Doc. 1

Alpha Investment LLC v. Zynga Inc et al

Plaintiff Alpha Investment, LLC ("Alpha"), by and through its attorneys, for its complaint against defendants Zynga Inc. ("Zynga") and Andrew Trader ("Trader"), alleges the following upon knowledge as to its own actions and upon information and belief for the remainder of the complaint:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. In August 2010, Alpha entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement (the "SPA") with Trader to acquire one million shares of Class A Common stock of Zynga (the "Shares").
- 2. This action now arises from Zynga's deliberate and wrongful efforts to impose improper and unlawful restrictions on the Shares that Alpha is entitled to purchase pursuant to the SPA between Alpha and Trader. Zynga's wrongful and unreasonable conduct is interfering with Alpha's rights and its opportunity to hold the Shares and to hold those shares free from unwarranted transfer restrictions.
- 3. Since the signing of the SPA and the related Escrow Agreement between Alpha and Trader in August 2010, \$12.87 million of Alpha's capital has been held in an escrow account, and Alpha has been deprived of the benefit of its contract with Trader because Zynga has improperly refused to allow the stock sale to go forward. This has been based on Zynga's stated refusal to recognize the transfer and to register the Shares in the name of Alpha without any valid justification.
- 4. Zynga's intentional interference has prevented Alpha from realizing the benefit of its contract and its contractual relations, benefits that Alpha should properly receive given its negotiation of and its entry into the SPA with Trader, *i.e.*, the opportunity to own the Shares. Accordingly, Alpha seeks a mandatory injunction requiring Zynga to (i) accept the legal opinion that was, upon information and belief, provided to Zynga by Trader and Trader's counsel, (ii) register the Shares in Alpha's name, and (iii) issue Alpha a certificate for the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

Shares. In addition, Alpha seeks damages against Zynga for its improper interference with Alpha's contract with Trader.

5. Upon information and belief, Trader performed his obligations under the SPA. However, and in the alternative, in the event that Trader failed to perform all of his contractual requirements under the SPA and thereby prevented Alpha from obtaining the Shares, Alpha seeks specific performance of all of Trader's obligations under the SPA, in addition to the relief sought from Zynga.

#### **PARTIES**

- Alpha Investment, LLC is a limited liability corporation organized 6. under the laws of Abu Dhabi with an address at P.O. Box 186, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Alpha is therefore a citizen of Abu Dhabi.
- 7. Defendant Zynga Inc. is a private Delaware corporation and has its principal place of business at 365 Vermont Street, Suite A, San Francisco, California 94103.
- 8. Upon information and belief, defendant Andrew Trader is a resident and citizen of California.

#### JURISDICTION, VENUE AND APPLICABLE LAW

- 9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to, inter alia, 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because the parties are diverse and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs of suit.
- This Court has personal jurisdiction over Zynga because Zynga has its 10. principal place of business in California.
- This Court has personal jurisdiction over Trader because Trader is a citizen of California and because Trader has contractually consented to personal jurisdiction in this Court.
- Venue lies in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) and (c) 12. because: (i) a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this action occurred within this district; specifically, counsel for Trader was retained in this

district and negotiated and, upon information and belief, delivered the legal opinion in question from this district; (ii) Trader has contractually consented to venue in this district; and (iii) Zynga is subject to personal jurisdiction in this state, and Zynga has sufficient contacts within this district to be subject to personal jurisdiction in this district if this district were a separate state.

13. The SPA states that it is governed by and shall be construed in accordance with California law, without giving effect to that body of laws pertaining to conflict of laws.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

#### Zynga Α.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Zynga is a social network gaming company. It develops browserbased games as applications on social networking websites such as Facebook and MySpace, as well as stand-alone browser-based games. Some of its most popular games include CityVille, FarmVille, and Mafia Wars. According to its website, Zynga has more than 215 million active monthly users and 50 million active daily users.
- 15. Zynga was formed as a limited liability company under the name Presidio Media LLC in California on or about April 19, 2007. It was converted to a Delaware corporation named Presidio Media Inc. on or about October 25, 2007. Thereafter, the corporation was renamed Zynga Game Network Inc. on or about February 11, 2008, and was later renamed Zynga Inc. on or about November 17, 2010.
- According to the Fourteenth Amended and Restated Certificate of 16. Incorporation of Zynga Inc., filed on or about April 18, 2011, Zynga is a private corporation with shares of authorized common stock numbering 1,019,092,724 and shares of authorized preferred stock numbering 399,822,180. Of Zynga's shares of authorized common stock, 998,575,252 shares are designated "Class A Common Stock."

