

WHEREAS, on March 22, 2011, Plaintiff filed a Complaint for Patent
 Infringement alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,155,840 (the "840 Patent")
 by a number of Defendants;

4

5

6

WHEREAS, on September 4, 2012, United States District Court Judge A.Howard Matz issued a Claim Construction Order construing eleven terms andholding that claims 8 through 16 of the '840 Patent are invalid due to indefiniteness;

WHEREAS, Judge Matz entered a Stipulated Final Judgment, Plaintiff
appealed to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, and, on June 16, 2015, the
Federal Circuit issued its opinion in *Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC et al.*, 792
F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015), affirming that claims 8 through 16 of the '840 Patent are
invalid due to indefiniteness, and remanding on separate grounds;

WHEREAS, the remanded action was ultimately reassigned to this Court and,
on September 28, 2015, the Court set a schedule for briefing a motion for summary
judgment that all of the remaining asserted claims of the '840 Patent are invalid
under 35 U.S.C. § 101, and stayed the action as to all other issues pending the
Court's ruling on the motion;

WHEREAS, on February 17, 2016, after considering all the arguments and
evidence presented in the moving, opposition, and reply papers, the Court issued an
Order granting the Motion for Summary Judgment of Unpatentability Under 35
U.S.C. § 101, finding by clear and convincing evidence that each of the remaining
asserted claims is unpatentable under Section 101 as a matter of law:

For the reasons set forth in the Court's February 17, 2016 Order, it is hereby
ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that:

- 24 25
- FINAL JUDGMENT is entered that claims 1, 3-6, 17-18, and 20-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,155,840 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101.
- 26
 2. FINAL JUDGMENT is entered in Defendants' favor on all of Plaintiff's
 27
 27
 28
 29
 29
 20
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
 27
- 28 3. The deadline for the parties to seek recovery of costs (including filing

1	a Notice of Application and proposed Bill of Costs) and/or attorneys'						
2	fees is extended to thirty (30) days following issuance of the mandate						
3	in any appeal from this Final Judgment.						
4	4. Sub	4. Subject to paragraph 3, all claims between the parties have now been					
5	reso	resolved.					
6	This is a fi	This is a final and appealable judgment.					
7							
8			5.	Jame	Oten		
9	Dated: March 9,	2016		U			
10			Hon. S. James Otero United States District Judge				
11			U	inicu States Di	strict Judge		
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
			-3-				
					[PKOPOSED] JUDGMENT	