## FILED

1 Jabir Abdul Akbar 2011 AUG -2 PM 2: 47 1851 Cleveland Road Glendale, California 91202 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES 3 Telephone: (818) 484-5223 In pro per 5 Fee Daid 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - WESTERN DIVISION 10 CV11-06346-GAF(FMOX) 11 JABIR ABDUL AKBAR, Case No.: 12 13 Plaintiff, **COMPLIANT FOR DECLARATORY** JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTIVE 14 RELIEF VS. 15 AHMAN DOLPHIN, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 16 JAMELLE DOLPHIN, KATERINA HAJKOVA; 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

## REQUEST FOR LIBERAL CONSTRUCTION

Plaintiff respectfully request that the Court "liberally construe" Plaintiff's Complaint, in accordance pursuant to *Haines v. Kerner* (1972), 404 U.S. 519;

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff JABIR ABDUL AKBAR (aka Djehuty Ma'at-Ra) brings this case under 28 U.S.C. sec.1338, challenging Defendants opposition to his application for registration of trademark name "Dherbs.com" filed in February 2011 by Plaintiff with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- 2. Defendants allege that the Trademark "Dherbs.com" actually belongs to a corporation (known as DHE, Inc. or Dherbs Health Emporium) that was incorporated April 1, 2011, several weeks AFTER Plaintiff applied for his trademark of the name "Dherbs.com" which includes his name "Djehuty" as Dherbs is short for Djehuty Herbs (aka Djehuty Herbaceuticals) and thousands of people around the world know this and will vouch for it via affidavit and witness testimony.
- 3. Nothing in the original partnership agreement gave right of the business name over to the Defendants as investors and business partners. The agreement only outlined duties of the partners.
- 4. Plaintiff also challenges Defendants' claims' that all of his herbal product trademarks and copyrights belong to the corporation "DHE, Inc." (of which all defendants allege to be board members of and/or associated with) even though Plaintiff first began making and selling herbal products back in 1999, twelve years before the corporation "DHE, Inc." was first incorporated, and, Plaintiff never signed any contract or agreement transferring over to the corporation "DHE, Inc." his herbal formula trademarks as company assets or otherwise.
  - 5. None of the defendants are herbalists or writers (authors) and lack the

intelligence, passion, knowledge and creativity to be such.

- 6. Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIN was a basketball trainer, Defendant JAMELLE DOLPHIN was a mediocre real estate broker, and Defendant KATERINA HAJKOVA was a janitor and a waitress before meeting Plaintiff and thus cannot claim the creativity to create all that Plaintiff has created over the years through his blood, sweat and tears (labor, passion, and creativity).
- 7. The defendants collectively have committed copyright infringement of some of Plaintiff's written literary works that were altered once on the Internet.
- 8. The Defendants in cahoots with their attorney friend Bob Ackerman are attempting to steal Plaintiff's trademarks, intellectual property, and tangible property (much of what he had already created and owned exclusively before ever going into business with the defendants who have in eight years of business partnership with Plaintiff NEVER created one single product nor wrote one single article, but seek to claim (steal) every single trademark and copyright of Plaintiff's as their own instead of developing their own creativity (brands/formulas, literary works, trademarks), and they do so all for the purpose of making money using Plaintiff's creations to make an easy buck off of people whom the defendants admitted they don't care for or about like Djehuty does, but that they just want and need Djehuty's good name, products, trademarks, and words to milk the people (customers of Dherbs) but must get Djehuty out of the way first because he cares too much for people which is preventing them (the Defendants) from making more money to finance their music endeavor (Dolphin's of Hollywood's).

