

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. 2:11-cv-10539-SVW-PJW Date February 22, 2012
Title Enkeboll Co v. Zakros Designs et al

Present: The Honorable STEPHEN V. WILSON, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Kane Tien N/A
Deputy Clerk Court Reporter / Recorder Tape No.

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: Attorneys Present for Defendants:
N/A N/A

Proceedings: IN CHAMBERS - ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

This Order is issued pursuant to FRCP 4(m), which requires that plaintiff(s) serve the summons and complaint upon all defendants within 120 days after filing the complaint.

It is the responsibility of plaintiff to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time to respond.

The file in this case lacks the papers that would show it is being timely prosecuted, as reflected below. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, hereby orders plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing no later than February 29, 2012 why this action should not be dismissed as to all remaining defendants for lack of prosecution.

As an alternative to a written response by plaintiff(s), the Court will accept one of the following, if it is filed on or before the above date, as evidence that the matter is being prosecuted diligently.

- Proof of service of summons and complaint (applicable for defendant(s) who have not been served);
In cases removed from State Court, responsive pleadings filed by all defendants
Request for entry of default by plaintiff(s) (applicable where defendants have been served but not answered);
Motion for default judgment.

No oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a responsive pleading or motion on or before the date upon which a response by plaintiff(s) is due.

Initials of Preparer KTI