Fel: (310) 893-5150 • Fax: (310) 893-5195

17. Through its entry into the SPA, Alpha sought to invest in Zynga.

#### **Andrew Trader** В.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

- Trader is a co-founder of Zynga and former employee of Zynga who 18. owns shares of Class A Common Stock in Zynga pursuant to a Stock Option Agreement and Stock Option Exercise Agreement, both dated on or about January 3, 2008 (the "Binding Shareholder Agreements"), between Zynga and Trader.
- 19. The Binding Shareholder Agreements provided that Zynga had a right of first refusal (the "Right of First Refusal") for any proposed sale of Trader's shares for a period of 30 days from the time Zynga was given notice of the terms of the sale.

#### C. SecondMarket

- Nonparty SecondMarket, Inc. ("SecondMarket") is an online 20. marketplace for, inter alia, stock in a variety of high growth private companies. It provides a private environment for early investors and employee shareholders to sell their shares prior to a company's initial public offering or other liquidity event. According to SecondMarket's Private Company Report for the third quarter of 2010, SecondMarket has facilitated transactions in private company stock of companies including Zynga, Facebook, and Twitter, among others.
- 21. Through the private marketplace forum provided by SecondMarket, Alpha and Trader were introduced in the summer of 2010 and began exploring the potential purchase and sale of Trader's shares in Zynga, the relevant terms of which were negotiated and set forth in the SPA.

#### D. The Stock Purchase Agreement

On or about August 26, 2010, Alpha and Trader entered a Stock 22. Purchase Agreement (the aforementioned "SPA"), whereby Alpha agreed to purchase from Trader 1,000,000 shares of Zynga Class A Common Stock (as defined above, the "Shares") for \$12.87 per share and an aggregate price of \$12,870,000.

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- In accordance with the Binding Shareholder Agreements, the SPA 23. provided that the sale from Trader to Alpha was subject to Zynga's Right of First Refusal and that, pursuant to that Right of First Refusal, Trader would provide Zynga with notice of the proposed transfer upon execution of the SPA.
- 24. The SPA also provided that Alpha agreed to be bound by and comply with the limitations on transfer in the Binding Shareholder Agreements (SPA, Section 5.1).

#### E. Notice is Provided to Zynga

- On or about August 26, 2010, Trader provided Zynga and counsel for Zynga with a Notice of Proposed Transfer (the "Notice") that set forth the terms of the SPA between Alpha and Trader, and formally provided Zynga with (i) notice of the proposed sale of the Shares and (ii) the opportunity to exercise its Right of First Refusal for purchase of the Shares.
  - 26. The Notice provided, in relevant part: I acknowledge that this Notice is an offer to sell the Offered Shares to the Company [Zynga] and/or its assignee(s) pursuant to the Company's Right of First Refusal at the Offered Price. The Company and/or its assignee(s) shall have 30 days from the date of this Notice to provide written notice to me if they elect to exercise their respective Right of First Refusal.
- David Kinitsky ("Kinitsky"), an employee of SecondMarket, sent an 27. email to Zynga and its outside counsel with the SPA and the Notice on or about August 26, 2010.

#### F. Zynga Recognized the Contract between Alpha and Trader

In response to Kinitsky's email, Karyn Smith, Deputy General 28. Counsel for Zynga, replied on or about August 26, 2010, as follows: "Duly noted.

5

7

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

We will advise you by September 25 regarding our intention to exercise our right of first refusal on these shares." More than 30 days passed and Zynga did not exercise its Right of First Refusal. During this period, Zynga did not contact Alpha or SecondMarket.

- On or about September 26, 2010, Kinitsky emailed Zynga and its 29. outside counsel, noting that the Right of First Refusal period had expired. Kinitsky requested that Zynga confirm that it had waived its Right of First Refusal and send "any and all final closing materials" so that the transfer of the Shares between Trader and Alpha could be consummated.
- On or about September 27, 2010, Smith of Zynga replied, stating that 30. Zynga had decided not to exercise its Right of First Refusal with respect to the Shares. Smith noted that Zynga's outside counsel, Brian Erb of Ropes & Gray LLP, would thereafter communicate with SecondMarket "regarding the documentation required to complete this transfer" of shares from Trader to Alpha.

