

O

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JORDY OCHOA,

Petitioner

v.

L.R. THOMAS, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. CV 11-6864-JGB (GJS)

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS  
AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the operative 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition in this case (Dkt. 41-2, “Petition”) and all relevant pleadings, motions, and other documents filed in this action, the original Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 140), Petitioner’s Objections to the original Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 149), and the Final Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 150, “Report”). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the Court has conducted a de novo review of the matters to which objections have been stated.

Petitioner’s assertions and arguments have been reviewed carefully. The Court, however, concludes that nothing set forth in the Objections or otherwise in the record for this case affects or alters, or calls into question, the findings and

1 analysis set forth in the Report. Having completed its review, the Court accepts the  
2 findings and recommendations set forth in the Report.

3 Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that: (1) the Petition is DENIED; and (2)  
4 Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.

5

6 **LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.**

7

8 DATED: July 27, 2021

9



10 JESUS G. BERNAL  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28