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1331 ("Federal Question" statute) and 1338 ("Patents, Copyrights, and Trademarks"

statute). 2 10. This Court may grant relief under 28 U.S.C. 2201 and 2202 (Declaratory 3 and Injunctive Relief). 11. Venue is proper in the District Court for the Central District of California 5 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391 because a substantial part of the events or omissions 6 giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this district. 7 8 **PARTIES** 9 **Plaintiff** 10 11 12. Plaintiff, JABIR ABDUL AKBAR (aka Djehuty Ma'at-Ra), is a U.S. 12 citizen and resident of the State of California and is over the legal age of eighteen 13 (18) years and resides at 1851 Cleveland Road, Glendale, California, 91202. 14 13. Plaintiff, JABIR ABDUL AKBAR, is a resident of Los Angeles County, 15 California, at all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was a resident of Los Angeles 16 County. 17 **Defendants** 18 14. Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIN is a U.S. citizen and resident of the State 19 of California and is over the legal age of eighteen (18) years and resides at 2471 20 Sawtelle Boulevard # 102, 21 22 Los Angeles, California, 90064. 15. Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIN, is a resident of Los Angeles County, 23 24 California, at all times relevant herein, Defendant was a resident of Los Angeles 25 County. 26 16. Defendant JAMELLE DOLPHIN is a U.S. citizen and resident of the 27 State of California and is over the legal age of eighteen (18) years and resides at

 2852 Sawtelle Boulevard # 33, Los Angeles, California, 90064.

- 17. Defendant JAMELLE DOLPHIN, is a resident of Los Angeles County, California, at all times relevant herein, Defendant was a resident of Los Angeles County.
- 18. Defendant KATERINA HAJKOVA is a U.S. citizen and resident of the State of California and is over the legal age of eighteen (18) years and resides at 3450 Sawtelle Boulevard # 142, Los Angeles, California, 90066.
- 19. Defendant KATERINA HAJKOVA, is a resident of Los Angeles County, California, at all times relevant herein, Defendant was a resident of Los Angeles County.

## **LEGAL BACKGROUND**

- 20. Trademarks were traditionally protected in the United States only under State common law, growing out of the tort of unfair competition. As early as 1791, Thomas Jefferson proposed that the marks of sailcloth makers could be protected under the Commerce Clause of the U.S. Constitution, but it was not until 1870 that Congress first attempted to establish a federal regime for the protection of trademarks. This statute, purported to be an exercise of the Copyright Clause powers, was struck down in the Trade-Mark Cases, leading Congress to finally create a successful act under the its Common Clause power in 1881.
- 21. A major revision was enacted in 1905, and in 1946, Congress passed the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. 1051). Both registered and unregistered trademarks are both eligible for protection under the Lanham.
- 22. It is black letter law that a person or business entity acquires rights in a trademark either by using it in the normal course of business or by filing an application for registration of the mark in the USPTO. An application for registration may be based upon actual use in commerce.

trademark owner.

# FACTUAL BACKGROUND

24. On or about November 5, 2003, Plaintiff and Defendants AHMAN DOLPHIN and JAMELLE DOLPHIN became business partners under an agreement whereby Plaintiff allowed both Defendants to join him in his mission of spreading the gospel of health, educating people on human health and hygiene, in his business "Djehuty Herbaceuticals (aka Djehuty Herbs), started in 2002 and growing out of his previous business, Afiya Herbaceuticals which he started with his ex-wife back in November 1999.

23. The two main categories of protected usage (in trademarks) are

nominative - using the trademark to actually refer to the trademarked product or

- 25. Dherbs was a shortened form of the name Djehuty Herbs. Djehuty is the name (spiritual name) of Plaintiff that he is known by all over the country and world.
- 26. At this time (c. November 2003), Plaintiff already owned more than seventy-five herbal formulas and cleanses that were trademarked due to their usage in commerce, being mailed from Glendale, California all across America. These trademarked formulas were even being used by major celebrities such as singer Brandy Norwood, actors Darrin Henson ("Darrin's Dance Grooves"), Nicole Ari-Parker Kodjo (Soul Food The Series), Boris Kodjo (Soul Food The Series), Kurt Alexander (Big Boy), Shemar Moore ("Criminal Minds"), and Gary Durden ("CSI") who will testify on Plaintiff's behalf if summoned, or by affidavit.
- 27. To go to the next level business-wise, Plaintiff allowed Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIN to obtain an IP Address for the business: Dherbs.com.
  - 28. Defendants AHMAN DOLPHIN and JAMELLE never invested any

-6-

money into Plaintiff's herbal products. They invested their money in Leslie Garson Public Relation's Firm, allegedly to the tune of \$16,000.00. The move with the PR form proved fruitless and defendants finally took Plaintiff's advice that this mission calls for footwork (educating and interacting with People). No easy rides to fame, fortune, and success. Hard work was the key to fame, fortune, and success.

