PILED

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NOV 1 4 2011 Andrew J. Jaramillo, CA Bar No. 198303 andrew.jaramillo@ogletreedeakins.com Elizabeth A. Falcone, SBN 219084 elizabeth.falcone@ogletreedeakins.com Judy T. Sha, CA Bar No. 261432 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 judy.sha@ogletreedeakins.com ÖĞLETRÉE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C. Park Tower, Suite 1500 695 Town Center Drive 5 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Telephone: 714.800.7900 Facsimile: 714.754.1298 Attorneys for Defendant THE HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC. NOTE CHANGES MADE BY THE COURT Gregory D. Helmer, CA Bar No. 150184 ghelmer@helmerfriedman.com 9 10 Andrew H. Friedman, CA Bar No. 153166 afriedman@helmerfriedman.com 11 Kenneth A. Helmer, CA Bar No. 193366 khelmer@helmerfriendman.com 12 HELMER FRIEDMAN LLP 723 Ocean Front Walk Venice, CA 90291 Telephone: 310-396-7714 13 14 Facsimile: 310-396-9215 15 Attorneys for Plaintiff DANIELLE MAILHOIT 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 17 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION 18 19 DANIELLE MAILHOIT, Case No. CV11-03892-AHM (SSx) 20 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] ORDER 21 Complaint Filed: March 30, 2011 ٧. 22 July 3, 2012 Hon. A. Howard Trial Date: HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., a Judge: 23 corporation, and DOES 1 through 50, Matz Magistrate Judge: Hon. Suzanne H. inclusive, 24 Segal Defendants. 25 26 27 28 Case No. CV11-03892-AHM (SSx) [PROPOSED] ORDER

#### PROPOSED ORDER

#### GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS HEREBY

ORDERED THAT the provisions of the concurrently-filed stipulation between the parties regarding the use and protection of Confidential Information (the "Stipulated Protective Order") shall be entered as the Order of the Court and be binding upon the parties. The Court further orders that, consistent with Paragraph 4 of the parties' Stipulated Protective Order, any motion to seal records must comply with the procedures set forth in Local Rule 79-5 and must also comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1), including that the moving party must make a good cause showing.

### PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

For good cause	Shown. SHI
•	Lyanne 4 Sexul
DATED: November 14, 2011	Syane & SCIM
·	THE/HON. SUZANNE/H\SEGAL
	MAGISTRATE JUDGE OF THE UNITED
	STAYES DISTRICT COURT

1 2 3	Andrew J. Jaramillo, CA Bar No. 19830 andrew.jaramillo@ogletreedeakins.com Elizabeth A. Falcone, SBN 219084 elizabeth.falcone@ogletreedeakins.com Judy T. Sha, CA Bar No. 261432 judy.sha@ogletreedeakins.com OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMO			
4 5	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMO    Park Tower, Suite 1500    695 Town Center Drive	AK & STEWART, P.C.		
6	Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Telephone: 714.800.7900 Facsimile: 714.754.1298			
7		ノ		
8	Attorneys for Defendant THE HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.			
9	Gregory D. Helmer, CA Bar No. 150184 ghelmer@helmerfriedman.com Andrew H. Friedman, CA Bar No. 1531	WOTE CHANCES MADE BY THE COURT		
11	Andrew H. Friedman, CA Bar No. 153166 afriedman@helmerfriedman.com Kenneth A. Helmer, CA Bar No. 193366 khelmer@helmerfriendman.com			
12	khelmer@helmerfriendman.com 2   HELMER FRIEDMAN LLP   723 Ocean Front Walk			
13	Venice, CA 90291			
14	Telephone: 310-396-7714 Facsimile: 310-396-9215			
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff DANIELLE MAILHOIT			
16	IINITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT		
17	CENTED AT DIGEDICE OF CALLEDDAY AND CEDDAY DAY YOU			
18	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALL	TORNIA - WESTERN DIVISION		
19	DANIELLE MAILHOIT,	Case No. CV11-03892-AHM (SSx)		
20	Plaintiff,	PROPOSED STIPULATED		
22	v.	PROTECTIVE ORDER		
23	HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., a corporation, and DOES 1 through 50,	Complaint Filed: March 30, 2011 Trial Date: July 3, 2012 Judge: Hon. A. Howard		
24	corporation, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	Judge: Hon. A. Howard Matz		
25	Defendants.	Magistrate Judge: Hon. Suzanne H. Segal		
26		j		
27	NOTE CHANGES MADE BY THE COURT			
28				
		Case No. CV11-03892-AHM (SSx)		
	STIPULATED PR	OTECTIVE ORDER		