## Zynga Makes a Series of Wholly Unjustified Demands for the Imposition of Improper Restrictions on the Sale of Shares

- On or about September 29, 2010, Zynga, through its counsel Erb, sent 31. an email to SecondMarket, Alpha and Trader, attaching a form of Stock Purchase Agreement and Representation Letter as well as Stock Transfer Instructions.
- These documents purported to impose additional restrictions on the 32. sale of shares from Trader to Alpha beyond those in the SPA, the Binding Shareholder Agreements, Zynga's Tenth Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, which was in effect at the time, and, upon information and belief, all of Zynga's bylaws then in effect. In particular, the restrictions provided that Alpha could not sell or transfer the shares beginning on the date of acquisition of the Shares until the later of (i) 180 days after the initial public offering of Zynga's common stock or (ii) the termination date of the market standoff agreement, and sought to impose an obligation that Alpha would enter into an agreement required

by Zynga to implement this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- The form of Stock Purchase Agreement proposed by Zynga provided, in relevant part:
  - Representation Letter. Purchaser acknowledges 5.2. and agrees that it is bound by, and the Shares will be subject to, the provisions of the Representation Letter[.]
  - Resale Restrictions. Purchaser acknowledges and 5.3. agrees that it will not effect any sale or transfer of the Shares except in compliance with this Agreement, the Representation Letter and the Binding Stockholder Agreements, and then only if (i) there is then in effect a registration statement under the Act covering such proposed disposition and such disposition is made in accordance with such registration statement; or (ii) Purchaser shall have furnished the Company with an opinion, in form and substance satisfactory to the Company, from counsel to Seller satisfactory to the Company that states that the offer and sale of the Shares being made pursuant to Rule 144 of the Act and in compliance with all applicable federal and state securities laws.
  - Subsequent Purchasers. Purchaser acknowledges 5.4. and agrees that, if permitted, it will not effect any sale or transfer of the Shares except in compliance with this Agreement, the Representation Letter and the Binding Stockholder Agreements, and then

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

only to a transferee who agrees in writing for the benefit of the Company to be bound by all applicable provisions of the Binding Stockholder Agreements. Purchase [sic] understands and acknowledges that all future transferees of all or part of the Shares shall receive and hold the Shares subject to all applicable provisions of the Binding Stockholder Agreements.

34. The attached form of Representation Letter proposed by Zynga provided, in relevant part:

> In connection with the proposed sale of the Shares pursuant to the [Stock Purchase] Agreement, the undersigned, Purchaser and Seller, hereby represent and warrant to, and agree with, the Company as follows: ...

(v) without limiting the foregoing, Purchaser hereby acknowledges and agrees that the Shares will be subject to resale restrictions which prohibit the transfer or sale of the Shares at any time during the period beginning on the date of acquisition by Purchaser and ending on the later of (a) 180 days after the effective date of the initial public offering of the Company's common stock or (b) the date on which the market stand-off agreement referenced in clause (iv) above terminates; Purchaser acknowledges that the certificate evidencing the Shares will bear a legend to the foregoing effect; Purchaser shall enter into any agreement reasonably required by the Company to implement

the foregoing;

. . .

- (vii) ... [I]n no even shall (a) the Company be deemed to have acted in bad faith in connection with any determination made pursuant to the exercise of its discretion under the provisions of the Agreement or the Binding Stockholder Agreements, and (b) the Company have any liability to Purchaser for the failure of the transactions contemplated by the Agreement to be consummated for any reason; ...
- 35. The Stock Transfer Instructions proposed by Zynga purported to require that Zynga's form of Stock Purchase Agreement had to be signed before Zynga would allow the transfer of the Shares to proceed. Notwithstanding the fact that this form of stock purchase agreement referenced the Representation Letter and the restrictions contained in the Representation Letter, such restrictions were not contained in the Binding Shareholder Agreements, Zynga's corporate documents or, upon information and belief, Zynga's bylaws. Zynga improperly sought to impose these demands on this transaction and, specifically, the instructions provided: "Please note that this is the only form of Stock Purchase Agreement that will be accepted by Zynga in connection with the sale of your shares." These improper restrictions were consistent with the clear message Zynga later delivered to Trader, that under no circumstances would Zynga permit the transaction with Alpha to be accomplished.

#### H. Alpha Requests that Zynga Remove the Additional Restrictions

36. Upon reviewing the proposed restrictions in the form of Stock Purchase Agreement and Representation Letter provided by Zynga, counsel for Alpha advised SecondMarket and counsel for Zynga that Alpha would not agree to be bound by restrictions that it was not required to be subject to under the terms of

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

the existing agreements between Zynga and Trader, namely the Binding Shareholder Agreements, or other restrictions that were not otherwise legally required and that were outside the market norms.

37. Specifically, Alpha indicated its objection to the language in the section (v) of the Representation Letter that:

> the Shares will be subject to the resale restrictions which prohibit the transfer or sale of the Shares at any time during the period beginning on the date of acquisition by Purchaser and ending on the later of (a) 180 days after the effective date of the initial public offering of the Company's common stock or (b) the date on which the market stand-off agreement referenced in clause (iv) above terminates; Purchaser acknowledges that the certificate evidencing the Shares will bear a legend to the foregoing effect; Purchaser shall enter into any agreement reasonably required by the Company to implement the foregoing[.]

