1

2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATE:	S DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JOSUE VANEGAS,) CASE NO. CV 11-2986-DOC (PJW)
11	Petitioner,))) ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND
12	ν.) RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES) MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND DENYING
13	MARTIN D. BITER,	CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
14	Respondent.	
15	· •	1

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a *de novo* review of those portions of the Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

Further, for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation, the Court finds that Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right and therefore, a certificate of appealability is denied. See 28 U.S.C.

27

26

28

1	§ 2253(c)(2); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b); Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S.
2	322, 336 (2003).
3	
4	DATED: <u>May 2, 2012</u> .
5	
6	plavid O. Carter
7	DAVID O. CARTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT UUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	C:\Temp\notesD30550\~1965912.wpd
	2