhibit to a pleading filed with the Court, identified for use at trial or otherwise disclosed to the Court, the producing party has three (3) weeks from the date of disclosure to provide notice of the inadvertent production. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Order, failure to provide notice within this three (3) week period shall constitute a waiver of any and all applicable privileges with respect to the inadvertently produced material only.

EXPERT DISCOVERY

27. The parties agree that the amendments to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(4), adopted by the United States Supreme Court on April 28, 2010, shall apply to this case. Thus, materials protected from disclosure pursuant

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

to amended Rule 26(b)(4) are not subject to discovery and will not be listed on privilege logs.

OTHER PROVISIONS

- 28. All Designated Material shall be held in confidence by those inspecting or receiving it, and shall be used only for purposes of this action. Counsel for each party, and each person receiving Designated Material, shall take reasonable precautions to prevent the unauthorized or inadvertent disclosure of such Material. If Designated Material is disclosed to any person other than a person authorized by this Order, the party responsible for the unauthorized disclosure must immediately bring all pertinent facts relating to the unauthorized disclosure to the attention of the other parties and, without prejudice to any rights and remedies of the other parties, make every effort to prevent further disclosure by the party and by the person(s) receiving the unauthorized disclosure.
- 29. Nothing in this Order shall prejudice the right of any party to object to the production of any discovery material on the grounds that the material is protected as privileged or as attorney work product.
- 30. Nothing in this Order shall prejudice the rights of the parties to present a motion to the Court under Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for a separate protective order as to any particular document or information, including restrictions differing from those specified herein. This Order shall not be deemed to prejudice the parties in any way in any future application for modification of this order.
- 31. Nothing in this Order shall bar counsel from rendering advice to their clients with respect to this litigation and, in the course of this litigation, relying upon any information contained in the Designated Material, provided that the contents of the Designated Material shall not be disclosed to persons not authorized to receive such information.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 33. Nothing herein shall be construed to prevent disclosure of confidential information if such disclosure is required by law or by order of the Court.
- 34. Upon final termination of this action, including any and all appeals, counsel for each party, shall, within ninety (90) days, certify that it has returned all Designated Material to the party that produced the Material, including any copies, excerpts, and summaries of the Material, or has destroyed all materials containing the other side's confidential information at the option of the receiving party, and shall purge all such information from all machine-readable media on which it resides. Notwithstanding the foregoing, counsel for each party may retain one copy of all pleadings, briefs, memoranda, motions, and other documents filed with the Court that refer to or incorporate confidential information, and will continue to be bound by this Order with respect to all such retained information, such that counsel shall continue to treat all Designated Material in the manner provided in this Order. Further, attorney work product materials that contain confidential information need not be destroyed, but, if they are not destroyed, the person in possession of the attorney work product will continue to be bound by this Order with respect to all such retained information.
- 35. The restrictions and obligations set forth in this Order shall not apply to any information that the parties agree or the Court determines: (a) should not be designated as confidential information; (b) is already public knowledge; (c) becomes public knowledge by means other than in violation of this Order; or (d) has come or shall come into the receiving party's legitimate knowledge

1

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

independently of the production by the designating party. Prior knowledge must be established by pre-production documentation.

- 36. The restrictions and obligations in this Order shall not be deemed to prohibit discussions of any confidential information with anyone if that person already has or obtains legitimate possession of such information.
- 37. This Order may be modified by agreement of the parties, subject to approval by the Court.
- 38. The Court may modify the terms and conditions of this Order for good cause, or in the interest of justice, or on its own order at any time in these proceedings. The parties request that the Court provide them with notice of the Court's intent to modify the Order, the content of those modifications, and an opportunity to be heard, prior to entry of such an order.

Order

FED. R. CIV. P. 26(c) requires a showing of good cause for the entry of a protective order, and the Court must find good cause even if the parties have stipulated to the existence of a protective order. Jepson Inc. v. Makita Electric Works, Ltd., 30 F.3d 854, 858 (7th Cir. 1994). "To establish 'good cause' for a protective order under [Federal Rule of Civil Procedure] 26(c), '[t]he courts have insisted on a particular and specific demonstration of fact, as distinguished from stereotyped and conclusory statements..." In re Halkin, 598 F.2d 176, 193 (D.C. Cir. 1979) (quoting 8 C. Wright & A. Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure § 2035, p. 265 (1970), cited with approval in Gulf Oil Co. v. Bernard, 452 U.S. 89, 101 n. 15 (1981). The emendations the Court has made to the proposed order are

1	intended to effectuate this requirement. Accordingly, as revised, the stipulation is	
2	approved, and	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5		
6	Rugh Zaryshy	
7		
8	Dated: September 30, 2011 The Honorable Ralph Zarefsky	
9	United States Magistrate Judge	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
'		

1	EXHIBIT A	
2		
3	ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT	
4	I,, do solemnly swear or affirm that I have been	
5	provided with and have read the Protective Order regarding confidentiality in	
6	Rajesh Gaur v. City of Hope and Beckman Research Institute of the City of Hope,	
7	Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-00651-SJO-RZ.	
8	I expressly agree that I will not disclose any information received by me	
9	pursuant to the Protective Order and I agree to be bound by its terms as ordered by	
10	the Court. I understand that if I violate the terms of the Protective Order, I may be	
11	subject to an enforcement proceeding before the Court, and I will comply with and	
12	be bound by the terms and conditions of said Order unless and until modified by	
13	further order of the Court.	
14	I hereby consent to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the	
15	Central District of California for purposes of enforcing this Order.	
16		
17	Dated: By:	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		