

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION**

KANEKA CORPORATION,
Plaintiff,
v.
ZHEJIANG MEDICINE CO., LTD.,
ZMC-USA, L.L.C., XIAMEN
KINGDOMWAY GROUP
COMPANY, PACIFIC RAINBOW
INTERNATIONAL INC.,
MITSUBISHI GAS CHEMICAL
COMPANY, INC., MAYPRO
INDUSTRIES, INC., and
SHENZHOU BIOLOGY &
TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:11-CV-2389-MRP (SSx)

JUDGMENT

1 The Court, having (1) granted-in-part Defendants Xiamen Kingdomway Group
2 Company (“XKGC”) and Pacific Rainbow International Inc.’s (“PRI”) Motion for
3 Summary Judgment of Noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,910,340 (“’340
4 Patent”) on December 6, 2013 (Dkt. No. 310); (2) granted-in-part Defendant
5 Shenzhou Biology & Technology Co., Ltd.’s (“Shenzhou”) Motion for Summary
6 Judgment of Noninfringement of the ’340 Patent on December 6, 2013 (Dkt. No.
7 311); (3) denied Plaintiff Kaneka Corporation’s (“Kaneka”) Motion for Summary
8 Judgment of Validity of the ’340 Patent as moot and dismissed the counterclaims
9 of Shenzhou, XKGC, and PRI (hereinafter collectively referred to as
10 “Defendants”) for a Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the ’340 Patent and a
11 Declaratory Judgment of Unenforceability of the ’340 Patent as moot on February
12 24, 2014 (Dkt. No. 313); and (4) granted Kaneka’s Motion for Summary Judgment
13 Dismissing XKGC’s Counterclaims Three Through Nine on February 25, 2014
14 (Dkt. No. 314),

15 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 16 1. Kaneka’s Amended Complaint, and each and every claim alleged therein, is
17 dismissed with prejudice as to Shenzhou, XKGC, and PRI;
- 18 2. Defendants’ counterclaims for Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity and
19 Unenforceability of the ’340 Patent are dismissed without prejudice as moot;
- 20 3 Judgment is entered in favor of Shenzhou, XKGC, and PRI, and against
21 Kaneka, as to the Amended Complaint;
- 22 4. Kaneka shall recover nothing in this action as to Shenzhou, XKGC, and PRI;
23 and
- 24 5. Shenzhou, XKGC, and PRI shall be entitled to recover their costs pursuant
25 to the procedures set forth in Local Rule 54-1 through 54-9.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 27, 2014



Hon. Mariana R. Pfaelzer
United States District Judge