- 29. Over the next several years due to Plaintiff's growing exposure (due to You Tube video footage, a plethora of articles exclusively typed by Plaintiff and shared with the public, in addition to a cornucopia of fascinating products thought of, created, and descriptions written (of product ingredients, activity, instructions for use, etc.) exclusively by Plaintiff, the partnership business began to become financially prosperous. This caused Plaintiff to attempt to become more organized and professional and to get things in writing to preserve the rights and also establish the newly evolving duties of the partners, especially since more money would be involved.
- 30. Money issues begin to ensue when Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIn felt he should be financially compensated on the same level as Plaintiff despite NEVER creating a single health product, NEVER writing a single article, NEVER conducting a single radio interview, NEVER conducting a single lecture, NEVER filming a single You Tube video, etc.
- 31. Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIN was just a manager as he lacked creative ability and insight to do what Plaintiff was doing and just didn't have it within his skill and abilities to bring money into the business via ideas and inventions.
- 32. On or about March 24, 2010, Plaintiff and Defendants AHMAN DOLPHIN and JAMELLE DOLPHIN had a partnership meeting at the residence of partner and defendant Jamelle Dolphin and reached an agreement that would give some equity to the business as up until that time, Plaintiff owned all the inventions

and trademarks of the business (as the original partnership agreement never mentioned a single word about herbs or converting trademarked herbal formulas over to the partnership business (Dherbs.com)).

- 33. In exchange for Plaintiff transferring to the partnership business (Dherbs.com) all products that he created AFTER January 2004 (and keeping all products created BEFORE January 1, 2004), Plaintiff would receive a 5% sales royalty on all trademarked products he created and allowed to be sold for the business to prosper financially. On April 1, 2010, Plaintiff began to receive a monthly sales royalty and the partnership business finally had some equity due to owning tangible goods.
- 34. Consequently, in February 2011, Plaintiff registered the name "Dherbs.com" with the USPTO because it was agreed upon that the partnership business would now become a corporation beginning April 1, 2011 and going corporate changed many aspects in how the partners were doing business up to that time and Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIN refused to have important things in writing.
- 35. Plaintiff applied for the incorporation of "DHE, Inc." from a 3rd party source. On April 1, 2011, the Secretary of State accepted and approved "Dherbs Health Emporium" as an elected C-Corporation (due to the advice and suggestion of Plaintiff) and subsequently a federal T.I.N. (or E.I.N.) and B.O.E. (Board of Equalization) account were established for "Dherbs Health Emporium".
- 36. However, unbeknownst to Plaintiff, Defendant AHMAN DOLPIN still had his reservations, fears, insecurities and doubts about signing written instruments and refused to sign not only the newly proposed partnership agreement that protected and reserved the rights of all partners, but also refused to sign any of the

required corporate documents (that came from the 3rd party service provider), adopting them into existence, e.g. adoption of the Bylaws, Minutes of the First Meeting of the Board, etc.

- 37. In fact, because Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIN wouldn't sign any required documents (including those that would officially elect him as C.E.O., including the Director's Consent Without First Meeting which required a unanimous written consent of directors) no required corporate documents have been signed (by anyone, proposed shareholders/board of directors) as of the date of this pleading.
- 38. In response to Plaintiff's many pleas at trying to call a first meeting as required and get the ball moving (get all required signatures and documents sign to authenticate the corporation), Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIN on his own accord but with money from the business, hired his good friend, Bob Ackerman, to draft corporate documents that would ensure an agreement between the partners (the shareholder's agreement replacing the previous partnership agreements).
- 39. On or about April 15, 2011, Bob Ackerman's firm, Corletto & Ackerman, located in Encino, California drafted a shareholder's agreement for the new corporation and emailed it to Plaintiff. Plaintiff perused it and called Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIN and told him everything looked good and that we could schedule a meeting and now sign all documents the corporate documents but now the newly drafted shareholder's agreement drafted by Bob Ackerman as well.
- 40. Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIN unbelievably STILL refused to come to any meeting and give his signature.
- 41. Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIN then told Plaintiff that he wanted a meeting at Bob's office with Bob present to go over the shareholder's agreement. When Plaintiff tried to schedule a meeting at Bob's law firm, Defendant AHMAN

 DOLPHIN never came up with a date and time to go to Bob's office and have Bob go over a document that Bob's law firm created (at a company expense of \$2,000.00) and that Plaintiff had read and reassured Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIN was safe to sign.

