

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION**

In re: EXXONMOBIL OIL CORPORATION, *et al.*, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA BULK SALE LITIGATION

Case No. 12-CV-04689-PA (VBKx)
JUDGMENT AS TO SPECIFIED PLAINTIFFS

Through an order dated January 31, 2013 (Dkt. No. 240), this Court granted partial summary judgment in favor of defendants ExxonMobil Oil Corporation, Exxon Mobil Corporation, and Circle K Stores Inc. (“Defendants”) and against plaintiffs Efram Dori, Fujio Shoji, VC Chao Enterprises Group, VC Chao Retails Group, and Alicia-Laguna, Inc. (collectively referred to herein as “Specified Plaintiffs”), finding that the non-price terms and alleged waivers of rights (the “Non-Price Terms”) in the June 2012 Revised Sale and Purchase Agreement Retail Marketing Assets Southern California and Environmental Responsibility Agreement (the “Offer”) do not violate Business & Professions Code section 20999.25.

1 Specified Plaintiffs and Defendants have stipulated that judgment shall be
2 entered against Specified Plaintiffs and in favor of Defendants on each of Specified
3 Plaintiffs' three claims for relief to the extent each such claim for relief is based upon
4 the allegation that the Offer included unreasonable and/or illegal Non-Price Terms.

5 Pursuant to agreement of the parties, Specified Plaintiffs have voluntarily
6 dismissed their remaining claims against Defendants in this action (that is, their claims
7 that remain after entry of the stipulated judgment), and the Court has entered such
8 dismissal.

9 Pursuant to agreement of the parties, Defendants have voluntarily dismissed
10 their counter-claims for relief against Specified Plaintiffs, and the Court has entered
11 such dismissal.

12 There are no remaining claims for relief or causes of action pending in this case
13 with respect to Specified Plaintiffs.

14 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

15 1) Judgment is entered against Specified Plaintiffs and in favor of
16 Defendants ExxonMobil Oil Corporation and Exxon Mobil Corporation on Specified
17 Plaintiffs' first claim for relief for violation of Business & Professions Code section
18 20999.25, *et seq.* to the extent such claim is based on the allegation that the Offer
19 included unreasonable and/or illegal Non-Price Terms; and

20 2) Judgment is entered against Specified Plaintiffs and in favor of
21 Defendants ExxonMobil Oil Corporation, Exxon Mobil Corporation, and Circle K
22 Stores Inc. on Specified Plaintiffs' second claim for relief for violation of Business &
23 Professions Code section 17200, *et seq.* to the extent such claim is based on the
24 allegation that the Offer included unreasonable and/or illegal Non-Price Terms; and


25 3) Judgment is entered against Specified Plaintiffs and in favor of
26 Defendants ExxonMobil Oil Corporation, Exxon Mobil Corporation, and Circle K
27 Stores Inc. on Specified Plaintiffs' third claim for relief for declaratory relief to the

1 extent such claim is based on the allegation that the Offer included unreasonable
2 and/or illegal Non-Price Terms;

3 4) Specified Plaintiffs shall recover nothing; and

4 5) Each party is to bear their own costs.

5
6
7 Dated: November 6, 2013



Percy Anderson
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE