UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES-GENERAL

Case No.: <u>CV 12-1624-SVW (PLA)</u>		Date: <u>March 13, 2017</u>
Title: VanTrae Gregory v. Domingo U	J <mark>ribe, Jr., Warden, et al.</mark>	
PRESENT: THE HONORABLE PA	III. I. ARRAMS	☐ U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
TRESENT. THE HONORABLE <u>TA</u>	CL L. ABRAMS	■ MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Christianna Howard Deputy Clerk	N/A Court Reporter / Recorder	N/A Tape No.
ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFF: NONE	ATTORNEYS P	RESENT FOR DEFENDANTS: NONE
PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBER	2S)	
whether he wished to proceed in this action wished to withdraw his complaint. (ECF No no later than February 28, 2017. Plaintiff wa in the action being dismissed for failure to probe his response to the December 12, 2017, Orde plaintiff is ordered to show cause, no later failure to prosecute and/or failure to follow (as well as payment of the filing fee of \$400 be deemed compliance with this order to show cause.)	o. 56). Plaintiff's response to the Decem as advised that failure to timely comply rosecute and/or failure to follow Court over, and his time to do so, even as extender than March 27, 2017, why this action Court orders. Filing of his response to the or the submission of a request to proceed.	ber 12, 2017, Order was due with that Order would result orders. Plaintiff has not filed d, has passed. Accordingly, should not be dismissed for a December 12, 2107, Order
Gregory J. Marcot, CAAG		