1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	SAMI AMMARI,	Case No. 2:12-cv-4644-ODW(FMOx)
9	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE CONSOLIDATION
10	CITY OF LOS ANGELES,	
11	Defendant.	
12	Derendant.	
13	The Court is in receipt of the parties' Rule 26(f) Joint Report. The Court	
14	hereby ORDERS the parties to SHOW CAUSE why this case should not be	
15	consolidated under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a) with Lone Star Security and	
16	Video, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles, No. 2:11-cv-2113-ODW(FMOx) (C.D. Cal. filed	
17	March 11, 2011).	
18	The issues, parties, and attorneys are the same in both cases-with the	
19	exception of the plaintiffs' identities. Yet, the Court believe that the plaintiffs in the	
20	two cases are related. The parties have 14 days to comply with this order in writing;	
21	no hearing is required.	
22	Further, the California Attorney General did not intervene in this case by the	
23	August 24, 2012 deadline. Accordingly, the stay is hereby lifted.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	August 28, 2012	
26	The out h	
27	Where Winght	
28	OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
I		