

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
 2 John B. Quinn (Bar No. 090378)
 3 (johnquinn@quinnemanuel.com)
 4 Michael T. Zeller (Bar No. 196417)
 5 (michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com)
 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543
 Telephone: (213) 443-3000
 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

7 Attorneys for Mattel, Inc. and Robert A.
 Eckert

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 10 SOUTHERN DIVISION

11 MGA ENTERTAINMENT, INC., a
 12 California corporation,
 13 Plaintiff,
 14 vs.
 15 MATTEL, INC., a Delaware
 corporation, et al., and ROBERT A.
 16 ECKERT
 17 Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 11-1063 DOC (RNBx)

Hon. David O. Carter

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND PAGE
 LIMIT AND SET BRIEFING
 SCHEDULE RE: MATTEL, INC.'S
 MOTION TO DISMISS**

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 WHEREAS, MGA Entertainment, Inc. (“MGA”) filed Case No. CV 11-1063
2 DOC (RNBx) against Mattel, Inc. and Robert A. Eckert (collectively “Mattel”) on
3 February 3, 2011, and filed a First Amended Complaint on November 10, 2011;

4 WHEREAS, Mattel may be required to move to dismiss MGA’s Amended
5 Complaint (or otherwise respond to it) by November 28, 2011, and Local Rules 7-9
6 and 7-10 state that MGA’s response to Mattel’s contemplated motion to dismiss
7 shall be filed 21 days before the hearing date and Mattel’s reply shall be filed 14
8 days before the hearing date;

9 WHEREAS, Local Rule 11-6 states that “[n]o memorandum of points and
10 authorities, pre-trial brief, trial brief, or post-trial brief shall exceed 25 pages in
11 length, excluding indices and exhibits, unless permitted by order of the judge”;

12 WHEREAS, given the complexity and importance of the issues raised by
13 Mattel’s motion to dismiss, and in light of the holidays, the parties agree that an
14 extended briefing schedule is appropriate in order to promote a better presentation of
15 the issues;

16 NOW, THEREFORE, by and through their respective counsel of record, the
17 Parties hereby stipulate and agree, subject to approval by the Court, that (1) Mattel’s
18 motion to dismiss and MGA’s response thereto shall be no longer than 45 pages in
19 length; (2) Mattel’s motion to dismiss shall be filed by December 9, 2011; (3)
20 MGA’s response to Mattel’s motion to dismiss shall be filed by January 17, 2012;
21 (4) Mattel’s reply shall be filed by January 30, 2012; (5) the hearing date shall be
22 scheduled for February 13, 2012; and (6) Mattel’s time to answer the Amended
23 Complaint shall be tolled pending the resolution of Mattel’s contemplated motion to
24 dismiss pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(4)(A).

25
26 IT IS SO STIPULATED.
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DATED: November 15, 2011

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN. LLP

By /s/ Michael T. Zeller
Michael T. Zeller
Attorneys for Mattel, Inc. and Robert A.
Eckert

DATED: November 15, 2011

BLECHER & COLLINS. P.C.

By /s/ Maxwell M. Blecher
Maxwell M. Blecher
Attorneys for MGA. Entertainment. Inc.