 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff Danielle Mailhoit (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff" and/or "Mailhoit") and Defendant The Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. (erroneously sued as "Home Depot USA, Inc."; hereinafter referred to as "Defendant" and/or "Home Depot"), through their respective attorneys of record, that a Protective Order be entered by this Court as follows:

This Stipulation and Protective Order shall be applicable to and shall apply to the production and exchange of all document requests and documents, interrogatories and answers to interrogatories, depositions, request for admissions, and responses to requests for admissions, exhibits, and pleadings and all other information exchanged and furnished in this Action by the Parties that the parties customarily treat as confidential, proprietary, and/or a trade secret as defined by California Civil Code Section 3426.1.

#### 1. SCOPE

- (a) The parties acknowledge that discovery may require disclosure of information that is private and personal or confidential and proprietary, specifically personnel records, employment offers, competitive analyses, income statements, employee, client, or customer personal information (including, but not limited to medical, age, and contact information), medical records, and financial records and statements, along with other trade secret information as defined in California Civil Code Section 3426.1. As a result, the parties agree to enter into a Protective Order on the following terms to ensure the continuing confidentiality of such information. The parties further acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the protection it affords extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled under the applicable legal principles to treatment as confidential.
- (b) This Protective Order shall limit the use or disclosure of documents, deposition testimony, and related information which are or which embody or disclose any information falling with the scope of Paragraph (1)(a) and designated

- (i) All such documents, including those produced by third parties, designated as "Confidential" in accordance with the terms of this Protective Order and the applicable legal standards;
- (ii) Portions of deposition testimony and transcripts and exhibits thereto which include, refer or relate to any Confidential Information;
- (iii) All information, copies, extracts and complete or partial summaries prepared or derived from Confidential Information; and
- (iv) Portions of briefs, memoranda or any writing filed with or otherwise supplied to the Court, which include or refer to any such Confidential Information.
- (c) Any person designating documents, testimony, or other information as "Confidential" hereunder asserts that he or she believes in good faith that such material is Confidential Information which falls within the scope of Paragraph (1)(a) and is not otherwise available to the public generally. Each party or non-party that designates information or items for protection under this Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. A Designating Party must take care to designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order.

# 2. DESIGNATION OF DOCUMENTS AND DEPOSITIONS

(a) Designation of a document as "Confidential" shall be made by stamping or writing CONFIDENTIAL on the document(s). Alternatively, the parties may designate documents as "Confidential" by producing the documents with a letter designating the documents by Bates number as "Confidential." The parties shall make all reasonable efforts to designate as "Confidential" only those

11

19

20

21

23

25

26

28

offers, competitive analyses, income statements, employee, client or customer personal information, medical records, financial records and statements, and trade secret information as defined in California Civil Code Section 3426.1. The failure to designate documents as "Confidential" at the time of production shall not constitute a waiver of the protection of this Order and any party may, at any time up to 30 days before the actual trial date in this action, designate any documents or information produced as "Confidential" that have not as yet been so designated. Stamping the legend "Confidential" on the cover of any multi-page document shall designate all pages of the document as confidential, unless otherwise indicated by the Designating Party, but only if the entire document is produced in a bound or Designation of a deposition or other pretrial testimony, or portions

thereof, as "Confidential" shall be made by a statement on the record by counsel for the party or other person making the claim of confidentiality at the time of such testimony. The portions of depositions so designated as "Confidential" shall be taken only in the presence of persons qualified to receive such information pursuant to the terms of this Protective Order: the parties and their attorneys and staff, the court reporter, videographer, the deponent, and the deponent's attorney. Failure of any other person to comply with a request to leave the deposition room will constitute sufficient justification for the witness to refuse to answer any question calling for disclosure of Confidential Information so long as persons are in attendance who are not entitled by this Protective Order to have access to such information. The parties may, but need not in order to designate material as Confidential Information, instruct the court reporter to segregate such portions of the deposition in a separate transcript designated as "Confidential." Portions of such deposition transcripts shall be clearly marked as "Confidential" on the cover or on each page, as appropriate.