- 42. Suffice to say, after all the delay in attempting to do a very basic thing hold a meeting, elect officers, approve resolutions, sign documents, etc., all other proposed and would be shareholders and board members got tired of waiting and went on with their lives and the boardless corporation "DHE, Inc." was left in limbo.
- 43. After a few unsuccessful threatening emails to Defendants collectively (to dissolve the business by taking his trademarked products if they didn't get their acts together and start behaving professional and being corporate-minded), Plaintiff received an email on July 1, 2011 from Bob Ackerman stating that the corporation was going to contest his application for a registration of the name "Dherbs.com" stating Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIN owned the name "Dherbs.com" in which Plaintiff explained to Bob via a lengthy and detailed response letter dated July 5, 2011 that really and in all actuality all Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIN owned was an IP Address for URL purposes (for the internet) and that an IP Address did not constitute "dba" (doing business as) or even a trademark, especially since Plaintiff had already used the name "Dherbs" in commerce, denoting himself as the owner of such trademarks.
- 44. As of July 5, 2011, the Defendants collectively and their attorneys make the claim that a corporation "DHE, Inc." (incorporated on April 1, 2011) strangely, in fact owns all of Plaintiff's products, trademarks, and copyrighted material (to literary works) created since 1999, and has the right to oppose Plaintiff's federal trademark registration application and that despite never being duly elected or

appointed as required, Defendant AHMAN DOLPHIN has the right and authority to act as C.E.O., Defendant JAMELLE DOLPHIN has the right and authority to act as Director, and Defendant KATERINA HAJKOVA have the right and authority to act as C.F.O.

- 45. Most of the above is arguable on the State level, where Plaintiff has two pending actions for State claims (no federal issue claims exist on the State level) against the Defendants collectively, but the issue of federal application of trademark application is a federal issue and thus the proper jurisdiction to settle the matter is the federal court.
- 46. Plaintiff is seeking declaratory judgment and injunctive relief against the Defendants collectively in regards to his right to apply for federal trademarking of his business name (Dherbs Djehuty Herbs) which he owned exclusively before November 5, 2003 when he entered into a business partnership with the defendants.

### A. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Judgment)

47. Each and every allegation set forth in this Complaint is incorporated herein by reference. Plaintiff JABIR ABDUL AKBAR is entitled to declaratory relief from the Court in this matter because he has stated a valid and viable claim pertaining to an Act of Congress (federal trademark) which the Defendants collectively frivolously oppose because no evidence exists to show that any of the Defendants could make a solid and valid claim of ownership for anything with the name "Djehuty" in it. Use of the Plaintiff's name in registering an IP Address does not constitute the right or authority of ownership of Plaintiff's name, business name, or even his image and voice. Plus, there is no such thing as automatic conversion of property rights and/or trademark rights and copy rights simply by incorporating

and/or declaring.

48. Secondly, while Plaintiff has not applied for any federal registration (copyrights) of his printed literary works, the Court still has jurisdiction to intervene in the matter because of the Lanham Act which protects common law copyrights and Plaintiff's written works are all printed and have been sold and mailed across the nation, constituting commerce, plus, many of them state (in their written format) "Protected by Common Law Copyright" giving notice to the public.

## B. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Injunctive Relief)

49. Plaintiff is also entitled to injunctive relief against the Defendants collectively to restrain their activity in violating any and all rights of Plaintiff that are protected by federal law, with regards to trademarks and copyrights.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Jabir Abdul Akbar respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment providing the following relief:

- 1. Judgment that Plaintiff had a right to apply for a federal trademark of his business name that he owned and used before doing business with the Defendants collectively.
- 2. Judgment that an IP Address owned by Defendant Ahman Dolphin does not confer or establish the automatic right of ownership of a business name or

establishes a trademark granting federal protection through or by an Act of Congress.

