Michael Zeleny	v. David Amir Makov et al	Doc (33
		JS-6	
1	David W. Affeld (State Bar No. 123922) Loren J. Beck (State Bar No. 241610)		
2	Affeld Grivakes Zucker LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1180		
3	Los Angeles, California 90025		
4	Telephone (310) 979-8700 Facsimile (310) 979-8701		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael Zeleny		
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	MICHAEL ZELENY, an individual;) CASE NO. CV11-02565 GAF(VBKX)	
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL OF ACTION	
12	vs.	WITHOUT PREJUDICE	
13	DAVID AMIR MAKOV, an individual; and DOES (1-50, inclusive,		
14	Defendants.		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION RE: DISMIS	SSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE	
		Dockets.Justia.co	m

The Court having considered the parties' Stipulation For Dismissal Of Action Without Prejudice, and good cause having been shown, ORDERS that this action is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

The Court further ORDERS that:

- 1. Zeleny may refile this action, but only in California state court, and only if he does so within forty-five (45) days from the date this action is dismissed pursuant to this Stipulation.

 Thereafter, Zeleny shall be barred from refiling this action (or any action or claim against Makov relating to the allegations in this action) in any court. If Zeleny does refile this action in California state court within the time permitted under this paragraph, he stipulates that he will not claim damages (including any costs, fees, interest, penalties, punitive damages, or any form of damage or compensation whatsoever) in excess of \$75,000, and he will assert only the single claim for relief, for intentional infliction of emotional distress, that currently remains in the instant action. Makov will not challenge the sufficiency of the pleading, provided that it is substantively the same as the Complaint in the instant action. Makov authorizes Kirkland & Ellis LLP, to accept service of process for a re-filed California state court action on Makov's behalf, which may be effected by email (.pdf format) to Andrew Genser of Kirkland & Ellis LLP, to be deemed personal service on the date emailed if served before 5 p.m. EST, or on the following court day if served later in the day.
- 2. All applicable statutes of limitation related to a re-filed action described above shall be deemed to be tolled from March 28, 2011, until forty-five days from the date this action is dismissed pursuant to this Stipulation. If (and only if) Zeleny does re-file this action in California state court within forty-five (45) days from the date this action is dismissed by this Court, the state court complaint shall be deemed for purposes of time-related defenses, including without limitation statutes of limitation, statutes of repose, and laches, to have been filed on March 28, 2011.
- 3. Neither party shall be deemed a prevailing party in this action. Each party shall bear his fees and costs incurred in this action.

1	4. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to the extent necessary to enforce
2	the terms of the Stipulation, even after dismissal of this action pursuant to the Stipulation.
3	and corning or that a department, a voir direct distinction particularly to the acut and acut acut acut acut acut acut acut acut
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	
6	DATED: March 14, 2013
7	Hary tees
8	Honorable Gary A. Feess
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	