- depositions taken as containing Confidential Information even if not initially marked as "Confidential" in accordance with the terms of this Protective Order by so advising counsel for each other party in writing and by reproducing said documents with the required confidential designation. Thereafter, each such document or transcript shall be treated in accordance with the terms of this Protective Order; provided, however, that there shall be no liability for any disclosure or use of such documents or transcripts, or the Confidential Information contained therein, which occurred prior to actual receipt of such written notice. Any person who receives actual notice of any such designation of previously produced documents or deposition transcripts as containing Confidential Information shall thereafter treat such information as if it had been designated as "Confidential" at the time he, she, or it first received it in connection with this matter.
- (d) Inadvertent failure to designate Confidential Information shall not be construed as a waiver, in whole or in part, and may be corrected by the producing party by designating documents produced or portions of depositions taken as containing Confidential Information, even if not initially marked as "Confidential," in accordance with the terms of this Protective Order and, specifically, Paragraph 2(c) above.

# 3. LIMITATIONS ON DISCLOSURE OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

(a) No Confidential Information shall be disclosed by anyone receiving such information to anyone other than those persons designated herein. In no event shall Confidential Information be used, either directly or indirectly, by anyone receiving such information for any business, commercial or competitive purpose or for any purpose whatsoever other than the direct furtherance of the litigation of this action in accordance with the provisions of this Protective Order.

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

Acknowledgment and Agreement shall be maintained by counsel and, if a witness appears at deposition or at trial and represents that he or she previously executed an Acknowledgment, it shall be produced for inspection by opposing counsel upon request. Any counsel may require the other counsel to provide a copy of the Acknowledgment and Agreement signed by a witness at a deposition before the witness is deposed with regard to any Confidential Information. Home Depot agrees to have any of its current managerial employees execute the Agreement prior to appearing for deposition and/or trial, so as to permit Plaintiff's counsel to question the witness without taking time for execution of the Agreement.

- (d) Nothing in this Protective Order shall be construed to require execution of the written Acknowledgment and Agreement referred to in Paragraph 3(c) above, or to prevent disclosure of Confidential Information, by the party producing and designating such Confidential Information, or by any employee of such party.
- (e) The substance or content of Confidential Information, as well as all notes and memoranda relating thereto, shall not be disclosed to anyone other than as set forth in Paragraphs 3(b)(i)-(vii) above.

### 4. FILING DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL

(a) If a Party wishes to submit a document to the Court which the other Party has designated as Confidential or which contains Confidential Information, and which has not been successfully challenged under Paragraph 5 below, such document shall be deemed approved for filing under seal and no further application and/or Order shall be required under Local Rule 79-5. The submitting Party shall in all other respects comply with the procedures set forth in Local Rule 79-5 and Judge A. Howard Matz's Order Re: Protective Orders and Treatment of Confidential Information.

# 5. CHALLENGE TO CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATION

Any party that wishes to challenge the designation of a document or other

SK

information as "Confidential" must identify the documents or information for which it is challenging the designation within 30 days after their production. The Designating Party may, for good cause shown, bring a motion before the Court requesting that the Court confirm the designation of any document or information as "Confidential." The party asserting the designation as "Confidential" shall have the burden of establishing good cause for the designation. However, the Court shall be authorized to award the party who prevails on the motion attorneys' fees as a sanction if the challenge to and/or assertion of the "Confidential" designation was made in bad faith or was frivolous. The interested parties or other persons shall attempt to resolve such disagreements before submitting them to the Court pursuant to Local Rule 37-1. Pending resolution of any dispute concerning such designation, all parties and persons governed by this Protective Order shall treat all documents and information previously designated as "Confidential" as protected from further disclosure by this Protective Order.