- 3. Judgment that because Plaintiff had been using the name "Dherbs" in commerce prior to meeting, knowing, and/or doing business with the Defendants, Plaintiff has an exclusive right of trademark on the name "Dherbs" and the name may not be used without the express consent of Plaintiff (on the internet, on products, and other tangible items).
- 4. Judgment that because Plaintiff had been using the names he ascribed to certain formulas, e.g. Full Body Detox, Moon Cycle Formula, Mucus Buster, Bowel Mover, etc. that he created (and that also associate himself as owner of the products and their names), with notice of trademark, and entering them into commerce by selling them via U.S. mail, that Plaintiff has a claim of common law trademark rights to these named formulas and the trademarked formula names cannot be used by the Defendants collectively (or any corporation the defendants allege to be board members of or associated with) without the express consent of Plaintiff.
- 5. Judgment ordering application by the Defendants collectively (including through any corporation that the defendants allege to be board members of or have an association with) for a federal trademark and/or copyright of any trademark or copyright that Plaintiff rightfully owns due to using first in commerce (and thus protected by common law rights), is unlawful as violating the federally-protected rights of Plaintiff as afforded by federal law and must be withdrawn immediately.
- 6. Order a permanent injunction against all the Defendants (and any corporation the defendants allege to be members of or associated with) from using and any all trademarks owned outright by Plaintiff unless permission is granted by Plaintiff.
- 7. Award Plaintiff costs, including attorney's fees, out-of-pocket expenses, witness and expert fees, and the cost of filing this action (if applicable).
  - 8. Provide other equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper.

1	Trial by jury is demanded.	
2	Dated: August 1, 2011	
4		
5		
6	Respectfully submitted,	
7		A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
8		Jalin Abdul Aklar Jabir Abdul Akbar
9		1851 Cleveland Road Glendale, California 91202
10		
11		Plaintiff in pro per
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Gary A. Feess and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Fernando M. Olguin.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV11- 6346 GAF (FMOx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery	related motio	ns snould be	noticed on th	ie caiendar of the	Magistrate Judge

#### **NOTICE TO COUNSEL**

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X]	Western Division					
ra	312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8					
	Los Angeles, CA 90012					

Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division
3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134
Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

# FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CENTRAL DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
Jabis Abdul Akbac  PLAINTIFF(S)  V.	CASE NUMBER CV11-06346-GAT(FMC)
Ahman Dolphin, Jamelle Dolphin, katerina Halkova DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS
A lawsuit has been filed agains FOR OF	
Within days after service of this summor must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached \( \)	amended complaint amended comp
Dated:	By:    Clerk, U.S. District County   12   15   15   15   15   15   15   15

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an efficiency of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY of the United States. Allowed

