on December 19, 2012, and may have faced challenges in catching up to speed on the

Toyrrific LLC v. Edvin Karapetian et al

28

Doc. 49

case. But counsel could have sought a stipulation to continue the discovery cut-off date or petitioned the Court for an extension any time within the three months that elapsed between the time that counsel received the case and the close of discovery. As a result, Toyrrific cannot establish that it "is without fault in creating the crisis that requires ex parte relief, or that the crisis occurred as a result of excusable neglect." Mission Power Eng'g Co. v. Cont'l Casualty Co., 883 F. Supp. 488, 492 (C.D. Cal. 1995). Toyrrific's request is therefore **DENIED** as untimely.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

March 18, 2012

OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE