

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ROBBINS RESEARCH
INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NEEDBASEDAPPS, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

NO. CV 12-0797 GW (FMOx)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

On June 4, 2012, plaintiff Robbins Research International, Inc. (“RRI”) served its First Set of Requests for the Production of Documents and Things to Defendant NBA (“Document Requests”), which contained 62 Document Requests. (Declaration of Eric J. Lorenzini in Support of Plaintiff Robbins Research International, Inc.’s Motion to Compel Production of Documents and Tangible Things (“Lorenzini Decl.”) at ¶ 8 & Exhibit (“Exh.”) E). Defendant Needbasedapps, LLC, (“NBA”) served its responses to the Document Requests on July 9, 2012 (“July 9, 2012, Responses”). (Id. at ¶ 10 & Exh. H). The July 9, 2012, Responses consisted of boilerplate objections to nearly all of the 62 Document Requests.¹ (See id. at Exh. H). The July 9, 2012, Responses were signed by defense counsel, Rebecca A. Cucu. (See id.).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT, on or before **October 29, 2012**, counsel for defendant NBA, Rebecca A. Cucu, (“counsel”) shall show cause, if any there be, why she should not be

¹ There were four Document Requests for which NBA responded that there are no responsive documents. However, even a few of those appear to be based on a misconstruction of the Request.