CV-01A (12/07) SUMMONS

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself 🗷)	DEFENDANTS					
Jabir Abdul Akbar		Ahman Dolph	in, 3	iamelle D	olphin	
		Katerina I				
		(CSC (CSC )				
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are yourself, provide same.)		Attorneys (If Known)				
Jabir Abdul Akbar 818-484-52	1.2.3	Allan B. Ge	Ibard	l .		
1851 cleveland Road						
Glendale, California a1202					0.1	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)		NSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only  IX in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)				
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Citizen of This S		PTF DEF	Incorporated or I of Business in th	-	PTF DEF □ 4 □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Anoth	n of Another State				□5 □5
	Citizen or Subje	ect of a Foreign Country [	□3 □3	Foreign Nation		□6 □6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)						
V(1 Original ☐ 2 Removed from ☐ 3 Remanded from ☐ 4 Reproceeding State Court Appellate Court Res	einstated or 🗆 5 copened	5 Transferred from another	r district (sp	Distr	rict Judg	eal to District e from istrate Judge
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: 24 Yes	No (Check 'Yes	' only if demanded in com	plaint.)			
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: ☐ Yes ☐ No	•	MONEY DEMANDED I		AINT: \$		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			atutes unless dive	ersity.)
Declaratory and Injunctive relief	•					
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)						
OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT	TORTS.	TORTS		PRISONER	LAB	OR
	RSONAL INJURY	<ul> <li>In the state of th</li></ul>		PETITIONS	□ 710 Fair Lab	or Standards
	Airplane Airplane Produc	PROPERTY  1 370 Other Fraud	∷  □ 510	Motions to Vacate Sentence	Act □ 720 Labor/M	famt
□ 450 Commerce/ICC □ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	□ 371 Truth in Lend	ing	Habeas Corpus	Relation	-
Rates/etc. □ 150 Recovery of □ 320	Assault, Libel &	☐ 380 Other Persona	.1 ☐ 530	General	□ 730 Labor/M	
☐ 460 Deportation Overpayment &	Slander			Death Penalty	Reportir	
Enforcement of	Fed. Employers' Liability	10 303 Troporty Dam	~		Disclosu	
and Corrupt Judgment	Marine	Product Liabi		Other	740 Railway	
Organizations ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 345 ☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted ☐ 345	Marine Product	BANKRUPTCY  ☐ 422 Appeal 28 US		Civil Rights Prison Condition	☐ 790 Other La	
1 490 Cable/Sat TV Student Loan (Evel	Liability	158	C0004050160000000000000000000000000000000	ORFEITURE!	Litigatio ☐ 791 Empl. R	
□ 810 Selective Service Veterons)	Motor Vehicle	☐ 423 Withdrawal 2		PENALTY	Security	
□ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ 153 Recovery of	Motor Vehicle	USC 157		Agriculture	PROPERTY	
Evelones	Product Liability Other Personal	CIVIL RIGHTS		Other Food &	☐ 820 Copyrig	an months of the first of the first
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 Veteran's Benefits	Injury	☐ 441 Voting		Drug	□ 830 Patent	
USC 3410 □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 362	Personal Injury-	☐ 442 Employment	4	Drug Related	¥840 Tradema	
□ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 190 Other Contract	Med Malpractice		-	Seizure of	SOCIAL SE	
	Personal Injury-	mmodations		Property 21 USC	☐ 861 HIA (13 ☐ 862 Black L	•
□ 892 Economic Stabilization Liability	Product Liability			881	□ 862 Black L	
Act □ 196 Franchise □ 368 □ 893 Environmental Matters □ REAL PROPERTY	Asbestos Persona Injury Product	Disabilities -		Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck	(405(g))	
□ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 210 Land Condemnation	Liability	Employment		Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID Ti	
	<b>IMIGRATION</b>	☐ 446 American with		Occupational	□ 865 RSI (405	
	Naturalization	Disabilities -		Safety /Health	FEDERAL T	SELECTION OF THE SERVICE SERVI
nation Under Equal ☐ 240 Torts to Land	Application	Other	□ 690	•	□ 870 Taxes (U)	J.S. Plaintiff
E 215 Tott House Elability	Habeas Corpus-	☐ 440 Other Civil	1		or Defen	,
□ 950 Constitutionality of □ 290 All Other Real Property	Alien Detainee	Rights			□ 871 IRS-Thi	
State Statutes	Other Immigration Actions	VII			USC 760	09
			1			
				<del></del>	L	

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: If yes, list case number(s):	Has this action been pre	eviously filed in this court an	d dismissed, remanded or closed? ▼ No □ Yes			
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: If yes, list case number(s):	lave any cases been pre	viously filed in this court tha	t are related to the present case? 🕱 No □ Yes			
(Check all boxes that apply) $\Box$ $\Box$	Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:  Check all boxes that apply)  A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or  B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or  C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or  D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.					
IX. VENUE: (When completing	the following informati	ion, use an additional sheet if	necessary.)			
			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Los Angeles (	County					
(b) List the County in this Distr ☐ Check here if the government	ict; California County on	utside of this District; State i	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides.  If this box is checked, go to item (c).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Los Angeles County						
(c) List the County in this Distri Note: In land condemnatio			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Los Angeles County						
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Ber Note: In land condemnation cases	s use the location of the	tract of land involved	·			
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNE	Y (OR PRO PER):	Jahn abdul	aklar Date 08-02-2011			
Notice to Counsel/Parties:	The CV-71 (JS-44) Ci	ivil Cover Sheet and the infor yed by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)			
Key to Statistical codes relating to	Social Security Cases:					
Nature of Suit Co	de Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action			
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)				
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.				
865	RSI All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))					

Page 2 of 2