1		
2		
3		
4		JS - 6
5		JS - 0
6		
7		
8	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	ROBERT THOMSON,) NO. CV 11-06154 SJO (JCx)
12	Plaintiff,) JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANTS
13) LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S) DEPARTMENT AND TORRANCE POLICE
14	٧.) DEPARTMENT
15	TORRANCE POLICE DEPARTMENT	
16	and THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,	
17		
18	Defendants.)
19		
20	TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:	
21	These matters came before the Court on Plaintiff Robert Thomson's ("Plaintiff") Motion for	
22	Summary Judgment, filed December 17, 2011 (ECF No. 34), Defendant Los Angeles County	
23	Sheriff's Department's ("LASD") Motion for Summary Judgment, filed December 23, 2011 (ECF	
24	No. 36), and Defendant Torrance Police Department's (with LASD, "Defendants") Motion for	
25	Summary Judgment, filed January 3, 2012 (ECF No. 38). Having reviewed and considered the	
26	pleadings and evidence submitted in support of and in opposition to the Motions, the Court found	
27	that the pleadings, discovery, and disclosure materials on file, including the declarations submitted	
28	by the parties show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that Defendants	

1	LASD and Torrance Police Department are entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Accordingly,
2	the Court granted Defendants' Motions against Plaintiff and denied Plaintiff's cross-Motion.
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that summary judgment shall be,
4	and hereby is, entered in favor of Defendants Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department and
5	Torrance Police Department as to all claims.
6	IT IS SO ADJUDGED.
7	
8	Dated: July 2, 2012.
9	S. Jame Oten
10	S. JAMES OTERO
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
27	
28	