# 6. SURVIVAL OF ORDER - RETURN OF DOCUMENTS

(a) The provisions of this Order shall continue in effect until otherwise ordered by the Court, or after notice and an opportunity to be heard is afforded to the parties to this action. The final determination or settlement of this action shall not relieve any person who has received Confidential Information or agreed to be bound by the terms of this Protective Order of his, her, or its obligations under this stipulation and Order. The Court shall retain jurisdiction after such final determination or settlement to enforce the provisions of this Order. Upon completion of the litigation, all documents (including copies of documents) containing Confidential Information shall be destroyed or returned to counsel for the producing party, except that (a) documents on which any person has made notations may be destroyed and not returned, and (b) the parties' respective attorneys of record may retain one copy of each such document for use in connection with any disputes which may arise under the Court's retention of

jurisdiction as provided for herein. Within sixty days (60) of the conclusion of this litigation, the attorneys for the receiving party shall provide the attorneys for the producing party a certificate representing that such return or destruction was made. Notwithstanding this provision, Counsel are entitled to retain an archival copy of all pleadings, motion papers, trial, deposition and hearing transcripts, legal memoranda, correspondence, deposition and trial exhibits, expert reports, attorney work product, and consultant and expert work product even if such material contains Confidential Information. Any such archival copies that contain or constitute Confidential Information remain subject to this Protective Order.

(b) Except as provided in Paragraphs 4 or 6 hereof, documents or things containing the other party's Confidential Information shall at all times be in the physical possession of those persons qualifying under Paragraph 3 hereunder, or kept by counsel of record at the premises regularly maintained by such counsel of record as and for their respective law offices.

# 7. USE OF DOCUMENTS AT TRIAL

This Stipulation and Protective Order, except as provided in Paragraph 4, shall not apply to information designated or marked Confidential hereunder which is used at any evidentiary hearing or trial in this action. The parties hereby reserve their rights to use, or seek to limit the disclosure of, confidential information at any such hearing or trial, pursuant to Judge A. Howard Matz's Order Re: Protective Orders and Treatment of Confidential Information.

# 8. USE OF OWN DOCUMENTS BY PRODUCING PARTY

Nothing in this Protective Order shall limit the use by any party, person or entity of his, her, or its own document or information for legitimate business purposes unrelated to this litigation, even if such documents or information have been designated as "Confidential."

# 9. APPLICATIONS TO COURT

(a) This Protective Order shall not preclude or limit any party's right to

oppose or object to discovery on any ground which would be otherwise available. This Protective Order shall not preclude or limit any party's right to seek *in camera* review or to seek further and additional protection against or limitation upon production or dissemination of information produced in response to discovery, including documents and their contents.

- (b) Any person to or by whom disclosure or inspection is made in violation of this Protective Order, and who has knowledge of this Protective Order, shall be bound by the terms hereof.
- (c) The parties hereto, and all other persons who receive Confidential Information pursuant hereto, agree that any party or other person injured by a violation of this Order does not have an adequate remedy at law and that an injunction against such violation is an appropriate remedy. In the event any person shall violate or threaten to violate any terms of this Order, the parties agree that the aggrieved party may immediately apply to obtain injunctive relief against any such person. In the event the aggrieved party shall do so, the responding person subject to the provisions of this Order shall not employ as a defense thereto the claim that the aggrieved party has an adequate remedy at law. Any persons subject to the terms of this Order agree that the Court shall retain jurisdiction over it and them for the purposes of enforcing this Order, including but not limited to issuing an injunction. In addition to injunctive relief, as specified herein, the Court may impose monetary and/or issue sanctions, as well as other relief deemed appropriate under the circumstances for a violation of this Protective Order.
- (d) If any deponent required under the terms of this Protective Order to execute the written Acknowledgment and Agreement described in Paragraph 3(c) above refuses to do so, the parties may complete the deposition on other matters and/or adjourn it and move the Court for any appropriate relief, including (without limitation) relief from this Protective Order as to that deponent, or an order that the deponent shall execute the written agreement described in Paragraph 3(c) above, or

an order that deponent shall be bound by the terms of this Protective Order. Any non-party whose Confidential Information is the subject of such a motion shall be given notice thereof.

