

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex )  
rel BRIAN HASTINGS, )

Plaintiff, )

v. )

WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL )  
ASSOCIATION (INC.), )  
individually as s/b/m with )  
WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE, )  
INC.; COUNTRYWIDE HOME )  
LOANS, INC.; COUNTRYWIDE )  
HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP )  
n/k/a BAC HOME LOANS )  
SERVICING, LP; BANK OF )  
AMERICA CORPORATION; )  
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, )  
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, which )  
acquired and is a s/b/, with )  
CHASE HOME FINANCE,LLC s/b/m )  
with CHASE MANHATTAN )  
MORTGAGE CORP.; JPMORGAN )  
CHASE BANK n/k/a JPMORGAN )  
CHASE BANK, NATIONAL )  
ASSOCIATION, which acquired )  
and is a s/b/m with )  
WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, FA; )  
SUNTRUST MORTGAGE, INC.; )  
FIRST TENNESSEE BANK )  
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION (IN.), )  
which acquired and is a )  
s/b/m with FIRST HORIZON )  
HOME LOAN CORPORATION, )

Defendants. )

Case No. CV 12-03624 DDP (RZx)

**ORDER DENYING EX PARTE  
APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO CONTINUE  
SEAL**

[Dkt. No. 20]

1 Presently before the court is qui tam Plaintiff Brian  
2 Hasting's Ex Parte Application for Order to Continue Seal with  
3 Partial Lifting. The court does not dispute qui tam Plaintiff's  
4 right to pursue the action or to negotiate a settlement but finds  
5 that Plaintiff has not demonstrated good cause to reseal the  
6 complaint. The sole reason Plaintiff has offered for resealing the  
7 complaint is that it will facilitate settlement negotiations. This  
8 alone does not outweigh the public interest in having access to  
9 complaints filed in federal court. For this reason, the application  
10 is DENIED.

11

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13

14

15 Dated: January 23, 2013



16

DEAN D. PREGERSON  
United States District Judge

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28