- 38. On or about November 9, 2010, Kinitsky sent Zynga's counsel an email expressing Alpha's views and requesting that section (v) of the Representation Letter be removed. The email stated that section (v) of the Representation Letter "was a novel restriction on the shares not present in AT's [Trader's] hands" and that "[i]mposing such a restriction, if enforceable, within a Representation Letter allows the company to apply it selectively." Kinitsky further stated that Alpha "finds the provision unacceptable."
- 39. Kinitsky attached a version of the Representation Letter that omitted the offending section (v) and proposed execution of the version without the section that contained these improper restrictions.
  - 40. On or about November 12, 2010, Kinitsky sent a follow-up email to

counsel for Zynga, asking if counsel had discussed the provision with Zynga.

#### I. Zynga Refuses Alpha's Proposed Representation Letter

- 41. On or about November 17, 2010, Erb, as counsel for Zynga, responded that: "to the extent the transfer restrictions are contained in Zynga's constitutional documents, it's irrelevant whether or not that provision is in the representation letter in the form of an agreement on the buyer's part." Erb continued: "Notwithstanding your or buyer's counsel view, Zynga is perfectly comfortable that this provision is enforceable."
- 42. Neither Zynga nor Erb provided any basis for the restriction under California or Delaware law or in Zynga's Certificate of Incorporation. Nor did Zynga provide any bylaw restrictions to this effect.
- 43. Kinitsky responded, again on November 17, 2010, asking Erb which of Zynga's constitutional documents set forth the transfer restriction at issue. Erb replied that same day, stating that the restriction was contained in Zynga's bylaws. Neither Erb nor Zynga has provided Alpha with Zynga's bylaws. Upon information and belief, Zynga's bylaws contained no such restriction.

#### J. Trader's Compliance with the SPA

- 44. Section 2.3 of the SPA required Trader to provide Zynga with "a legal opinion with regard to the transfer of the Shares in standard form for such transactions." Accordingly, Trader retained the Los Angeles law firm of Vick Law Group to provide the required form legal opinion, and upon information and belief, the firm provided this opinion as called for in the SPA.
- 45. Upon information and belief, Trader has complied with his obligations to Zynga under Section 2.3 of the SPA in that he has provided Zynga with "a legal opinion with regard to the transfer of the Shares in standard form for such transactions." Upon information and belief, attorney Scott Vick, acting as counsel for Trader, provided Zynga with such a legal opinion in November or December 2010. Alpha has repeatedly requested a copy of the opinion Trader provided to

Zynga from both Zynga and Trader but neither Zynga nor Trader has produced it to Alpha.

- 46. Notwithstanding Trader's apparent satisfaction of this requirement, on or about December 22, 2010, Kinitsky emailed counsel for Alpha, explaining that "the message we [SecondMarket and Trader] are receiving from Zynga is that they are simply not going to let any transfer take place here."
- 47. As a result of Zynga's refusal to permit the share transfer to Alpha from Trader, Alpha has been denied the benefit of its contract with Trader, specifically the opportunity to own the Shares. This refusal is of particular consequence because, as has been widely reported, Zynga's valuation has increased tremendously since Alpha and Trader signed the SPA, and the value of the shares purchased by Alpha under the SPA has significantly increased in value during the intervening period.

#### K. The Delaware Action

- 48. Zynga is a Delaware corporation and its internal corporate matters are governed by Delaware law. Therefore, Alpha brought an action against Zynga in the Delaware Court of Chancery, captioned *Alpha Investment*, *LLC v. Zynga Inc.*, C.A. No. 6225-VCS, challenging Zynga's effort to preclude Alpha and Trader from concluding the sale of the Shares.
- 49. On February 28, 2011, Alpha filed its Verified Complaint in the Delaware Court of Chancery and on March 1, 2011, served its Verified Complaint on Zynga, bringing claims for mandatory injunctive relief requiring Zynga to register the Shares in Alpha's name, as well as claims for declaratory relief and for tortious interference with Alpha's prospective business advantage.
- 50. On March 4, 2011, Alpha served on Zynga its First Request for Production of Documents, seeking, among other things, Zynga's corporate governance documents and bylaws. Zynga produced no discovery, but rather, on March 21, 2011, Zynga moved to dismiss the action and, on April 8, 2011, Zynga

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

moved to stay all discovery.

