

1 Scott Hervey, State Bar No. 180188  
 2 Scott M. Plamondon, State Bar No. 212294  
 3 **weintraub genshlea chediak**  
 4 a law corporation  
 5 400 Capitol Mall, 11th Floor  
 6 Sacramento, CA 95814  
 7 (916) 558-6000 – Main  
 8 (916) 446-1611 – Facsimile  
 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 10 Camelot Distribution Group, Inc.

11 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 12 IN AND FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 13 WESTERN DIVISION

14 CAMELOT DISTRIBUTION GROUP, INC.,  
 15 Plaintiff,  
 16 vs.  
 17 DOES 1 through 5865, inclusive,  
 18 Defendant.

Case No.: CV11-01949 DDP (FMOx)  
**PLAINTIFF’S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR  
 AN ORDER SHORTENING TIME FOR A  
 HEARING ON MOTION TO EXPEDITE  
 DISCOVERY**

19  
 20 **NOTICE OF EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME FOR HEARING AND**  
 21 **MOTION TO EXPEDITE DISCOVERY**

22 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

23 Please take notice that Plaintiff Camelot Distribution Group, Inc. (“Plaintiff” or  
 24 “Camelot”) hereby applies ex parte, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6 and L.R.6-1,  
 25 for an order shortening time to have Plaintiff’s Motion to Expedite Discovery heard in the  
 26 present action.

27 The application is being made on the grounds that good cause exists to hear Plaintiff’s  
 28 motion to expedite discovery on shortened time because Plaintiff will be unable to proceed with

weintraub genshlea chediak  
 LAW CORPORATION

1 this action unless and until it is permitted to conduct limited discovery in order to learn the true  
2 names and identities of the 5,865 DOE Defendants.

3 (1) Camelot is aware only of DOE Defendants' individual Internet Protocol ("IP")  
4 addresses which can be connected to unique users by name;

5 (2) information connected to these IP addresses cannot be released by DOE  
6 Defendants' Internet Service providers without subpoenas;

7 (3) discovery is necessary to ascertain the names of each individual DOE  
8 Defendant; (

9 4) discovery of the identities of the DOE defendants is necessary to conduct a  
10 discovery conference pursuant to Fed. Rule Civ. Proc. 26(f).

11 Therefore, because the identities of the DOE Defendants are not known, plaintiff seeks  
12 limited discovery to serve subpoenas on DOE Defendants' Internet Service Providers to discover  
13 DOE Defendants' identities.

14 DOE Defendants will not be prejudiced by an order shortening time for hearing on  
15 Plaintiff's motion for expedited discovery as the motion seeks only to learn DOE Defendants'  
16 identities and does not seek to affect Defendants' rights. Accordingly, good cause exists for  
17 this Court to grant Camelot's motion for an order shortening time to have its motion for  
18 expedited discovery heard.

19  
20  
21 Dated: March 10, 2011

weintraub genshlea chediak  
a law corporation

22  
23  
24 By: s/ Scott M. Plamondon  
25 Scott Hervey, State Bar No. 180188  
26 Scott M. Plamondon, State Bar No. 212294  
27 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
28