

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DAVID SCLAFANI, an individual,  
and PATRICIA ANN SCLAFANI,  
an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS  
CORPORATION, a subsidiary of  
AMPCO-PITTSBURGH  
CORPORATION, individually and as  
successor by merger to BUFFALO  
PUMPS, INC., individually and as  
successor in interest to BUFFALO  
FORGE COMPANY; et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:12-cv-03013-SVW (PJWx)

**JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT DANA  
COMPANIES, LLC'S MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Judge: Stephen V. Wilson

Defendant Dana Companies, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment against Plaintiffs David Sclafani and Patricia Sclafani, ("Motion") came on regularly for hearing on March 18, 2013 at 1:30 p.m. before the Honorable Stephen V. Wilson, United States District Judge presiding in Courtroom 6 of the above-referenced Court. Appearances were noted on the record. The Court, having read and considered all papers filed in support of the Motion, including all admissible evidence filed in support of the Motion, having read and considered all papers filed

1 in opposition to the Motion, including all admissible evidence filed in opposition to  
2 the Motion, and having heard and considered the arguments of counsel, the Court  
3 determined that no genuine issue of material fact exists and that Defendant Dana  
4 Companies, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment should be granted.

5 The Court granted Dana Companies, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment  
6 in its entirety on March 20, 2013. Finding that good cause exists for entry of a  
7 separate judgment under Rule 54 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure under the  
8 circumstances,

9 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that  
10 Defendant Dana Companies, LLC, shall have judgment against Plaintiffs David  
11 Sclafani and Patricia Sclafani ("Plaintiffs");

12 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that the  
13 judgment entered against the Plaintiffs herein and any other judgments entered  
14 heretofore or hereafter in this action against any other Plaintiffs are several as to  
15 each such defendant unless otherwise expressly stated in the judgment to be joint  
16 and several as to the particular defendants; and

17 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that there is no just reason for delay in entry  
18 of this final judgment against Defendant herein and the Court expressly directs that  
19 the Clerk of the Court enter this separate judgment against said Plaintiffs herein  
20 pursuant to FRCP Rule 54 notwithstanding whether this action remains pending  
21 against other Defendants.

22  
23 Dated: April 3, 2013



24 \_\_\_\_\_  
25 The Honorable Judge Stephen V. Wilson  
26 Judge of the United States District Court  
27  
28