- In its motion to stay discovery, Zynga argued that staying discovery was somehow appropriate because Alpha had not and could not assert that Trader had taken all steps necessary to effectuate the transfer. Specifically, Zynga claimed that Trader failed to meet his obligation to provide Zynga with a legal opinion. According to Zynga, "Nowhere in the Complaint [. . .] does Alpha allege that Mr. Trader has satisfied this condition. In fact, Mr. Trader has not done so." Mot. for *Stay of Discovery* at 2-3.
- In Zynga's opening brief in support of its Motion to Dismiss, filed April 20, 2011, Zynga again alleged that Alpha could not state a claim against it because Trader had failed to provide a legal opinion to Zynga as "expressly" and "explicitly" provided for in the SPA. Br. at 1, 4. "The Complaint fails to allege that such a legal opinion was provided to the Company. (In fact, it was not.)" Id. at 1. Zynga also argued that the complaint should be dismissed under Court of Chancery Rule 19 because Alpha had not joined Trader, an indispensible party to the action. As part of Zynga's motion under Rule 19, Zynga claimed that full relief on the issues could not be had in Trader's absence.
- 53. Alpha asked Zynga to produce any legal opinion Trader had provided to Zynga, but Zynga has produced no opinion in response. Notwithstanding the fact that Alpha believes Trader provided the required opinion to Zynga, to avoid any further delay, Alpha brings this complaint case and names all of the parties with potential claims with respect to the Shares.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### (Mandatory Injunction Against Zynga)

- Alpha incorporates the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 53 as if 54. fully set forth herein.
- Upon information and belief, the SPA between Alpha and Trader 55. complies with all restrictions in place on the Shares and all requirements in the

3

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Binding Stockholder Agreements.

- Zynga chose to allow its 30 day Right of First Refusal to lapse during which time it had the right and opportunity to purchase the Shares and thus prohibit the sale and transfer of the Shares to Alpha. As Zynga chose not to exercise this right and as Alpha meets all other requirements, Zynga must therefore accept the legal opinion that was, upon information and belief, provided to it by Trader, register the Shares in Alpha's corporate name and must issue Alpha a certificate for the Shares in accordance with the SPA and without seeking to impose any additional restrictions on the Shares.
- 57. Zynga has repeatedly and unreasonably refused to register the Shares in Alpha's corporate name and to issue Alpha a certificate for the Shares under the terms of the SPA even after several requests that it do so. Instead, Zynga has purported to impose additional improper and unlawful restrictions on the Shares and (i) has insisted it will only register the Shares in Alpha's corporate name and issue Alpha a certificate for the Shares with these additional restrictions in place, (ii) has averred before the Chancery Court of the State of Delaware that Trader did not satisfy his obligation by providing Zynga with a legal opinion pursuant to Section 2.3 of the SPA when, upon information and belief, Trader provided such an opinion, and (iii) has refused to register the transfer in any event.
- 58. A mandatory injunction requiring Zynga to accept the legal opinion provided by Trader, register the Shares in Alpha's corporate name and to issue Alpha a certificate for the Shares is necessary because Alpha lacks an adequate remedy at law.
- By reason of the foregoing, and notwithstanding any provision to the 59. contrary, Alpha is entitled to a mandatory injunction requiring Zynga to accept the legal opinion provided by Trader, register the Shares in Alpha's corporate name and to issue Alpha a certificate for the Shares in accordance with the SPA and without the imposition of any further restrictions on the Shares.

# LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024 Tel: (310) 893-5150 • Fax: (310) 893-5195

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### (Declaratory Judgment Against Zynga)

- Alpha incorporates the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 59 as if 60. fully set forth herein.
- Upon information and belief, the SPA between Alpha and Trader 61. complies with the Binding Stockholder Agreements and all restrictions in place on the Shares as of the time the Alpha and Trader signed the SPA.
- A declaratory judgment is necessary because Alpha lacks an adequate 62. remedy at law.
- 63. Alpha and Zynga have an actual controversy concerning Zynga's obligation to authorize the transfer of Shares to Alpha from Trader and to register the Shares on behalf of Alpha and to issue Alpha a certificate for the Shares in accordance with the SPA.
- 64. The parties have a real and adverse interest in the controversy, and it is ripe for judicial determination.
- By reason of the foregoing and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 65. 2202, Alpha is entitled to a declaration that (i) Trader provided Zynga with a legal opinion that met the requirements of Section 2.3 of the SPA; (ii) Zynga is obliged to authorize the transfer of Shares in accordance with the SPA; (iii) Zynga is precluded from placing additional, unspecified and unreasonable restrictions on the Shares, and (iv) Zynga must register the Shares in Alpha's corporate name and issue Alpha a certificate for the Shares, notwithstanding any provision to the contrary.

#### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage Against Zynga)

Alpha incorporates the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 65 as if 66. fully set forth herein.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

9

- Alpha had a valid prospective business relationship with Trader with 67. a probability of future economic benefit to Alpha, as set forth in the SPA between Alpha and Trader.
- Zynga acknowledged the existence of Alpha's business expectancy 68. and intentionally interfered with the expectancy by refusing to transfer the Shares under the SPA and demanding additional restrictions on the Shares. Zynga's refusal to transfer the Shares without additional restrictions was not done to protect a legitimate business interest and was not justified. Instead, Zynga's actions were done with the intention of interfering with Alpha's business relationship with Trader.
  - Zynga's interference has deprived Alpha of its business expectancy. 69.
- Alpha has suffered damages caused by Zynga's interference with 70. Alpha's business relationship with Trader.

#### FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### (Breach of Contract, in the Alternative, Against Trader)

- Alpha incorporates the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 53 as if 71. fully set forth herein.
  - The SPA is a valid and enforceable written contract. 72.
- Alpha has performed its obligations and complied with all conditions 73. and requirements of the SPA. To the extent that Alpha has not performed all of its obligations and complied with all of the conditions under the SPA, its performance of such has been excused, waived, prevented or made impossible or impracticable by Trader.
- To the extent Trader did not provide Zynga with "a legal opinion with 74. regard to the transfer of the Shares in standard form for such transactions," Trader failed to meet his obligations under Section 2.3 of the SPA and therefore breached the SPA.
  - To the extent Trader breached the SPA, as a direct and proximate 75.

Fel: (310) 893-5150 • Fax: (310) 893-5195

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

cause of Trader's wrongful conduct, Alpha has suffered damages because Alpha has been deprived of ownership of the Shares, the value of which exceeds the minimum jurisdictional amount for this action.

#### FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### (Tortious Interference with Contract, in the Alternative, Against Zynga)

- 76. Alpha incorporates the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 53 and 71 through 75 as if fully set forth herein.
- The SPA between Alpha and Trader is a valid contract, of which Zynga had knowledge, as the SPA was provided to Zynga with the Notice of Proposed Transfer on or about August 26, 2010.
- 78. Upon information and belief, Zynga intentionally and unreasonably refused to accept the legal opinion provided to it by Trader, intentionally inducing Trader to breach his obligations under Section 2.3 of the SPA.
- Zynga's intentional refusal to accept Trader's legal opinion caused 79. Trader to breach his contractual obligations under the SPA.
- Alpha has suffered damages as a result of Trader's breach of the SPA, in that it has been deprived of ownership of the Shares, the value of which exceeds the minimum jurisdictional amount for this action.

#### SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### (Unfair Competition Against Zynga and Trader)

- Alpha incorporates the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 80 as if 81. fully set forth herein.
- Defendants' acts and practices as alleged herein constitute unfair 82. and/or fraudulent business practices within the meaning of the California Unfair Competition Law ("UCL"), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.
  - Each Defendant is a "person" within the meaning of the UCL. 83.
- 84. As a result of Defendants unfair and/or fraudulent conduct, Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact and has lost and/or been deprived of money or property.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

///

///

///

Plaintiff is therefore entitled to equitable relief from Defendants, 85. including restitution, disgorgement and a permanent injunction requiring Defendants to cease the unfair and/or fraudulent conduct described herein.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Alpha respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in its favor and against defendants Zynga and Trader as follows:

- Awarding Alpha its actual damages on each of its claims; a.
- Granting Alpha all injunctive relief requested herein; b.
- Issuing a mandatory injunction requiring Zynga to accept the c. legal opinion provided to it by Trader, register the Shares in Alpha's corporate name and issue Alpha a certificate for the shares;
- Granting Alpha the declaratory and equitable relief requested d. herein;
- Declaring and determining that Zynga has tortiously interfered e. with Alpha's prospective business advantage;
- f. As to the Fourth Claim for Relief for Breach of Contract, ordering specific performance under the SPA by Trader;
- Awarding Alpha its costs, expenses, disbursements, and g. attorney's fees in respect hereto; and
- Granting Alpha such other relief as the Court deems just and h. proper.

	i			
	1	DATED:	June 3, 2011	ALLEN & OVERY LLP
	2			CRONE HAWXHURST LLP
	3			e DD DD
	4			By: Jord Maux
	5			Gerald E. Hawxhurst Attorneys for Plaintiff
	6			Alpha Investment, LLC
	7			
	8			
	9			
	10			
	11			
JP 1150 14 3-5195	12			
CRONE HAWXHURST LLP 10880 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1150 Los Angeles, California 90024 Tel: (310) 893-5150 • Fax: (310) 893-5195	13			
VXHU ULEVAR ALIFORN	14			
E HAV HIRE BO ELES, C.	15			
RONE 0 WILS .05 ANG 10) 893	16	:		
1088 1088 1	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			

<u> 19 -</u>

# CRONE HAWXHURST LLP 10880 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1150 Los Angeles, California 90024 Tel: (310) 893-5150 • Fax: (310) 893-5195

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff Alpha Investment, LLC hereby demands trial by jury pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. § 38(b).

