

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS DOCUMENT WAS SERVED BY
FIRST CLASS MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, TO ALL COUNSEL
(OR PARTIES) AT THEIR RESPECTIVE MOST RECENT ADDRESS OF
RECORD IN THIS ACTION ON THIS DATE.
DATED: 5-3-12
[Signature]
DEPUTY CLERK

"0"

FILED CLERK, U.S.D.C. SOUTHERN DIVISION
MAY - 3 2012
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY <u>[Signature]</u> DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES D. MACIEL, SR.,

Petitioner,

vs.

ROBERT AMBROSELLI, et al.,

Respondent.

Case No. CV 11-7199-AG (RNB)


ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS
AND RECOMMENDATION
OF UNITED STATES
MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which objections have been made. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, subject to the following typographical corrections. First, on page 2, line 1 of the R&R, a space should be inserted between "290" and "(a)." Second, the last sentence of the first full paragraph on page 2 of the R&R should be corrected to read: "The District Judge further found that the public interest against issuing a TRO was particularly compelling."

//

1 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that (1) respondent's Motion to Dismiss is
2 granted; and (2) Judgment be entered dismissing this action for lack of subject matter
3 jurisdiction.

4 DATED: APRIL 30, 2012

5 
6 _____
7 ANDREW J. GUILFORD
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28