

1 FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION
 2 JEROME A. MADDEN (Pro Hac Vice)
 3 Counsel
 4 jemadden@fdic.gov
 5 TINA A. LAMOREAUX (Pro Hac Vice)
 6 tlamoreaux@fdic.gov
 7 3501 Fairfax Drive, Room VS-D-7004
 8 Arlington, VA 22226-3500
 9 Telephone: 703.562.2010
 10 Facsimile: 703.562.2496

11 NOSSAMAN LLP
 12 ALLAN H. ICKOWITZ (SBN 80994)
 13 aickowitz@nossaman.com
 14 ROBERT S. MCWHORTER (SBN 226186)
 15 rmcwhorter@nossaman.com
 16 445 S. Figueroa Street, 31st Floor
 17 Los Angeles, California 90071
 18 Telephone: 213.612.7800
 19 Facsimile: 213.612.7801

20 Attorneys for Defendants,
 21 FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION
 22 and ROBERT T. FELDMAN

23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 24 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 25 WESTERN DIVISION

26 GTS 900 F, LLC, a California Limited
 27 Liability Company,
 28
 29 Plaintiff,
 30
 31 v.
 32 FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE
 33 CORPORATION, et al.,
 34
 35 DEFENDANTS.

Case No: LACV11-6607 JAK-JC

JUDGMENT

Date: April 23, 2012
 Time: 8:30 a.m.
 Courtroom: 750
 Judge: The Hon. John A. Kronstadt
 Date Action Filed: August 11, 2011
 Trial Date: None Set

1 This matter came before this Court on the Motion of Defendants Federal Deposit
2 Insurance Corporation and Robert T. Feldman, in his official capacity as Executive
3 Secretary (collectively the "FDIC") for Summary Judgment. This Court conducted
4 hearings on the Motion on January 9, 2012, and after supplemental briefing, on April 23,
5 2012. Jerome A. Madden, Tina A. Lamoreaux and Anne M. Devens appeared on behalf
6 of the FDIC; Gary Ganchrow appeared on behalf of GTS 900 F, LLC. On June 1, 2012,
7 this Court entered an Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.

8 **THIS COURT HEREBY FINDS THAT** good cause exists to allow the FDIC to
9 supplement the Administrative Record.

10 **THIS COURT HEREBY FURTHER FINDS THAT** the FDIC's determination,
11 as published in the Federal Register (76 Fed. Reg. 28225) (May 16, 2011) (the
12 "Insufficient Assets Determination") in the receivership of the former Corus Bank, N.A.,
13 Chicago, Illinois, was not arbitrary or capricious, did not constitute an abuse of
14 discretion, and was made in accordance with applicable law.

15 **NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND**
16 **DECREED** that Judgment be entered in favor of the FDIC and against GTS 900 F, LLC,
17 such that GTS 900 F, LLC's Complaint is hereby dismissed with prejudice and that the
18 Insufficient Assets Determination is hereby affirmed.

19
20 Dated: June 27, 2012



21 _____
U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

22 APPROVED AS TO FORM

23
24 _____ /s/ Gary Ganchrow

25 By: Gary Ganchrow
26 Counsel for Plaintiff, GTS 900 F, LLC
27
28