DATED: June 3, 2011

ALLEN & OVERY LLP

CRONE HAWXHURST LLP

Ву

Gerald E. Hawxhurst Attorneys for Plaintiff Alpha Investment, LLC

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Dolly Gee and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Carla Woehrle.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV11- 4764 DMG (CWx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

A	all discovery related motions	shou	ald be noticed on the calendar	of th	e Magistrate Judge		
		===	NOTICE TO COUNCE!				
A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is							
filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).  Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:							
[X]	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012		Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516		Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501		
Failu	Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.						

Gerald E. Hawxhurst CRONE HAWXHURST LLP 10880 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1150 Los Angeles, CA 90024 310-893-5150

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
ALPHA INVESTMENT, LLC,	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S)	CV11-04764 Duffer
V. ZYNGA INC. and ANDREW TRADER	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	
TO: DEFENDANT(S): ZYNGA INC. and ANDREW TRA	DER
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Ge	e 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer erald E. Hawxhurst , whose address is . If you fail to do so,
	Clerk, U.S. District Court
Dated: June 3, 2011	By: Deputy Clerk
	(Seal of the Court)
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United State 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	es agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed

CV-01A (12/07)

SUMMONS

CCD-1A

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

			CIVID COVI							
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □)					DEFENDANTS					
				ZVNG	A INC. and AN	DREV	V TRADER			
ALPHA INVESTMENT, LLC				ZING	A II C. mid 7 ii	iDiu.	W HOLDER			
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	ddress and Telephone Number. If	you are	representing	Attorneys	(If Known)					
yourself, provide same.) Gerald E. Hawxhurst	•									
CRONE HAWXHURS	rito		1							
		A 000	,,							
10880 Wilshire Biva., S	Suite 1150, Los Angeles, Ca	4 9002	4						<del></del>	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIC	ON (Place an X in one box only.)				RINCIPAL PART x for plaintiff and c			s Only		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S.				PTF	DEF			PTF	
	Government Not a Party	')	Citizen of This S	State	□ 1		Incorporated or l		□ 4	<b>Z</b> J 4
							of Business in th	us State		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendar		enship	Citizen of Anoth	ner State	□ 2	□ 2	Incorporated and	-	□ 5	□ 5
•	of Parties in Item III)						of Business in A	nother State		
			Citizen or Subje	ct of a Fore	eign Country 🖾 3	□ 3	Foreign Nation		□6	□6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in or	ne box only.)		-							
□ 1 Original □ 2 Remov	ed from 3 Remanded from	□ 4 Re	instated or 🗆 5	Transferr	ed from another dis	trict (sp	ecify): 🗆 6 Mult	ti- □7 App	peal to I	District
Proceeding State C			opened			•	Dist		ge from	
							Litig	gation Ma	gistrate	Judge
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL	AINT: JURY DEMAND: 🗵 🤇	Yes 🗆	No (Check 'Yes	only if dea	manded in complai	nt.)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	C.P. 23: □ Yes ⊠ No		⊠ N	ONEY D	EMANDED IN C	OMPLA	AINT: \$ <u> &gt; \$75,0</u>	00		
VI CAUSE OF ACTION (Cit	te the U.S. Civil Statute under which	ch vou a	re filing and writ	e a brief st	atement of cause.	Do not c	ite jurisdictional st	atutes unless div	versity.)	,
Mandatory injunction dec	clatory judgment; interfere	ence w	/ economical	advantag	ge; breach of k;	tortiou	interference	w/ k; unfair (	compe	tition.
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place		<u></u>								
	T	I .	marina	1.3.2	morano	21112	PRISONER	STATE OF TAX	BOR.	.6 22 2
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	DEE	TORTS SONAL INJURY	1,000	TORTS PERSONAL	9.400.600	PETITIONS	□ 710 Fair La	2. 2. 2. E. S.	ndards
☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust	☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine		Airplane		PROPERTY	1 1 1	Motions to	Act	ioox is man	.,
☐ 430 Banks and Banking	☐ 130 Miller Act	1	Airplane Produc		Other Fraud		Vacate Sentence	□ 720 Labor/	-	
□ 450 Commerce/ICC	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	D 220	Liability Assault, Libel &		Truth in Lending	- 530	Habeas Corpus	Relatio		
Rates/etc.	□ 150 Recovery of	320	Slander	□ 380	Other Personal Property Damage		General Death Penalty	□ 730 Labor/ Report	_	
☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Overpayment & Enforcement of	□ 330	Fed. Employers'	□ 385	Property Damage	□ 540	Mandamus/	1	sure Act	1
and Corrupt	Judgment		Liability		Product Liability		Other	□ 740 Railwa	•	· Act
Organizations	□ 151 Medicare Act	2	Marine Marine Product				Civil Rights	□ 790 Other I		
☐ 480 Consumer Credit	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	013	Liability	□ 422	Appeal 28 USC 158		Prison Condition ORFEITURE	Litigati ☐ 791 Empl. I		
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	Student Loan (Excl. Veterans)		Motor Vehicle	□ 423	Withdrawal 28		PENALTY	Securit		
□ 850 Securities/Commodities/		□ 3\$5	Motor Vehicle Product Liability	1	USC 157	□ 610	Agriculture	PROPERT		its
Exchange	Overpayment of	□ 360	Other Personal	CI	VIL RIGHTS	□ <b>62</b> 0	Other Food &	□ 820 Copyri	ghts	
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12	Veteran's Benefits	<u> </u>	Injury		Voting Employment	□ 625	Drug Drug Related	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Tradem	nark	
USC 3410 ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☑ 190 Other Contract	□ 362	Personal Injury- Med Malpractice	Im 442	Housing/Acco-	LJ (725	Seizure of	SOCIAL S		ŢΥ
□ 891 Agricultural Act	☐ 195 Contract Product	□ 365	Personal Injury-		mmodations		Property 21 USC			
☐ 892 Economic Stabilization	Liability		Product Liability	I	Welfare	C7 (20	881	<ul> <li>□ 862 Black I</li> <li>□ 863 DIWC/</li> </ul>		
Act	☐ 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY	□ 368	Asbestos Persona Injury Product	1 11 443	American with Disabilities -		Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck	(405(g)		
☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	☐ 210 Land Condemnation		Liability		Employment	l'	Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID T		I
□ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	☐ 220 Foreclosure		MIGRATION	□ 446	American with	□ 660	Occupational	□ 865 RSI (40		777700
☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-		□ 462	Naturalization Application	l	Disabilities -	□ 690	Safety /Health	FEDERAL 1 □ 870 Taxes (		
nation Under Equal Access to Justice	☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	□ 463	Habeas Corpus-	D 440	Other Other Civil	U 090	Ontel	or Defe	•	
□ 950 Constitutionality of	290 All Other Real Property		Alien Detainee		Rights			□ 871 IRS-Th	ird Part	y 26
State Statutes	, ,	□ 465	Other Immigration Actions	on			•	USC 76	509	
			Leations							
	<del>5)\//</del>									
<u> </u>		A S			- 40					
				II Ja	76/1					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Number:	AND (	y estima della							

