

1 Scott Hervey, State Bar No. 180188  
 2 Scott M. Plamondon, State Bar No. 212294  
 3 **weintraub genshlea chediak**  
 4 a law corporation  
 5 400 Capitol Mall, 11th Floor  
 6 Sacramento, CA 95814  
 7 (916) 558-6000 – Main  
 8 (916) 446-1611 – Facsimile  
 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 10 Camelot Distribution Group, Inc.

11  
 12  
 13 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 14 IN AND FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 15 WESTERN DIVISION

16 CAMELOT DISTRIBUTION GROUP, INC., 17 Plaintiff, 18 vs. 19 DOES 1 through 5865, inclusive, 20 Defendants.	}	Case No.: CV11-01949 DDP (FMOx) <b>[PROPOSED] ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S          MOTION TO EXPEDITE DISCOVERY</b>  Date: March 30, 2011 Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: 312 N. Spring St. Los Angeles, CA 900012
---	---	---

21 Plaintiff Camelot's ("Plaintiff or "Camelot") ex parte motion to expedite discovery came  
 22 on for hearing before this Court on March 30, 2011. The Court has considered the papers in  
 23 support of Plaintiff's motion, the applicable law, the written and oral arguments of the parties  
 24 and its file in this matter. After full consideration of these materials and for good cause  
 25 appearing,

- 26 1. The motion is granted and it is ordered that Camelot may immediately serve  
 27 Rule 45 subpoenas on the individual DOE Defendants' Internet Service Providers  
 28 ("ISP") to obtain the identity of each DOE Defendant, including name, current  
 (and permanent) addresses, telephone numbers, and email addresses;

weintraub genshlea chediak  
LAW CORPORATION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

2. Plaintiff is permitted to serve Rule 45 subpoenas in the same manner as above to any ISP that is identified in response to a subpoena;
3. Any information disclosed to Plaintiff in responding to a Rule 45 subpoena may be used by Plaintiff solely for the purpose of protecting Plaintiff's rights as set forth in its Complaint;
4. If and when an ISP is served with a subpoena, the ISP shall give written notice, which may include email notice, to the subscribers in question within five business days;
5. That if the ISP and/or any Defendant wants to move to quash the subpoena, the party must do so before the return date of the subpoena, which shall be 25 days from the date of service;
6. That the ISP shall preserve any subpoenaed information pending the resolution of any timely filed motion to quash; and
7. That Plaintiff shall provide each ISP with a copy of this Order along with its subpoena.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Fernando M. Olguin,  
Magistrate Judge of the US District Court  
Central District of California, Western Division