		JS-6	
1			
2			
3			
4 5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ANGEL MENDEZ, et al.,	Case No.: CV 11-04771-MWF (PJWx)	
12	Plaintiffs,		
13	VS.		
14	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,	JUDGMENT AFTER TRIAL	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17			
18	Following a trial to the Court, the Court entered its Findings of Fact and		
19 20	Conclusions of Law (Docket No. 250). Consistent with the Findings of Fact and		
20 21	Conclusions of Law, and pursuant to Rules 54(a) and 58(b)(1)(C) of the Federal		
21	Rules of Civil Procedure, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND		
22	DECREED that judgment on the merits be entered as follows:		
23	1 On Plaintiffs' Claim that Defendants conducted an unreasonable search		
25	(based on warrantless entry) in violation of the Fourth Amendment:		
26	Judgment in the sum of <b>\$1.0</b>	0 in nominal damages is entered against	
27	Defendant Deputy Christoph	er Conley only, and in favor of Plaintiffs	
28	Angel Mendez and Jennifer I ynne Garcia (now Jennifer Mendez)		
		DUCKEISJUSIId	

1	2. On Plaintiffs' Claim that Defendants conducted an unreasonable search		
2	(based on failure to knock-and-announce) in violation of the Fourth		
3	Amendment: Judgment in the sum of <b>\$1.00</b> in nominal damages is		
4		entered, jointly and severally, against Defe	endants Deputies Conley and
5		Jennifer Pederson, and in favor of Plaintiffs	
6	3.	On Plaintiffs' Claim that Defendants used excessive force (based on	
7		conduct at the moment of shooting) in violation of the Fourth	
8		Amendment: Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants Deputies	
9	Conley and Pederson.		
10	4.	On Plaintiffs' Claim that Defendants used excessive force (based on	
11	Alexander/Billington provocation) in violation of the Fourth		
12	Amendment: Judgment is entered, jointly and severally, against		
13	Defendants Deputies Conley and Pederson, and in favor of Plaintiffs, as		
14		follows:	
15	<u>Plair</u>	<u>ttiff Angel Mendez</u>	
16	a.	Past Medical Bills:	\$ 721,056.00
17	b.	Future Medical Care:	
18		i. Prosthesis upkeep & replacement:	\$ 407,000.00
19		ii. Future surgeries:	\$ 45,000.00
20		iii. Psychological care (5 years):	\$ 13,300.00
21	c.	Attendant Care (4 hours/day at	ф <i>с</i> <u>10</u> <b>2</b> <u>10</u> 00
22	1	\$12.00/hour):	\$ 648,240.00
23		Loss of Earnings:	\$ 241,920.00
24	e.	Non-Economic Damages:	\$ 1,800,000.00
25		TOTAL:	\$ 3,876,516.00
26			
27			
28			
	-1-		
		JUDGMENT	

1	<u>Plaintiff Jennifer Lynn Gar</u>	<u>cia (now Jennifer Mendez)</u>		
2	a. Past Medical Bills:	\$ 95,182.00		
3	b. Future Medical Care:	\$ 37,000.00		
4	c. Non-Economic Damage	es: <u>\$ 90,000.00</u>		
5	TOTAL:	\$ 222,182.00		
6	5. On Plaintiffs' Californi	On Plaintiffs' California tort claims: Judgment is entered in favor of		
7	Defendants Deputies C	Defendants Deputies Conley and Pederson.		
8		00 Mizzai		
9 10	DATED: August 27, 2013.	Michae W. Strande		
11		MICHAEL W. FITZGERALD		
12		United States District Judge		
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		-7-		
		-2- JUDGMENT		