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

	DENTICAL CASES: Ha	is this action been pr	eviously filed in this court an	d dismissed, remanded or closed? 웹 No 니 Yes				
` '	ELATED CASES: Hav	e any cases been pre	viously filed in this court tha	at are related to the present case? ☑ No □ Yes				
	vil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:  neck all boxes that apply)  \[ \begin{align*} \text{A.} \text{ Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or \[ \begin{align*} \text{B.} \text{ Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or \[ \begin{align*} \text{C.} \text{ For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or \[ \begin{align*} \text{D.} \text{ Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.} \end{align*}							
IX. VENUI	E: (When completing the	following informati	ion, use an additional sheet if	necessary.)				
(a) List the ☐ Check	e County in this District; here if the government, i	California County o	utside of this District; State i.	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in	this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
				Abu Dhabi, A.U.R.				
(b) List the ☐ Check	e County in this District; here if the government, i	California County of ts agencies or emplo	utside of this District; State in	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in	this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
. : •				San Francisco County; Deleware				
			utside of this District; State i	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.				
County in 1	this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los /	Angeles Cour	nty						
* Los Angel Note: In lan	les, Orange, San Bernard condemnation cases, us	rdino, Riverside, Ve se the location of the	entura, Santa Barbara, or S tract of land involved	San Luis Obispo Counties				
X SIGNAT	TURE OF ATTORNEY (	OR PRO PER): 🗳	Roadd Har	JPG Date June 3, 2011				
Notice or other	to Counsel/Parties: The ronners as required by la	ne CV-71 (JS-44) Ci	ed by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statis	stical codes relating to Se	ocial Security Cases:						
	Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action				
;	861	НІА	All claims for health insurable, include claims by ho program. (42 U.S.C. 1935)	ance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. spitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the FF(b))				
				g" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969.				
•	863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
	863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
	864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.					
	865	RSI	All claims for retirement (o U.S.C. (g))	old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42				

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2