#### 10. AGREEMENT TO COOPERATE

The parties hereto and their respective attorneys of record agree that, when one party's attorney requests a deponent to sign the written Acknowledgment and Agreement described in Paragraph 3(c) above, the other party's attorney will join in such request, unless that attorney has a good faith basis for refusing to join in such a request; provided, however, that this requirement shall not apply with respect to any deponent who is represented at his or her deposition by an attorney of record for any party hereto (including any member or associate of their respective law firms). An attorney's request to sign such Acknowledgment pursuant to this Paragraph shall not be construed to constitute legal advice to the deponent, but shall and may be stated to be simply a request to facilitate discovery in this action.

#### 11. NO ADMISSIONS

Neither entering into this Stipulation for Protective Order, nor receiving any documents or other information designated as "Confidential" shall be construed as an agreement or admission: (1) that any document or information designated as "Confidential" is in fact Confidential Information; (2) as to the correctness or truth of any allegation made or position taken relative to any matter designated as "Confidential"; or (3) as to the authenticity, competency, relevancy or materiality of any information or document designated as "Confidential."

# 12. NO WAIVER OF PRIVILEGES OR OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSIBILITY

Nothing in this Protective Order shall be construed as requiring disclosure of Confidential Information, including, but not limited to, materials subject to protection under the attorney-client privilege and/or attorney work product

doctrine, the trade secrets privilege, or under any other applicable privileges or rights of privacy, or requiring disclosure of Confidential Information that is otherwise beyond the scope of permissible discovery. Further, nothing in this Protective Order shall be construed as a waiver by a party of any objections that might be raised as to the admissibility at trial of any evidentiary materials.

#### 13. DISCLOSURE IN VIOLATION OF ORDER

If any Confidential Information is disclosed to any person other than in the manner authorized by this Protective Order, the party responsible for the disclosure must immediately, in writing, notify the opposing party and the Designating Party of all pertinent facts relating to such disclosure, and without prejudice to the rights and remedies of the Designating Party, make every effort to prevent further unauthorized disclosure.

#### 14. MODIFICATION - FURTHER AGREEMENTS

Nothing contained herein shall preclude any party from seeking from a Court modification of this Stipulated Protective Order upon proper notice, nor shall anything contained herein be construed as to preclude the parties from entering into other written agreements designed to protect Confidential Information.

### 15. COUNTERPARTS

This Stipulation for Protective Order may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original and which together shall constitute one instrument.

DATED: November 9, 2011 OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

Andrew J. Jaramillo
Elizabeth A. Falcone
Judy T. Sha

Attorneys for Defendant

Case No. CV11-03892-AHM (SSx)

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	THE HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.
2	DATED: November 9, 2011 HELMER • FRIEDMAN, LLP
3	
4	
5	By: /s/
6	By: /s/ Gregory D. Helmer, P.C. Andrew H. Friedman, P.C. Kenneth A. Helmer
7	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff DANIELLE MAILHOIT
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	n e
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	
	Case No. CV11-03892-AHM (SSx)
	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Mailhoit v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. Case No: CV11-3892 AHM (SSx)

I am and was at all times herein mentioned over the age of 18 years and not a party to the action in which this service is made. At all times herein mentioned I have been employed in the County of Los Angeles in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. My business address is 400 South Hope Street, Suite 1200, Los Angeles, California 90071.

On November 9, 2011, I caused to be electronically filed the following document(s), described as:

# [PROPOSED] STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

with the Clerk of the United States District Court of Central District of California, using the CM/ECF System. The Court's CM/ECF System will send an e-mail notification of the foregoing filing to the following parties and counsel of record who are registered with the Court's CM/ECF System:

Kenneth A. Helmer
HELMER FRIEDMAN, LLP
723 Ocean Front Walk
Venice, CA 90291
Telephone (310) 396-7714
Facsimile (310) 396-9215
khelmer@helmerfriedman.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff DANIELLE MAILHOIT

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the State Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the above is true and correct.

Executed on November 9, 2011, at Los Angeles, California.

Edith Munoz Type or Print Name		
Type of	r Print Name	

Signature Muney

25

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2627

28