

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CIVIL MINUTES -- GENERAL

Case No. **CV 12-2650-JFW (AJWx)**

Date: December 21, 2015

Title: ITC Textile Ltd. -v- Wal-Mart Stores Inc., et al.

PRESENT:

HONORABLE JOHN F. WALTER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**Shannon Reilly
Courtroom Deputy**

**None Present
Court Reporter**

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFFS:

None

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANTS:

None

PROCEEDINGS (IN CHAMBERS): ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: SANCTIONS

At the December 4, 2015 Pre-trial Conference, the Court ordered counsel to meet and confer and resolve the objections to the exhibits in the Pre-trial Exhibit Stipulation and, to the extent they were unable to resolve those objections, to prepare a Joint Statement setting out the Exhibit Number and a description of the exhibit and the respective positions of counsel with regard to those objections.

On December 20, 2015, counsel filed an Amended Pre-Trial Exhibit Stipulation [Docket No. 183] but failed to file the Court-ordered Joint Statement. Accordingly, counsel are ordered to show cause, in writing, on **December 21, 2015** why lead counsel should not each be sanctioned in the amount of \$1500 for failure to comply with the Court's order. In addition, counsel shall file the Joint Statement on **December 21, 2015** and deliver the Court's bench copy of all trial exhibits to Chambers on **December 21, 2015 by 3:00 p.m.** The Joint Statement shall be in the following format:

JOINT STATEMENT RE: OBJECTIONS IN PRE-TRIAL EXHIBIT STIPULATION

The parties were able to resolve the objections identified in the Pre-Trial Exhibit Stipulation as to Exhibit Nos. [e.g., 11, 13, etc.]. The parties were not able to resolve the objections identified in the Pre-Trial Exhibit Stipulation as to the following Exhibits:

Plaintiff's Exhibit #39: [Description and identity of witness from stipulation]

Defendants' Grounds for the Objection : [Cite the grounds and authority for the objection, e.g., Relevance under Fed. R. Evid. 401; Foundation under Fed. R. Evid. 901].

Plaintiff's Response to the Objection: [e.g., this document is relevant to the Plaintiff's first claim for relief because]

Defendants' Response: [e.g., this document is not relevant to Plaintiff's first claim for relief because]

IT IS SO ORDERED.