

1 Scott Hervey, State Bar No. 180188
 2 Scott M. Plamondon, State Bar No. 212294
 3 **weintraub genshlea chediak**
 4 a law corporation
 5 400 Capitol Mall, 11th Floor
 6 Sacramento, CA 95814
 7 (916) 558-6000 – Main
 8 (916) 446-1611 – Facsimile
 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 10 Camelot Distribution Group, Inc.

11 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 IN AND FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 13 WESTERN DIVISION

13 CAMELOT DISTRIBUTION GROUP, INC., 14 Plaintiff, 15 vs. 16 DOES 1 through 5865, inclusive, 17 Defendants.	}	Case No.: CV11-01949 DDP (FMOx) [PROPOSED] ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO EXPEDITE DISCOVERY Date: March 16, 2011 Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: 312 N. Spring St. Los Angeles, CA 900012
---	---	---

18 _____
 19
 20 Plaintiff Camelot's ("Plaintiff or "Camelot") ex parte motion to expedite discovery came
 21 on for hearing before this Court on _____, 2011. The Court has considered
 22 the papers in support of Plaintiff's motion, the applicable law, the written and oral arguments
 23 of the parties and its file in this matter. After full consideration of these materials and for good
 24 cause appearing,

- 25 1. The motion is granted and it is ordered that Camelot may immediately serve
- 26 Rule 45 subpoenas on the individual DOE Defendants' Internet Service Providers
- 27 ("ISP") to obtain the identity of each DOE Defendant, including name, current
- 28 (and permanent) addresses, telephone numbers, and email addresses;

weintraub genshlea chediak
LAW CORPORATION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2. Plaintiff is permitted to serve Rule 45 subpoenas in the same manner as above to any ISP that is identified in response to a subpoena;
3. Any information disclosed to Plaintiff in responding to a Rule 45 subpoena may be used by Plaintiff solely for the purpose of protecting Plaintiff's rights as set forth in its Complaint;
4. If and when an ISP is served with a subpoena, the ISP shall give written notice, which may include email notice, to the subscribers in question within five business days;
5. That if the ISP and/or any Defendant wants to move to quash the subpoena, the party must do so before the return date of the subpoena, which shall be 25 days from the date of service;
6. That the ISP shall preserve any subpoenaed information pending the resolution of any timely filed motion to quash; and
7. That Plaintiff shall provide each ISP with a copy of this Order along with its subpoena.

Dated: _____

Hon. Fernando M. Olguin,
Magistrate Judge of the US District Court
Central District of California, Western Division